

IDEAL THEORY OF SO-RINGS

§2.1. Ideals

In this section we show that the lattice of ideals of a class of so-rings is a distributive lattice.

First we recall the notion of an ideal in so-rings introduced by G.V.S. Acharyulu[3].

Definition 2.1.1[3]. Let R be a so-ring. A subset N of R is said to be an *ideal* of R if the following are satisfied

- (I1). if $(x_i : i \in I)$ is summable family in R and $x_i \in N$ for every $i \in I$
then $\Sigma x_i \in N$
- (I2). if $x \in R$ and $y \in N \ni x \leq y$ then $x \in N$,
- (I3). if $x \in N$ and $r \in R$ then $xr, rx \in N$.

Definition 2.1.2. Let R be a so-ring and let S be a subset of R . Then the intersection of all ideals of R containing S is called the *ideal generated by S* and is denoted by $\langle S \rangle$.

Example 2.1.3. Consider the so-ring $R = [0, 1]$, the unit interval of real numbers with $\Sigma(x_i : i \in I)$ defined as $\sup\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $x \cdot y$ defined as $\inf\{x, y\}$. Let $S = \{x, y\}$ where $x, y \in R = [0, 1]$. Then the ideal generated by $S = \{x, y\}$ is $\langle \{x, y\} \rangle = \bigcap \{I \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } [0, 1] \text{ \& } \{x, y\} \subseteq I\} = \bigcap \{[0, x_i] \mid \{x, y\} \subseteq [0, x_i]\} = [0, t]$ where $t = \sup\{x, y\}$.

Definition 2.1.4. A so-ring is said to be *complete* if every family in it is summable.

Theorem 2.1.5. Let R be a complete so-ring, then for any S contained in R ,
 $\langle S \rangle = \{x \in R \mid x \leq \Sigma r_i x_i r'_i, x_i \in S, r_i, r'_i \in R\}$.

Proof. Let $T = \{x \in R \mid x \leq \Sigma r_i x_i r'_i, x_i \in S, r_i, r'_i \in R\}$.

First we prove T is an ideal of R :

Let $(x_i : i \in I)$ be a summable family in R and $x_i \in T \forall i \in I$.

Then $x_i \leq \sum_j r_{ij} x_j r'_{ij}$, $x_{ij} \in S$, $r_{ij}, r'_{ij} \in R$.

$\Rightarrow \exists h_i \in R \ni \sum_j r_{ij} x_j r'_{ij} = x_i + h_i \forall i \in I$.

$\Rightarrow \exists \sum_i h_i \in R \ni \sum_i \sum_j r_{ij} x_j r'_{ij} = \sum_i x_i + \sum_i h_i$.

$\Rightarrow \sum_i x_i \leq \sum_i \sum_j r_{ij} x_j r'_{ij}$ and hence $\sum_i x_i \in T$.

Let $x \in T$ and $y \in R \ni y \leq x$. Then $x \leq \sum r_i x_i r'_i$, $x_i \in S$, $r_i, r'_i \in R$.

$\Rightarrow y \leq \sum r_i x_i r'_i$, $x_i \in S$, $r_i, r'_i \in R$ and hence $y \in T$.

Let $x \in T$ and $r \in R$. Then $x \leq \sum r_i x_i r'_i$, $x_i \in S$, $r_i, r'_i \in R$ and $r \in R$.

$\Rightarrow xr \leq \sum r_i x_i (r'_i r)$, $rx \leq \sum (r r_i) x_i r'_i$ and hence $xr, rx \in T$.

Hence T is an ideal of R .

Since, for any $x \in s$, $x \leq 1x1$, we have $S \subseteq T$.

To prove T is smallest, let N be an ideal of $S \ni S \subseteq N$.

Now for any $x \in T$, $x \leq \sum r_i x_i r'_i$, $x_i \in S$, $r_i, r'_i \in R$.

Since $S \subseteq N$, each $x_i \in N$. Then $\sum r_i x_i r'_i \in N$.

$\Rightarrow x \in N$ and hence $T \subseteq N$.

Hence T is the smallest ideal containing S . □

The following is an example of a complete so-ring in which $\langle \{x, y\} \rangle$ is the set mentioned in theorem 2.1.5.

Example 2.1.6. As observed in the example 2.1.3, in the complete so-ring

$R = [0, 1]$, $\langle \{x, y\} \rangle = [0, t]$ where $t = \sup\{x, y\}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \{a \in R \mid a \leq \sup_i \{ \inf\{r_i, x_i, r'_i\} \}, r_i, r'_i \in [0, 1], x_i \in \{x, y\} \} \\ &= \{a \in R \mid a \leq \sup\{x, y\}\} = [0, t] \text{ where } t = \sup\{x, y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1.7. If R is a partial semiring and S is a subset of R then

$$\langle S \rangle = \{x \in R \mid x = \sum_i r_i x_i r'_i, x_i \in S, r_i, r'_i \in R\}.$$

Remark 2.1.8. Let $\{N_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of ideals of a complete so-ring R . Then $\langle \bigcup N_\alpha \rangle$ is the smallest ideal containing each N_α .

Following example illustrates that the set union of two ideals in a so-ring need not be an ideal.

Example 2.1.9. Consider the so-ring $R = \{0, a, b, c, d, 1\}$ with Σ on R defined by

$$\Sigma x_i = \begin{cases} x_j, & \text{if } x_i = 0 \forall i \neq j, \text{ for some } j, \\ d, & \text{if } x_j = a, x_k = b \text{ or } x_j = b, x_k = c \text{ for some } j, k, x_i = 0 \forall i \neq j, k, \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and ‘ \cdot ’ defined by

$$x \cdot y = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \neq 1, y \neq 1, \\ x, & \text{if } y = 1, \\ y, & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then the ideals of R are $\{0\}$, $\{0, a\}$, $\{0, b\}$, $\{0, c\}$, $\{0, a, b, c, d\}$, R . Now $\{0, a\} \cup \{0, b\} = \{0, a, b\}$ is not an ideal of R , since $a + b = d$ which is not in $\{0, a, b\}$.

Remark 2.1.10. Let $\{I_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a family of ideals of a complete so-ring R . Then we denote $\langle \bigcup I_\alpha \rangle$ as $\bigvee I_\alpha$ and thus $\bigvee I_\alpha = \{x \in R \mid x \leq \sum_\alpha x_\alpha, x_\alpha \in I_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta\}$. In fact $I_1 \bigvee I_2 = \{x \in R \mid x \leq x_1 + x_2, x_1 \in I_1, x_2 \in I_2\}$ is the smallest ideal of R containing I_1 and I_2 .

Acharyulu, G.V.S.[3] defined $NP = \{x \in R \mid x = \sum_i a_i b_i \text{ for some } a_i \in N, b_i \in P\}$ for partial ideals N, P of a partial semiring R . For any ideals N, P we define NP in a so-ring as follows

Definition 2.1.11. Let N and P be ideals of a so-ring R . Then

$$NP = \{x \in R \mid x \leq \Sigma a_i b_i \text{ for some } a_i \in N, b_i \in P\}.$$

Theorem 2.1.12. If N and P are ideals of a complete so-ring R , then NP is an ideal of R . Moreover $NP \subset N \cap P$.

Proof. Note that $NP = \{x \in R \mid x \leq \Sigma_i a_i b_i \text{ for some } a_i \in N, b_i \in P\}$.

First we prove that NP is an ideal of R :

Let $(x_i : i \in I)$ be a summable family in NP .

Then $x_i \leq \Sigma_\alpha a_{i_\alpha} b_{i_\alpha}$, $a_{i_\alpha} \in N$, $b_{i_\alpha} \in P$, $i \in I$, $i_\alpha \in \Delta$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists h_i \in R \ni \Sigma_\alpha a_{i_\alpha} b_{i_\alpha} = x_i + h_i \quad \forall i \in I.$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \Sigma_i h_i \in R \ni \Sigma_{i \in I} \Sigma_{\alpha \in \Delta} a_{i_\alpha} b_{i_\alpha} = \Sigma_{i \in I} x_i + \Sigma_{i \in I} h_i.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_i x_i \leq \Sigma_{i \in I} \Sigma_{\alpha \in \Delta} a_{i_\alpha} b_{i_\alpha}.$$

Hence $\Sigma_i x_i \in NP$.

Let $x \in R \ni x \leq y$ where $y \in NP$. Then $x \leq y$ and $y \leq \Sigma_i a_i b_i$, $a_i \in N$, $b_i \in P$.

$$\Rightarrow x \leq \Sigma_i a_i b_i, a_i \in N, b_i \in P. \text{ Hence } x \in NP.$$

Let $x \in NP$ and $r \in R$. Then $x \leq \Sigma_i a_i b_i$, $a_i \in N$, $b_i \in P$ and $r \in R$.

$$\Rightarrow rx \leq \Sigma_i (ra_i) b_i, ra_i \in N, b_i \in P \text{ and } xr \leq \Sigma_i a_i (b_i r), a_i \in N, b_i r \in P.$$

Hence $rx, xr \in NP$. Therefore NP is an ideal of R .

Now for any $x \in NP$, $x \leq \Sigma_i a_i b_i$, $a_i \in N$, $b_i \in P$.

$$\text{Since } N, P \text{ are ideals of } R, a_i b_i \in N \cap P. \Rightarrow x \in N \cap P.$$

Hence $NP \subset N \cap P$. □

The following is an example of a so-ring R in which $N \cap P$ need not be contained in NP .

Example 2.1.13. Consider the so-ring $R = \{0, u, v, x, y, 1\}$ with Σ defined on R by

$$\Sigma x_i = \begin{cases} x_j, & \text{if } x_i = 0 \forall i \neq j, \text{ for some } j, \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and ‘ \cdot ’ defined by the following table:

\cdot	0	u	v	x	y	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
u	0	u	0	0	0	u
v	0	0	v	0	0	v
x	0	0	0	0	0	x
y	0	0	0	0	0	y
1	0	u	v	x	y	1

Then for ideals $\{0, x, y\}$, $\{0, u, x\}$ of R , $\{0, x, y\} \cap \{0, u, x\} = \{0, x\}$ whereas $\{0, x, y\}\{0, u, x\} = \{0\}$.

The following theorems can be proved easily.

Theorem 2.1.14. $\text{Ideal}(R)$, the set of all ideals of a complete so-ring R forms a complete so-ring with the operations partial sum as ‘ \vee ’ and multiplication as ‘ \cdot ’.

Theorem 2.1.15. $\text{Ideal}(R)$, the set of all ideals of a complete so-ring R forms a complete lattice with supremum as ‘ \vee ’ and infimum as ‘intersection’.

Definition 2.1.16. A so-ring R is said to be *Noetherian* if and only if it satisfies the ascending chain condition on ideals of R .

The so-rings $\text{pfn}(D, D)$, $\text{Mfn}(D, D)$, $\text{Mset}(D, D)$, \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{N} are all Noetherian where as the following is an example of a so-ring which is not Noetherian.

Example 2.1.17. Consider the so-ring $R = [0, 1]$ as in example 0.6.2. Since $[0, 0.1] \subset [0, 0.2] \subset \dots$ is a nonterminating ascending chain of ideals of R , R is not Noetherian.

Theorem 2.1.18. The following conditions on a so-ring R are equivalent

- (1) R is Noetherian
- (2) any nonempty collection of ideals of R has a maximal element
- (3) every ideal of R is finitely generated.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose R is Noetherian.

Let $\mathcal{C} = \{I_i \mid I_i \text{ is an ideal of } R, i \in I\}$ be a nonempty family of ideals of R .

Since \mathcal{C} is nonempty, \exists an ideal in \mathcal{C} , let it be I_1 .

If I_1 is maximal then we get (2).

Suppose I_1 is not maximal, \exists an ideal I_2 in $\mathcal{C} \ni I_1 \subset I_2$.

If I_2 is maximal then we get (2).

Suppose I_2 is not maximal, \exists an ideal I_3 in $\mathcal{C} \ni I_1 \subset I_2 \subset I_3$.

Continuing this process, we get either a maximal element in \mathcal{C} or we get an ascending chain of ideals $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots$ of R .

Since R is Noetherian, $\exists I_k \in \mathcal{C} \ni I_k = I_{k+1} = \dots$

Hence \mathcal{C} has a maximal element.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose any nonempty collection of ideals of R has a maximal element and let I be an ideal of R .

Let \mathcal{C} be the family of all finitely generated ideals of R contained in I .

Since (0) is a finitely generated ideal of R contained in I , $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$.

Then by assumption, \mathcal{C} has a maximal element, let it be $H = \langle \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \rangle$.

Let $b \in I$ and let $H_b = \langle \{a_1, \dots, a_n, b\} \rangle$.

Since $H \subseteq H_b$ and H is maximal element, we get $H_b = H. \Rightarrow b \in H \forall b \in I$.

Hence $I = H = \langle \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \rangle$, a finitely generated ideal of R .

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose every ideal of R is finitely generated.

Let $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending chain of left ideals of R .

Then $I = \bigcup_{i \in I} I_i$ is an ideal of R .

$\Rightarrow I$ is finitely generated. i.e., $I = \langle \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \rangle$.

$\Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ni I \subseteq I_n \subseteq I$ and $I_n = I_{n+1} = \dots$

Hence R is Noetherian. □

Definition 2.1.19. A nonempty subset A of a partial semiring R is said to be *subtractive* if it satisfies the condition:

for any $a, b \in R$, $a + b \in A$ and $b \in A$ implies that $a \in A$.

Definition 2.1.20. A nonempty subset A of a partial semiring R is said to be *strong* if it satisfies the condition:

for any $a, b \in R$, $a + b \in A$ implies that $a, b \in A$.

Example 2.1.21. Consider the partial semiring $R = \{0, u, v, x, y, 1\}$ with Σ defined on R by

$$\Sigma x_i = \begin{cases} x_j, & \text{if } x_i = 0 \forall i \neq j, \text{ for some } j, \\ 1, & \text{if } x_j = u, x_k = v \text{ for some } j, k \text{ and } x_i = 0 \forall i \neq j, k \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and ' \cdot ' defined by the following table:

.	0	u	v	x	y	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
u	0	u	0	x	0	u
v	0	0	v	0	y	v
x	0	0	x	0	u	x
y	0	y	0	v	0	y
1	0	u	v	x	y	1

Then the set $\{0, u, v, 1\}$ is strong subset and hence subtractive subset. And the set $\{x, 1\}$ is subtractive subset of R but not strong, whereas $\{u, 1\}$ is not subtractive subset of R .

Remark 2.1.22. In a so-ring R , every ideal is strong and hence subtractive.

Proof. Let I be an ideal of a so-ring R and let $a, b \in R \ni a + b \in I$.

Since $a \leq a + b$ and $b \leq a + b$, we have $a, b \in I$.

Hence I is strong ideal. □

Definition 2.1.23. A partial semiring R is said to be *left austere* if and only if R has no nonzero subtractive left partial ideals.

Since every ideal is subtractive in a so-ring R , a so-ring R is left austere if it has no nonzero left ideals.

$pfn(D, D)$, $Mfn(D, D)$ and $Mset(D, D)$ are all left austere so-rings, whereas the so-ring defined as in example 2.1.13 is not left austere.

Definition 2.1.24. A partial semiring R is said to be *entire* if it has no nonzero divisors. i.e., for any $a, b \in R$, $ab = 0$ then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

Theorem 2.1.25. If R is a left austere so-ring then R is entire.

Proof. Suppose $\exists 0 \neq a, 0 \neq b \in R \ni ab = 0$ and take $I' = \{r \in R \mid rb = 0\}$.

First we prove that I' is a left ideal of R .

Let $(x_i : i \in I)$ be a summable family in $R \ni x_i \in I' \forall i \in I$.

Then $x_i b = 0 \forall i \in I. \Rightarrow (\sum_i x_i) b = 0$.

Hence $\sum_i x_i \in I'$.

Let $x \in R$ and $y \in I' \ni x \leq y$.

Then $x \in R$ and $y b = 0 \ni x \leq y. \Rightarrow x b \leq y b = 0. \Rightarrow x b = 0$.

Hence $x \in I'$.

Let $r \in R$ and $x \in I'$.

Then $r \in R$ and $x b = 0. \Rightarrow (r x) b = r(x b) = 0$.

Hence $r x \in I'$.

Moreover $0 \neq a \in I'$.

Hence I' is a nonzero left ideal of R .

Since R is left austere, $I' = R \Rightarrow 1 \in I'$ so that $1 \cdot b = 0$, a contradiction.

Hence R is entire. □

In general, the converse of the above theorem is not true. This is illustrated by the following example.

Example 2.1.26. Consider the so-ring $R = [0, 1]$ as defined in the example 0.7.2. For any nonzero $x \in R$, $[0, x]$ is nonzero ideal of R and hence R is not left austere. Since $a \cdot b = \inf\{a, b\} = 0$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, $R = [0, 1]$ is an entire so-ring.

Remark 2.1.27. Let R be a complete so-ring and $a \in R$. Then the smallest ideal generated by $\{a\}$ is $\langle \{a\} \rangle = \{x \in R \mid x \leq \sum r_i a s_i, r_i, s_i \in R\}$. We call $\langle \{a\} \rangle$ as the *principal ideal generated by a* and we denote it by (a) .

Lemma 2.1.28. The join of any two principal ideals in a complete so-ring R is a principal ideal.

Proof. Let $(a), (b)$ be two principal ideals of R .

We prove that $(a) \vee (b) = (a + b)$.

So let $x \in (a) \vee (b)$, then $x \leq \sum_i r_i a s_i + \sum_j r'_j b s'_j \leq (\sum_{i,j} r_i + r'_j)(a + b)(\sum_{i,j} s_i + s'_j)$

for some $r_i, r'_j, s_i, s'_j \in R$ and hence $x \in (a + b)$.

Conversely if $x \in (a + b)$, then $x \leq \sum_i r_i (a + b) s_i \leq \sum_i r_i a s_i + \sum_i r_i b s_i$

$\Rightarrow x \in (a) \vee (b)$.

Hence the lemma. □

Remark 2.1.29. In any complete so-ring R , $(a_1) \vee (a_2) \vee \dots \vee (a_n) = (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n)$.

Proof. We prove this by mathematical induction on n .

Clearly the result is true for $n = 1$ and from the above lemma the result is

true for $n = 2$.

Suppose $(a_1) \vee (a_2) \vee \dots \vee (a_n) = (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n)$.

Then $(a_1) \vee (a_2) \vee \dots \vee (a_n) \vee (a_{n+1}) = (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n) \vee (a_{n+1})$

$= (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + a_{n+1})$ (by lemma 2.1.28).

Hence the remark. □

Definition 2.1.30. Let I, J be two ideals of a so-ring R . Then define

$$I + J = \{x + y \mid x \in I, y \in J\}.$$

Definition 2.1.31. A so-ring R is said to have decomposition property if and only if for any $a, b, c \in R$, $a \leq b + c$ implies $a = b_1 + c_1$ where $0 \leq b_1 \leq b$, $0 \leq c_1 \leq c$.

Theorem 2.1.32. Let I, J be ideals of a so-ring R satisfying the decomposition property. Then $I + J$ is an ideal of R . In fact $I \vee J = I + J$.

Proof. First we prove that $I + J$ is an ideal of R .

Let $(x_i : i \in \Delta)$ be a summable family in R and $x_i \in I + J \forall i \in \Delta$.

Then $x_i = y_i + z_i$, $y_i \in I$, $z_i \in J \forall i \in \Delta$.

$\Rightarrow \Sigma x_i = \Sigma y_i + \Sigma z_i$, $\Sigma y_i \in I$, $\Sigma z_i \in J$. and hence $\Sigma x_i \in I + J$.

Let $x \in R$, $y \in I + J \ni x \leq y$. Then $x \leq y = p + q$ for some $p \in I, q \in J$.

Then by decomposition property $\exists 0 \leq p_1 \leq p, 0 \leq q_1 \leq q \ni x = p_1 + q_1$.

$\Rightarrow x = p_1 + q_1$ for some $p_1 \in I, q_1 \in J$ and hence $x \in I + J$.

Let $r \in R$ and $x \in I + J$. Then $r \in R$ and $x = y + z$, $y \in I$, $z \in J$.

$\Rightarrow rx = ry + rz$, $ry \in I$, $rz \in J$ and $xr = yr + zr$, $yr \in I$, $zr \in J$.

$\Rightarrow rx, xr \in I + J$.

Hence $I + J$ is an ideal of R .

Now we prove that $I + J = I \vee J$.

Clearly $I \subseteq I + J$ and $J \subseteq I + J$.

Let K be any ideal of $R \ni I \subseteq K$ and $J \subseteq K$.

Then $I + J \subseteq K$ and hence $I + J$ is the smallest ideal of R containing I and J .

Hence the theorem. \square

The following is an example of a so-ring R in which the decomposition property fails and $I + J$ is not an ideal for ideals I, J .

Example 2.1.33. Let (R, Σ, \cdot) be the so-ring as in example 2.1.9. For $c, d \in R$, $c < d = a + b$ and \exists no $0 \leq x \leq a, 0 \leq y \leq b \ni c = x + y$. Thus the decomposition property fails. And for ideals $\{0, a\}, \{0, b\}$ of R , $\{0, a\} + \{0, b\} = \{0, a, b, d\}$ which is not an ideal since $c < d$ and $c \notin \{0, a, b, d\}$.

Theorem 2.1.34. The lattice of ideals of a so-ring R satisfying the decomposition property is a distributive lattice.

Proof. Let I, J, K be ideals of R .

Clearly $(I \wedge J) \vee (I \wedge K) \subseteq I \wedge (J \vee K)$.

Let $x \in I \wedge (J \vee K)$. $\Rightarrow x \in I, x = y + z$ for some $y \in J, z \in K$.

Since $y \leq y + z, z \leq y + z$, we have $y, z \in I$.

$\Rightarrow x = y + z$ for $y \in I \wedge J, z \in I \wedge K$.

$\Rightarrow I \wedge (J \vee K) \subseteq (I \wedge J) \vee (I \wedge K)$.

Hence the theorem. \square

Following is an example of a so-ring in which the decomposition property fails and the lattice of ideals is not distributive.

Example 2.1.35. Let (R, Σ, \cdot) be the so-ring as in example 2.1.9. Consider $I = \{0, a\}$, $J = \{0, b\}$, $K = \{0, c\}$ then $I \wedge (J \vee K) = \{0, a\}$ whereas $(I \wedge J) \vee (I \wedge K) = \{0\}$.

Lemma 2.1.36. For any ideals I, J, K of a complete so-ring R , $I(J \vee K) = IJ \vee IK$.

Proof. Let $x \in I(J \vee K)$.

Then $x \leq \Sigma y_i z_i$ where $y_i \in I$ and $z_i \leq z'_i + z''_i$, $z'_i \in J$, $z''_i \in K$.

$\Rightarrow x \leq \Sigma y_i (z'_i + z''_i) = \Sigma y_i z'_i + \Sigma y_i z''_i \in IJ \vee IK$.

Conversely let $x \in IJ \vee IK$.

Then $x \leq \Sigma y_i y'_i + \Sigma z_j z'_j$ where $y_i, z_j \in I$, $y'_i, z'_j \in K$.

$\Rightarrow x \leq \Sigma (y_i + z_i)(y'_i + z'_i)$ where $y_i + z_i \in I$, $y'_i + z'_i \in J \vee K$.

$\Rightarrow x \in I(J \vee K)$.

Hence the lemma. □

Following the notion of ‘ a well inside b ’ in a semiring introduced by Golan[16], we have

Definition 2.1.37. Let a, b be elements of a partial semiring R . Then we say that a is well inside b , written as $a \triangleleft b$, if and only if $\exists c \in R \ni ac = ca = 0$, & $c + b = 1$.

Remark 2.1.38. In any so-ring R , $0 \triangleleft 0$ and $a \triangleleft 1$ for $a \in R$.

Proof. Since $\exists 1 \in R \ni 0 \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot 0 = 0$ and $1 + 0 = 1$, we have $0 \triangleleft 0$.

Since $\exists 0 \in R \ni a \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot a = 0$ and $0 + 1 = 1$, we have $a \triangleleft 1 \forall a \in R$. □

Remark 2.1.39. If a, b are elements of a partial semiring R satisfying $a \triangleleft b$ then $ab = a = ba$.

Proof. Since $a \triangleleft b$, $\exists c \in R \ni ac = ca = 0$ and $c + b = 1$.

$\Rightarrow a(c + b) = a$ and $(c + b)a = a$. Hence $ab = a = ba$. □

Theorem 2.1.40. If R is a commutative complete so-ring, then the set S of all elements I of $\text{ideal}(R)$ satisfying the condition that $a \in I$ implies $a \triangleleft b$ for some $b \in I$ is a subso-ring of $\text{ideal}(R)$.

Proof. Let $S = \{I \in \text{ideal}(R) \mid a \in I \text{ implies } a \triangleleft b \text{ for some } b \in I\}$.

To prove S is a subso-ring of R , it is enough to prove S is closed with respect to the operations partial sum ' \bigvee ', multiplication ' \cdot ' of the so-ring $\text{ideal}(R)$.

Let $(I_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta)$ be a family of ideals in S .

Then $\bigvee I_\alpha$ is an ideal of R .

Let $a \in \bigvee I_\alpha$. Then $a \leq \sum_\alpha a_\alpha$ for $a_\alpha \in I_\alpha$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists b_\alpha \in I_\alpha \ni a_\alpha \triangleleft b_\alpha \forall \alpha \in \Delta.$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists c_\alpha \in R \ni a_\alpha c_\alpha = 0, c_\alpha + b_\alpha = 1 \forall \alpha \in \Delta.$$

Take $d = b_{\alpha_1} b_{\alpha_2} \dots + c_{\alpha_1} b_{\alpha_2} \dots + \dots + c_{\alpha_1} c_{\alpha_2} \dots b_{\alpha_n} \dots + \dots$ and $c = c_{\alpha_1} c_{\alpha_2} \dots c_{\alpha_n} \dots$

Then $ac = 0$, $c + d = 1$ and $d \in \bigvee I_\alpha$ and hence $a \triangleleft d$ for some $d \in \bigvee I_\alpha$.

Hence $\bigvee I_\alpha \in S$.

Let I, H be ideals of S , then IH is an ideal of R .

Let $a \in IH$. Then $a \in I \cap H$. $\Rightarrow \exists b \in I, b' \in H \ni a \triangleleft b, a \triangleleft b'$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists c, c' \in R \ni ac = 0, c + b = 1 \text{ and } ac' = 0, c' + b' = 1.$$

Take $d = bc' + cb' + cc'$. Then $ad = 0, bb' + d = 1$ for $bb' \in IH$.

$$\Rightarrow a \triangleleft bb' \text{ for } bb' \in IH \text{ and hence } IH \in S.$$

Hence S is a sub so-ring of $\text{ideal}(R)$. □

Definition 2.1.41. An element a in a so-ring R is said to be *complemented* if and only if $a \triangleleft a$. We denote the set of all complemented elements of R by $\text{comp}(R)$. i.e., $\text{comp}(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \triangleleft a\}$.

Definition 2.1.42. We denote the set of all elements of a so-ring R which commutes with every element of R by $C(R)$. i.e., $C(R) = \{a \in R \mid ab = ba \forall b \in R\}$.

Theorem 2.1.43. An ideal I of a complete so-ring R is complemented in $\text{ideal}(R)$ if $I = (a)$ for some $1 \neq a \in \text{comp}(R) \cap C(R)$.

Proof. Suppose $I = (a)$ for some $1 \neq a \in \text{comp}(R) \cap C(R)$.

Then $\exists b \in R \ni a + b = 1, ab = 0 = ba$ and $ar = ra \forall r \in R$.

Take $H = (b)$, the ideal generated by b .

Since $1 = a + b \in (a) \vee (b) = I \vee H, I \vee H = R$.

To prove $IH = 0$, take $x \in I \cap H$. Then $x \leq \sum_i r_i a s_i, x \leq \sum_j r'_j b s'_j$ for $r_i, r'_j, s_i, s'_j \in R$.

$$\Rightarrow xb \leq (\sum_i r_i a s_i) b = \sum_i r_i s_i (ab) = 0 \text{ and } xa \leq (\sum_j r'_j b s'_j) a = \sum_j r'_j (ba) s'_j = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow xa = 0, xb = 0.$$

Since $1 = a + b$, we have $x = xa + xb = 0$, hence $I \cap H = 0$ and hence $IH = 0$.

Hence the theorem. □

Definition 2.1.44. An ideal D of a so-ring R is said to be *dense* if it satisfies the condition: for any $r \in R, rD = 0$ implies $r = 0$.

Example 2.1.45. Let $[0, 1]$ be the unit interval of real numbers. For any family $(x_i : i \in I)$ in $[0, 1]$, define $\Sigma(x_i : i \in I)$ as the $\sup\{x_i \mid i \in I\}$ and for any x, y in $[0, 1]$, $x \cdot y$ as the usual product. Then $[0, 1]$ is a so-ring and for any $x \in [0, 1]$, $[0, x]$ is a dense ideal of $[0, 1]$.

Theorem 2.1.46. For a complete so-ring R , we have

- (i). R is dense
- (ii). if D is dense and $D \subset D'$ then D' is dense
- (iii). if D and D' are dense, so are DD' and $D \cap D'$.

Proof. (i). Let $r \in R \ni rR = 0$. Then $r = r1 = 0$. Hence R is dense.

(ii). Suppose D is dense and $D \subset D'$.

Let $r \in R \ni rD' = 0$. For any $x \in rD, x \leq \Sigma r d_i, d_i \in D$.

$$\Rightarrow x \leq \Sigma r d_i \text{ for } d_i \in D \subset D'.$$

$\Rightarrow x \in rD' = 0$ and hence $rD = 0$. Since D is dense, $r = 0$.

Hence D' is a dense ideal of R .

(iii). Suppose D and D' are dense ideals of R .

Let $r \in R \ni r(DD') = 0$. Then $(rD)D' = 0$. Since D' is dense, $rD = 0$.

Since D is dense, $r = 0$.

Hence DD' is a dense ideal of R .

Since $DD' \subset D \cap D'$, $D \cap D'$ is also dense ideal of R . □

Definition 2.1.47. Let A and B be any two subsets of a partial semiring R . Then we define $(A : B) = \{r \in R \mid rB \subset A\}$.

Remark 2.1.48. If A, B are ideals of a complete so-ring R then $(A : B)$ is also an ideal of R .

Proof. Let $(x_i : i \in I)$ be a summable family in R and $x_i \in (A : B) \forall i \in I$.

Then $x_i B \subset A \forall i \in I. \Rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in I} (x_i B) \subset A$.

$\Rightarrow (\Sigma x_i) B \subset A$ and hence $\Sigma x_i \in (A : B)$.

Let $x \in R$ and $y \in (A : B) \ni x \leq y$.

Then $yB \subset A$ and $x \leq y$. For any $t \in xB$, $t \leq \Sigma x b_i$, $b_i \in B$.

$\Rightarrow t \leq \Sigma y b_i$, $b_i \in B. \Rightarrow t \in yB$.

$\Rightarrow xB \subset yB \subset A$ and hence $x \in (A : B)$.

Let $r \in R$ and $x \in (A : B)$. Then $r \in R$ and $xB \subset A$.

$\Rightarrow (rx)B = r(xB) \subset rA \subset A$ and hence $rx \in (A : B)$.

Now $(xr)B = x(rB) \subseteq xB \subseteq A$ and hence $xr \in (A : B)$.

Hence $(A : B)$ is an ideal of R . □

Remark 2.1.49. It may be noted that the above remark is true for partial semirings and thus $(A : B)$ is a partial ideal of R if A and B are partial ideals of R .

Theorem 2.1.50. If A, B, C are ideals of a complete so-ring R then $(AB)C = A(BC)$.

Moreover $AB \subset C \iff A \subset (C : B)$.

Proof. First we prove that $(AB)C = A(BC)$:

Let $x \in (AB)C$. Then $x \leq \sum_i x_i c_i$, $x_i \in AB$, $c_i \in C$, $i \in I$.

Since $x_i \in AB$, $\forall i \in I$, $x_i \leq \sum_j a_{ij} b_{ij}$ where $a_{ij} \in A$, $b_{ij} \in B$, $j \in J$.

$\Rightarrow x \leq \sum_i [\sum_j a_{ij} b_{ij}] c_i = \sum_i \sum_j a_{ij} (b_{ij} c_i) \in A(BC)$.

In the similar way, we can prove if $x \in A(BC)$ then $x \in (AB)C$.

Hence $(AB)C = A(BC)$.

Now we prove that $AB \subset C \iff A \subset (C : B)$:

Suppose $AB \subset C$. Then for any $a \in A$, $aB \subset AB \subset C$.

$\Rightarrow a \in (C : B)$ and hence $A \subset (C : B)$.

Conversely suppose that $A \subset (C : B)$.

Let $x \in AB$. Then $x \leq \sum a_i b_i$, $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$, $i \in I$.

Since $a_i \in A$, $a_i \in (C : B) \forall i \in I$. $\Rightarrow a_i B \subset C \forall i \in I$.

$\Rightarrow a_i b_i \in C \forall i \in I$. $\Rightarrow x \leq \sum a_i b_i \in C$ and hence $AB \subset C$.

Hence the theorem. □

Corollary 2.1.51. If $A, B, C, A_i (i \in I)$ are ideals of a complete so-ring R then

(i). $((A : B) : C) = (A : CB)$

(ii). $(\bigvee A_i)B = \bigvee (A_i B)$

(iii). $AR = A = RA$

(iv). $(A : R) = A, (A : A) = R$.

Proof. (i). $X \subseteq ((A : B) : C) \iff XC \subseteq (A : B) \iff (XC)B \subseteq A$

$\iff X(CB) \subseteq A \iff X \subseteq (A : CB)$.

(ii). $(\bigvee A_i)B \subseteq X \iff \bigvee A_i \subseteq (X : B) \iff A_i \subseteq (X : B) \forall i \in I$

$\iff A_i B \subseteq X \forall i \in I \iff \bigvee (A_i B) \subseteq X$.

(iii). Since A is an ideal of R , $AR = A = RA$.

(iv). $X \subseteq (A : R) \Leftrightarrow XR \subseteq A \Leftrightarrow X \subseteq A$.

For any $X \subseteq R$, $XA \subseteq A$ and hence $X \subseteq (A : A)$. Hence $(A : A) = R$.

Hence the corollary. □

Definition 2.1.52. Let A be any subset of a partial semiring R . Then the *left annihilator* of A , denoted by A^* , defined as $(0 : A)$. i.e., $A^* = \{r \in R \mid rA = 0\}$.

Theorem 2.1.53. Let K, K_1, K_2 be ideals of a complete so-ring R . Then

(i). K is dense if and only if $K^* = 0$

(ii). $(K_1 \vee K_2)^* = K_1^* \cap K_2^*$.

Proof. (i). K is dense ideal \Leftrightarrow for each nonzero $r \in R$, $rK \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow K^* = 0$.

(ii). $x \in (K_1 \vee K_2)^* \Leftrightarrow x(K_1 \vee K_2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow xK_1 \vee xK_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow xK_1 = 0$

and $xK_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in K_1^* \cap K_2^*$.

Hence the theorem. □

Theorem 2.1.54. In any commutative so-ring R , we have the following

(i). $K \subset J \Rightarrow J^* \subset K^*$

(ii). $K \subset K^{**}$

(iii). $K^{***} = K^*$.

Proof. (i). Suppose $K \subset J$. Then for any $x \in J^*$, $xJ = 0 \Rightarrow xK \subset xJ = 0$.

$\Rightarrow x \in K^*$ and hence $J^* \subset K^*$.

(ii). For any $x \in K$, $yx = 0 \forall y \in K^* \Rightarrow K^*x = 0 \Rightarrow xK^* = 0$ and hence $x \in K^{**}$.

(iii). By (i) and (ii), we get $K^{***} = K^*$.

Hence the theorem. □

Theorem 2.1.55. Let I be an ideal I of a commutative complete so-ring R . Then the following are equivalent

(i). $H \vee I^* = (HI : I)$

(ii). $HI = KI$ implies $H \vee I^* = K \vee I^*$ for all ideals H and K of R .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose $H \vee I^* = (HI : I)$ and $HI = KI$ for any ideals H, K of R .

Then $H \vee I^* = (HI : I) = (KI : I) = K \vee I^*$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose $HI = KI$ implies $H \vee I^* = K \vee I^*$ for any ideals H, K of R .

Then for any $a \in (HI : I)$, $aI \subseteq HI \Rightarrow aI \vee HI = HI \Rightarrow (\{a\} \vee H)I = HI$.

$\Rightarrow (\{a\} \vee H) \vee I^* = H \vee I^* \Rightarrow a \in H \vee I^*$. Hence $(HI : I) \subseteq H \vee I^*$.

Now for any $a \in H \vee I^*$, $a \leq x_1 + x_2$ for some $x_1 \in I^*$, $x_2 \in H$.

$\Rightarrow aI \subseteq (x_1 + x_2)I$ for $x_1I = 0$ and $x_2 \in H$.

$\Rightarrow aI \subseteq x_1I \vee x_2I = x_2I \subseteq HI \Rightarrow a \in (HI : I)$. Hence $H \vee I^* \subseteq (HI : I)$.

Hence the theorem. □

Now we define the notion of a maximal ideal in so-ring as follows.

Definition 2.1.56. A proper ideal I of a so-ring R is said to be *maximal* if and only if it is not properly contained in any other proper ideal of R .

Example 2.1.57. Consider the so-ring R as in example 2.1.13. The ideal $\{0, u, v, x, y\}$ is the only maximal ideal of R .

Theorem 2.1.58. Every proper ideal of a so-ring R is contained in some maximal ideal of R .

Proof. Let I be a proper ideal of R .

Suppose I is not maximal. Then \exists a proper ideal J of $R \ni I \subset J$.

Take $\mathcal{C} = \{K \in \text{ideal}(R) \mid I \subset K\}$.

Since $J \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$.

Moreover (\mathcal{C}, \subseteq) is a partially ordered set.

For any simply ordered family $\{K_i \mid i \in I\}$ in \mathcal{C} , $K^* = \bigcup K_i$ is an upper bound in \mathcal{C} .

Then by Zorn's lemma, \mathcal{C} has a maximal element.

Hence the theorem. □

Theorem 2.1.59. A proper ideal I of a complete so-ring R is maximal if and only if for any element $x \in R$, $x \notin I$, $I \vee(x) = R$.

Proof. Suppose I is maximal ideal and let $x \in R \ni x \notin I$.

Then $I \subset I \vee(x) \subseteq R$. Since I is maximal, $I \vee(x) = R$.

Conversely suppose that $I \vee(x) = R$ for $x \in R$, $x \notin I$.

Let J be an ideal of $R \ni I \subset J \subseteq R$. Then $\exists x \in J \subseteq R \ni x \notin I$.

$$\Rightarrow I \vee(x) = R. \Rightarrow R = I \vee(x) \subseteq J.$$

Hence $J = R$. Hence the theorem. □

§2.2. Prime & semiprime ideals

In this section we prove that the ideal lattice of a class of so-rings is isomorphic to the lattice of all open sets of the stone space.

We begin with the following.

Definition 2.2.1. A proper ideal P of a so-ring R is said to *prime* if and only if for any ideals A, B of R , $AB \subset P$ implies $A \subset P$ or $B \subset P$.

Example 2.2.2. Consider the so-ring $R = [0, 1]$ as in example 0.6.2. $[0, x]$, $[0, y]$, $[0, z]$ are ideals of $R \ni [0, x] \cdot [0, y] \subseteq [0, z]$ where $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$. Then $[0, \inf\{x, y\}] \subseteq [0, z]$. Since R is a chain, either $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$. This implies either $[0, x] \subseteq [0, z]$ or $[0, y] \subseteq [0, z]$. Hence every ideal $[0, z]$ of R is a prime ideal of R .

Theorem 2.2.3. If P is a proper ideal of a complete so-ring R then the following are equivalent

- (i). P is prime
- (ii). $\{arb \mid r \in R\} \subseteq P \Leftrightarrow a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose P is prime and take $P' = \{arb \mid r \in R\}$.

If $a \in P$ or $b \in P$ then clearly $P' \subseteq P$.

Suppose $P' \subseteq P$ and take $A = (a), B = (b)$.

Let $x \in AB$. Then $x \leq \sum_i a_i b_i$ for $a_i \in (a), b_i \in (b)$.

$$\Rightarrow \forall i \in I, a_i \leq \sum_j r_{ij} a s_{ij} \text{ and } b_i \leq \sum_k r'_{ik} b s'_{ik}, r_{ij}, s_{ij}, r'_{ik}, s'_{ik} \in R.$$

$$\Rightarrow x \leq \sum_i (\sum_j r_{ij} a s_{ij}) (\sum_k r'_{ik} b s'_{ik}).$$

$$\Rightarrow x \leq \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k [r_{ij} (a s_{ij} r'_{ik} b) s'_{ik}].$$

Since $P' \subseteq P$ and P is an ideal of R , we have $x \in P$.

$$\Rightarrow AB \subseteq P. \Rightarrow A = (a) \subseteq P \text{ or } B = (b) \subseteq P.$$

Hence $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose $P' = \{arb \mid r \in R\} \subseteq P \Leftrightarrow a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Let A, B be ideals of R such that $AB \subseteq P$ and suppose $A \not\subseteq P$

Then $\exists x \in A \ni x \notin P$.

For any $y \in B, ry \in B \forall r \in R. \Rightarrow \{xry \mid r \in R\} \subseteq AB \subseteq P$.

$$\Rightarrow x \in P \text{ or } y \in P. \Rightarrow y \in P \text{ and hence } B \subseteq P.$$

Hence P is prime ideal. □

The following results can be obtained directly from theorem 2.2.3.

Corollary 2.2.4. If P is a prime ideal of a complete so-ring R and $a, b \in R$ then the following are equivalent

- (i). if $ab \in P$ then $a \in P$ or $b \in P$
- (ii). if $ab \in P$ then $ba \in P$.

Corollary 2.2.5. An ideal P of a commutative complete so-ring R is prime if and only if for any $a, b \in R$, $ab \in P$ implies $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Definition 2.2.6. A so-ring R is said to be *prime* if and only if (0) is a prime ideal.

$pfn(D, D)$, $Mfn(D, D)$ and $Mset(D, D)$ are prime so-rings for any nonempty set D . It may be noted that the so-ring R considered in example 2.1.13 is not a prime so-ring.

Corollary 2.2.7. A so-ring R is prime if and only if $1 \neq 0$ and for each pair of nonzero elements a, b in R there exists r in R such that $arb \neq 0$.

Definition 2.2.8. A nonempty subset A of a so-ring R is said to be an *m-system* if and only if for any $a, b \in A$, $\exists r \in R \ni arb \in A$.

Example 2.2.9. Consider the so-ring as in example 2.1.13. Then the set $\{0, u, v\}$ is an *m-system* of R .

Corollary 2.2.10. A proper ideal P of a complete so-ring R is prime if and only if $R \setminus P$ is an *m-system*.

Proof. An ideal P of R is prime

$$\Leftrightarrow (\{arb \mid r \in R\} \subseteq P \text{ then } a \in P \text{ or } b \in P) \text{ (by theorem 2.2.3).}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a \notin P \text{ and } b \notin P \text{ then } \{arb \mid r \in R\} \not\subseteq P)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for every } a, b \in R \setminus P, \exists r \in R \ni arb \in R \setminus P$$

$$\Leftrightarrow R \setminus P \text{ is an } m\text{-system.} \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2.11. If A is an *m-system* of elements of a complete so-ring R and if I is an ideal of R which is maximal among all those ideals of R disjoint from A then P is prime.

Proof. Take $\mathcal{C} = \{J \in \text{ideal}(R) \mid J \cap A = \emptyset\}$.

Then $I \in \mathcal{C}$ and I is maximal element in \mathcal{C} .

Let H, K be ideals of $R \ni HK \subseteq I$ and suppose $H \not\subseteq I$ and $K \not\subseteq I$.

$$\Rightarrow I \subset H \vee I \text{ and } I \subset K \vee I.$$

By the maximality of I , $(H \vee I) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $(K \vee I) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists x, y \in A \ni x \in H \vee I \text{ and } y \in K \vee I.$$

Since A is an m -system, $\exists r \in R \ni xry \in A$, $x \leq x_1 + x_2$ and $y \leq y_1 + y_2$

for some $x_1 \in H, y_1 \in K, x_2, y_2 \in I$.

Then $xry \leq (x_1 + x_2)r(y_1 + y_2) = x_1ry_1 + x_1ry_2 + x_2ry_1 + x_2ry_2 \in HK \vee I = I$.

$$\Rightarrow I \cap A \neq \emptyset, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Hence I is a prime ideal of R . □

Remark 2.2.12. Any maximal ideal of a complete so-ring R is prime.

Proof. Let M be a maximal ideal of R and $a, b \in R \ni I' = \{arb \mid r \in R\} \subseteq M$.

Suppose $a \notin M$. $\Rightarrow M \subset M \vee (a)$. $\Rightarrow M \vee (a) = R$.

$$\Rightarrow 1 \in M \vee (a). \Rightarrow 1 \leq \Sigma r_i a s_i + m \text{ for some } r_i, s_i \in R, m \in M.$$

$$\Rightarrow b \leq (\Sigma r_i a s_i + m)b = \Sigma r_i (a s_i b) + mb \in M \text{ and hence } b \in M.$$

Thus $\{arb \mid r \in R\} \subseteq M$ if and only if $a \in M$ or $b \in M$.

Hence M is maximal ideal of R . □

The following is an example of a so-ring R in which a prime ideal is not a maximal ideal.

Example 2.2.13. Consider the so-ring $R = [0, 1]$ as in example 0.6.2. Then for any $x \in R$, the ideal $[0, x]$ is prime but not maximal.

Theorem 2.2.14. Every prime ideal I of a complete so-ring R contains a minimal prime ideal.

Proof. Take $\mathcal{C} = \{P \in \text{ideal}(R) \mid P \text{ is prime and } P \subseteq I\}$.

Then $I \in \mathcal{C}$ and hence (\mathcal{C}, \subseteq) is a nonempty partially ordered set.

Let $\{H_i \mid i \in \Delta\}$ be a descending chain of prime ideals of R contained in I .

Then $H = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} H_i$ is an ideal of R such that $H \subseteq I$.

To prove H is prime, let $a, b \in R \ni \{arb \mid r \in R\} \subseteq H$ and suppose $a \notin H$.

$\Rightarrow a \notin H_k$ for some $k \in \Delta$.

Since $a \notin H_k$, $\{arb \mid r \in R\} \subseteq H_k$ and H_k is prime, we have $b \in H_k$.

Now $\forall i \leq k$, $H_k \subseteq H_i$ and hence $b \in H_i \forall i \leq k, i \in \Delta$.

Now $\forall i > k$, $H_i \subseteq H_k$ and hence $a \notin H_i$.

Since $\{arb \mid r \in R\} \subseteq H_i$, H_i is prime and $a \notin H_i$, we have $b \in H_i \forall i > k, i \in \Delta$.

$\Rightarrow b \in H_i \forall i \in \Delta$ and hence $b \in H = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} H_i$.

Hence H is a prime ideal of R .

Thus $H \in \mathcal{C}$ and H is a lower bound of $\{H_i \mid i \in \Delta\}$ in \mathcal{C} .

Then by Zorn's lemma, \mathcal{C} has a minimal element.

Hence the theorem. □

Theorem 2.2.15. If I is an ideal of a complete so-ring R and if H is minimal among those ideals of R properly containing I then $K = \{r \in R \mid rH \subseteq I\}$ is a prime ideal of R .

Proof. By remark 2.1.48, $K = \{r \in R \mid rH \subseteq I\} = (I : H)$ is an ideal of R .

Let A, B be ideals of $R \ni AB \subseteq K$ and suppose $B \not\subseteq K$.

$\Rightarrow (AB)H \subseteq I$ and $\exists x \in B \ni x \notin K$.

Suppose $BH \subseteq I$. Then $B \subseteq K$, a contradiction.

Hence $BH \not\subseteq I$. $\Rightarrow I \subset I \vee BH \subseteq H$.

By the minimality of H , $I \vee BH = H$. $\Rightarrow AI \vee A(BH) = AH$.

$\Rightarrow AH \subseteq I \vee I \subseteq I$. $\Rightarrow A \subseteq K$.

Hence K is a prime ideal of R . □

Lemma 2.2.16. Let I be an ideal of a complete so-ring R and $a \in R \ni a \notin I$. Then there exists a prime ideal P of R such that $I \subset P$ and $a \notin P$.

Proof. Take $\mathcal{C} = \{J \in \text{ideal}(R) \mid I \subset J \ \& \ a \notin J\}$.

Then by Zorn's lemma, \mathcal{C} has a maximal element, let it be P .

Now we prove that P is prime:

Let H, K be ideals of $R \ni HK \subseteq P$ and suppose $H \not\subseteq P \ \& \ K \not\subseteq P$.

Then $P \subset H \vee P$ and $P \subset K \vee P. \Rightarrow a \in H \vee P$ and $a \in K \vee P$.

$\Rightarrow a \in (H \vee P)(K \vee P) \subseteq HK \vee P = P$, a contradiction.

Hence P is a prime ideal of R . □

Definition 2.2.17. Let R be a so-ring. For $a \in R$, let $r(a) = \{P \in \text{spec}(R) \mid a \notin P\}$. The topological space $\tau(R)$ defined on $\text{spec}(R)$ (the set of all prime ideals of R) such that the sets of the form $\{r(a) \mid a \in R\}$ is a subbase for open sets is called the *stone space* of R .

Lemma 2.2.18. Let $r(I) = \{P \in \text{spec}(R) \mid I \not\subseteq P\}$. Then

- (i). $r(I) \cap r(J) = r(I \cap J)$ for any ideals I, J of R
- (ii). $r(\bigvee I_\alpha) = \bigcup r(I_\alpha)$ for any family $\{I_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of ideals of R
- (iii). $r(a) = r((a))$ for any $a \in R$
- (iv). $a \in I$ if and only if $r(a) \subset r(I)$.

Proof. (i). Let $P \in r(I) \cap r(J)$. Then $I \not\subseteq P$ and $J \not\subseteq P$.

$\Rightarrow IJ \not\subseteq P$ (Since P is prime). $\Rightarrow I \cap J \not\subseteq P$ and hence $P \in r(I \cap J)$.

Now let $P \in r(I \cap J)$. $\Rightarrow I \cap J \not\subseteq P$.

$\Rightarrow I \not\subseteq P$ and $J \not\subseteq P$ and hence $P \in r(I) \cap r(J)$.

Therefore $r(I) \cap r(J) = r(I \cap J)$.

(ii). $P \in r(\bigvee I_\alpha) \Leftrightarrow \bigvee I_\alpha \not\subset P \Leftrightarrow I_\alpha \not\subset P$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta \Leftrightarrow P \in \bigcup r(I_\alpha)$.

Hence $r(\bigvee I_\alpha) = \bigcup r(I_\alpha)$.

(iii). $P \in r(a) \Leftrightarrow a \notin P \Leftrightarrow (a) \not\subset P \Leftrightarrow P \in r((a))$.

Hence $r(a) = r((a))$ for any $a \in R$.

(iv). Suppose $a \in I$ and let $P \in r(a)$. Then $a \in I$ and $a \notin P$.

$\Rightarrow I \not\subset P. \Rightarrow P \in r(I)$ and hence $r(a) \subset r(I)$.

Suppose $r(a) \subset r(I)$ and $a \notin I$.

Then by lemma 2.2.16, \exists a prime ideal $P \ni I \subset P$ and $a \notin P$.

$\Rightarrow P \in r(a) \subset r(I)$ and hence $I \not\subset P$, a contradiction.

Hence $a \in I$. Hence the lemma. □

Theorem 2.2.19. The ideal lattice of a complete so-ring R is isomorphic to the lattice of all open sets of the stone space of R .

Proof. Let I be an ideal of R .

Then by lemma 2.2.18, $r(I) = r(\bigvee_{a \in I} (a)) = \bigcup_{a \in I} r((a)) = \bigcup_{a \in I} r(a)$.

Since each $r(a)$ is an open set of the stone space of R , $r(I)$ is an open set of the stone space of R .

Let C be any open set of the stone space of R , then $C = \bigcup F_\alpha$ where each

$F_\alpha = r(a_1^\alpha) \cap \dots \cap r(a_n^\alpha)$ for some $a_1^\alpha, \dots, a_n^\alpha$.

Note that $F_\alpha = r(a_1^\alpha) \cap \dots \cap r(a_n^\alpha) = r((a_1^\alpha)) \cap \dots \cap r((a_n^\alpha)) = r((a_1^\alpha) \cap \dots \cap (a_n^\alpha))$

(by lemma 2.2.18).

Hence $C = \bigcup r(I_\alpha) = r(\bigvee I_\alpha)$ where $I_\alpha = (a_1^\alpha) \cap \dots \cap (a_n^\alpha)$.

Hence every open set can be represented as $r(I)$ for some ideal I of R .

Since $r(I) = r(J) \Leftrightarrow I = J$, it follows that every open set can be uniquely represented as $r(I)$ for some ideal I of R .

Hence the mapping $I \mapsto r(I)$ is an isomorphism from the lattice of ideals of R to the lattice of all open sets of the stone space of R . \square

Now we study semiprime ideals in so-rings.

Definition 2.2.20. A proper ideal I of a so-ring R is said to be *semiprime* if and only if for any ideal H of R , $H^2 \subseteq I$ implies $H \subseteq I$.

Example 2.2.21. Let (R, Σ, \cdot) be the so-ring as in example 0.6.2. For any $x \in R$, every ideal $[0, x]$ is semiprime.

Clearly every prime ideal is semiprime. The following is an example of so-ring R in which a semiprime ideal is not a prime ideal.

Example 2.2.22. Let (R, Σ, \cdot) be the so-ring as in example 2.1.13. For the ideals $\{0, u\}$, $\{0, v\}$ and $\{0, x, y\}$ of R , $\{0, u\}\{0, v\} = \{0\} \subset \{0, x, y\}$ and $\{0, u\} \not\subseteq \{0, x, y\}$, $\{0, v\} \not\subseteq \{0, x, y\}$. Hence $\{0, x, y\}$ is not prime. However the ideal $\{0, x, y\}$ is semiprime.

Theorem 2.2.23. If I is an ideal of a complete so-ring R then the following are equivalent

- (i). I is semiprime
- (ii). $\{ara \mid r \in R\} \subseteq I \Leftrightarrow a \in I$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose I is semiprime and take $P' = \{ara \mid r \in R\}$.

If $a \in I$ then clearly $P' \subseteq I$.

Now suppose $P' \subseteq I$ and take $A = (a)$.

Let $x \in A^2$. Then $x \leq \sum_i a_i b_i$ for $a_i, b_i \in (a)$.

$$\Rightarrow a_i \leq \sum_j r_{i_j} a s_{i_j}, b_i \leq \sum_k r'_{i_k} a s'_{i_k} \quad \forall i \in I, r_{i_j}, s_{i_j}, r'_{i_k}, s'_{i_k} \in R.$$

$$\Rightarrow x \leq \sum_i (\sum_j r_{i_j} a s_{i_j}) (\sum_k r'_{i_k} a s'_{i_k}).$$

$$\Rightarrow x \leq \Sigma_i \Sigma_j \Sigma_k [r_{i_j} (a s_{i_j} r'_{i_k} a) s'_{i_k}].$$

Since $P' \subseteq I$ and I is ideal, we have $x \in I. \Rightarrow A^2 \subseteq I.$

$$\Rightarrow A = (a) \subseteq I \text{ and hence } a \in I.$$

Therefore $P' \subseteq I \Leftrightarrow a \in I.$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose $P' = \{ara \mid r \in R\} \subseteq I \Leftrightarrow a \in I.$

Let A be an ideal of R such that $A^2 \subseteq I$ and let $a \in A.$

Then $\{ara \mid r \in R\} \subseteq A^2 \subseteq I. \Rightarrow a \in I$ and hence $A \subseteq I.$

Hence I is semiprime. □

Definition 2.2.24. A nonempty subset A of a so-ring R is a *p-system* if and only if for any $a \in A \exists r \in R \ni ara \in A.$

Clearly every *m-system* is a *p-system*. The following is an example of a so-ring R in which a *p-system* is not an *m-system*.

Example 2.2.25. Let (R, Σ, \cdot) be the so-ring as in example 2.1.13. Then the subset $\{u, v\}$ of R is a *p-system*. But it is not an *m-system* since for $u, v \in \{u, v\}$ and for any $r \in R, urv = 0 \notin \{u, v\}.$

Corollary 2.2.26. A proper ideal I of a complete so-ring R is semiprime if and only if $R \setminus I$ is a *p-system*.

Proof. An ideal P of R is semiprime

$$\Leftrightarrow (\{ara \mid r \in R\} \subseteq P \text{ then } a \in P) \text{ (by theorem 2.2.23)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a \notin P \text{ then } \{ara \mid r \in R\} \not\subseteq P)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for any } a \in R \setminus P \exists r \in R \ni ara \in R \setminus P$$

$$\Leftrightarrow R \setminus P \text{ is a } p\text{-system.} \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2.27. A nonempty subset A of a complete so-ring R is a p -system if and only if it is the union of m -systems.

Proof. Suppose $A = \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} B_i$ where each B_i is an m -system.

Since every m -system is p -system and union of p -systems is again a p -system,

A is a p -system.

Conversely suppose that A is a p -system and let $a_0 \in A$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists r_0 \in R \ni a_1 = a_0 r_0 a_0 \in A.$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists r_1 \in R \ni a_2 = a_1 r_1 a_1 = (a_0 r_0 a_0) r_1 (a_0 r_0 a_0) \in A.$$

Continuing this process, we get a subset $B = \{a_0, a_1, \dots\}$ of A , which forms an m -system.

Hence A is the union of m -systems. □

We denote the set $\{H \in \text{spec}(R) \mid I \subset H\}$ by $V(I)$ and $\bigcap V(I)$ by \sqrt{I} . We call $\sqrt{0}$ as the lower nil radical of R .

Theorem 2.2.28. A proper ideal I of a complete so-ring R is semiprime if and only if $I = \sqrt{I}$.

Proof. Suppose I is a semiprime ideal of R and take $A = R \setminus I$.

By corollary 2.2.26, A is a p -system.

By theorem 2.2.27, $A = \bigcup_{i \in \Delta} B_i$, B_i is an m -system, $i \in \Delta$.

Take $\mathcal{C} = \{J \in \text{ideal}(R) \mid J \cap B_i = \emptyset \text{ and } I \subseteq J\}$. Clearly $I \in \mathcal{C}$.

Then (\mathcal{C}, \subseteq) is a nonempty poset in which every simply ordered family has an upper bound.

By Zorn's lemma, \mathcal{C} has a maximal element. Let it be K_i .

i.e., $K_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$ and $I \subseteq K_i \forall i \in \Delta$.

By theorem 2.2.11, each K_i is prime.

$$\Rightarrow I \subseteq \bigcap K_i \subseteq \bigcap (R \setminus B_i) = I.$$

$$\Rightarrow I = \bigcap \{K_i \mid K_i \text{ is prime ideal of } R \ni I \subseteq K_i\} = \bigcap V(I).$$

Hence $I = \sqrt{I}$.

Conversely suppose that $I = \sqrt{I} = \bigcap \{H \mid H \text{ is prime, } I \subseteq H\}$.

$$\Rightarrow R \setminus I = R \setminus \bigcap \{H \in \text{spec}(R) \mid I \subseteq H\} = \bigcup \{R \setminus H \mid H \in \text{Spec}(R), I \subseteq H\}.$$

Since H is prime, $R \setminus H$ is m -system.

Then by theorem 2.2.27, $R \setminus I$ is p -system.

Hence by corollary 2.2.26, I is semiprime. □

Theorem 2.2.29. If I, H are ideals of a complete so-ring R , then

$$(i). I \subseteq H \Rightarrow \sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{H}$$

$$(ii). \sqrt{\sqrt{I}} = \sqrt{I}$$

$$(iii). \sqrt{I \vee H} = \sqrt{\sqrt{I} \vee \sqrt{H}}.$$

Proof. (i). Suppose $I \subseteq H$.

$$\text{Then } \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid I \subseteq P\} \supseteq \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid H \subseteq P\}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid I \subseteq P\} \subseteq \bigcap \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid H \subseteq P\}.$$

$$\text{Hence } \sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{H}.$$

(ii). Since every prime ideal is semiprime and intersection of prime ideals is semiprime, we have \sqrt{I} is semiprime.

$$\text{By theorem 2.2.28, } \sqrt{\sqrt{I}} = \sqrt{I}.$$

(iii). Since $I \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $H \subseteq \sqrt{H}$, we have $I \vee H \subseteq \sqrt{I} \vee \sqrt{H}$.

$$\text{Hence } \sqrt{I \vee H} \subseteq \sqrt{\sqrt{I} \vee \sqrt{H}}.$$

$$\text{Also } I \subseteq I \vee H, H \subseteq I \vee H. \Rightarrow \sqrt{I} \vee \sqrt{H} \subseteq \sqrt{I \vee H}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\sqrt{I} \vee \sqrt{H}} \subseteq \sqrt{\sqrt{I \vee H}} = \sqrt{I \vee H} \text{ (by (ii)).}$$

$$\text{Hence } \sqrt{I \vee H} = \sqrt{\sqrt{I} \vee \sqrt{H}}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2.30. If I is an ideal of a commutative complete so-ring R then $\sqrt{I} = \{a \in R \mid a^n \in I \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$.

Proof. Take $K = \{a \in R \mid a^n \in I \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$.

First we prove K is ideal of R :

Let $(a_i : i \in \Delta)$ be a family in K . Then $\exists n_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ni a_i^{n_i} \in I, \forall i \in \Delta$.

Take $n = \sum n_i$. Then $(\sum a_i)^{n-1} \in I$ and hence $\sum a_i \in K$.

Let $x \in R, y \in K \ni x \leq y$. Then $x \leq y$ & $y^n \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

$\Rightarrow x^n \in I$ and hence $x \in K$.

Let $r \in R, x \in K$. Then $r \in R$ & $x^n \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

$\Rightarrow (rx)^n = r^n x^n \in I$ and hence $rx \in K$.

Hence K is an ideal of R .

Now we prove that $\sqrt{I} = K$:

Let $c \in R \setminus K$ and suppose $c^2 \in K$.

$\Rightarrow c \in R \setminus K$ and $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ni (c^2)^n \in I$.

$\Rightarrow c \in R \setminus K$ and $\exists 2n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ni c^{2n} \in I$.

$\Rightarrow c \in R \setminus K$ and $c \in K$, a contradiction.

Hence $c^2 \in R \setminus K$. $\Rightarrow R \setminus K$ is a p -system and hence K is semiprime.

$\Rightarrow K = \sqrt{K}$ (by theorem 2.2.28).

Since $I \subseteq K$, $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{K} = K$ (by theorem 2.2.29(i)).

Now let P be a prime ideal containing I . For any $a \in K$, $a^n \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

$\Rightarrow a^n \in P$ and hence $a \in P$.

$\Rightarrow K \subseteq P \forall$ prime ideal $P \ni I \subseteq P$. $\Rightarrow K \subseteq \bigcap V(I) = \sqrt{I}$.

Hence $\sqrt{I} = K = \{a \in R \mid a^n \in I \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$. □

Definition 2.2.31. An ideal I of a so-ring R is said to be *primary* if and only if $\forall a \in R \setminus I$ and $b \in R$, $ab \in I$ implies $b^k \in I$ for some positive integer k .

In the so-ring $R = [0, 1]$ as defined in example 0.6.2, every ideal $[0, x]$ is primary ideal of R . However the following is an example of a so-ring in which an ideal is not primary.

Example 2.2.32. Consider the so-ring $R = \{0, u, v, x, y\}$ as in example 2.1.13. For $x \in R \setminus \{0, u\}$ and $v \in R$, $xv = 0 \in \{0, u\}$ but $v^k = v$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $v \notin \{0, u\}$. Hence the ideal $\{0, u\}$ is not primary.

Corollary 2.2.33. If I is a primary ideal of a commutative complete so-ring R then \sqrt{I} is a prime ideal of R .

Proof. Let $a, b \in R \ni ab \in \sqrt{I}$.

Then $(ab)^n = a^n b^n \in I$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Suppose $a \notin \sqrt{I}$. Then $a^n \notin \sqrt{I}$.

$\Rightarrow a^n \in R \setminus \sqrt{I}$ and hence $a^n \in R \setminus I$.

Now $a^n \in R \setminus I$, $b^n \in R \ni a^n b^n \in I$ and I is primary.

$\Rightarrow (b^n)^k \in I$ for some $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and hence $b \in \sqrt{I}$.

Hence \sqrt{I} is a prime ideal of R . □

Theorem 2.2.34. If I, H are ideals of a commutative complete so-ring R then $\sqrt{IH} = \sqrt{I \cap H} = \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{H}$.

Proof. Since $IH \subseteq I \cap H \subseteq I, H$, we have $\sqrt{IH} \subseteq \sqrt{I \cap H} \subseteq \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{H}$.

Now for any $a \in \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{H}$, $a^n \in I$ and $a^m \in H$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

$\Rightarrow a^{n+m} \in IH$ for some $n + m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and hence $a \in \sqrt{IH}$.

Hence $\sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{H} = \sqrt{IH}$.

Hence the theorem. □

Theorem 2.2.35. If I is an ideal of a commutative complete so-ring R such that \sqrt{I} is finitely generated then $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ni (\sqrt{I})^n \subseteq I$.

Proof. Suppose $\sqrt{I} = \langle \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \rangle$ for $a_1, \dots, a_k \in R$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists n_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, 1 \leq i \leq k \ni a_i^{n_i} \in I.$$

Take $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$. Then for any $b \in \sqrt{I}$, $b \leq \sum_{i=1}^k r_i a_i$.

$$\Rightarrow b^n \leq \sum_{h_1! \dots h_k!} \frac{n!}{h_1! \dots h_k!} (r_1 a_1)^{h_1} \dots (r_k a_k)^{h_k} \text{ where the sum is taken over all}$$

k -tuples $(h_1, \dots, h_k) \ni \sum_{i=1}^k h_i = n$.

In each summand, we must have $h_j \geq n_j$ for atleast one j

Hence each sum is in I .

$$\Rightarrow b^n \in I \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ and hence } b \in \sqrt{I}.$$

Hence the theorem. □

Definition 2.2.36. A so-ring R is said to be *semiprime* if (0) is a semiprime ideal.

$pf n(D, D)$, $Mfn(D, D)$ and $Mset(D, D)$ are semiprime so-rings for any nonempty set D .

Theorem 2.2.37. If K is an ideal of a semiprime complete so-ring R then

- (i). $K \cap K^* = 0$
- (ii). $K \vee K^*$ is dense ideal.

Proof. (i). Since $(K \cap K^*)^2 = (K \cap K^*)(K \cap K^*) \subseteq KK^* = 0$, we have $K \cap K^* = 0$.

(ii). Since $(K \vee K^*)^* = K^* \cap K^{**} = 0$, $K \vee K^*$ is dense ideal of R .

(By theorem 2.1.53). □

Definition 2.2.38. An ideal I of a so-ring R is said to be *annihilator ideal* if and only if $\exists J \subseteq R \ni I = J^*$.

Theorem 2.2.39. The annihilator ideals in a commutative semiprime complete so-ring R form a complete Boolean algebra $B^*(R)$, with ‘intersection’ as infimum and ‘ $*$ ’ as complementation.

Proof. Take $B^*(R) = \{I^* \mid I \subseteq R\}$.

Since $0^* = R \in B^*(R)$, $B^*(R) \neq \emptyset$.

Moreover $(B^*(R), \subseteq)$ is an ordered set which has greatest element R and $\inf K_i^* = \bigcap K_i^* = (\bigvee K_i)^* \in B^*(R)$ exists for any family $\{K_i^* \mid i \in I\}$ of $B^*(R)$.

Hence $(B^*(R), \subseteq)$ is a complete lattice.

To prove $B^*(R)$ is a Boolean algebra, it remains to prove that

$J \cap K^* = 0 \Leftrightarrow J \subset K$ for any $J, K \in B^*(R)$.

Suppose $J \subset K$. Then $J \cap K^* \subset K \cap K^* = 0$ and hence $J \cap K^* = 0$.

Suppose $J \cap K^* = 0$. Then $JK^* = 0. \Rightarrow J \subset K^{**} = K$.

Hence $B^*(R)$ is a complete Boolean algebra. □

Definition 2.2.40. An ideal I of a so-ring R is said to be *irreducible* if and only if for any ideals H and K of R , $I = H \cap K$ implies $I = H$ or $I = K$.

Definition 2.2.41. An ideal I of a so-ring R is said to be *strongly irreducible* if and only if for any ideals H and K of R , $H \cap K \subseteq I$ implies $H \subseteq I$ or $K \subseteq I$.

In the so-ring $R = [0, 1]$ as in example 0.6.2, every ideal $[0, x]$ is strongly irreducible.

Clearly every strongly irreducible ideal is irreducible. The following is an example of a so-ring R in which an irreducible ideal is not a strongly irreducible ideal.

Example 2.2.42. Let (R, Σ, \cdot) be the so-ring as in example 2.1.9. For the ideals $\{0, a\}$, $\{0, b\}$ and $\{0, c\}$ of R , $\{0, b\} \cap \{0, c\} = \{0\} \subseteq \{0, a\}$ and $\{0, b\} \not\subseteq \{0, a\}$, $\{0, c\} \not\subseteq \{0, a\}$. Hence $\{0, a\}$ is not strongly irreducible. However the ideal $\{0, a\}$ is irreducible.

Definition 2.2.43. A non empty subset A of so-ring R is said to be an i -system if and only if for any $a, b \in A$, $(a) \cap (b) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

Example 2.2.44. Let (R, Σ, \cdot) be the so-ring as in example 2.1.13. Then the subset $\{0, u\}$ of R is an i -system whereas the subset $\{x, y\}$ is not an i -system since $(x) = \{0, x\}$, $(y) = \{0, y\}$ and $(x) \cap (y) \cap A = \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.2.45. If I is an ideal of a complete so-ring R then the following are equivalent

- (i). I is strongly irreducible
- (ii). if $a, b \in R$ satisfy $(a) \cap (b) \subseteq I$ then $a \in I$ or $b \in I$
- (iii). $R \setminus I$ is an i -system.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose I is strongly irreducible.

Then for any $a, b \in R \ni (a) \cap (b) \subseteq I$, $(a) \subseteq I$ or $(b) \subseteq I$.

Hence $a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Suppose $a, b \in R \ni (a) \cap (b) \subseteq I$ imply $a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

Let $a, b \in R \setminus I$. Then $(a) \cap (b) \not\subseteq I$.

$\Rightarrow (a) \cap (b) \cap (R \setminus I) \neq \emptyset$.

Hence $R \setminus I$ is an i -system.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose $R \setminus I$ is an i -system.

Let H, K be ideals of $R \ni H \cap K \subseteq I$ and suppose $H \not\subseteq I$ & $K \not\subseteq I$.

$\Rightarrow \exists x, y \in R \setminus I \ni x \in H$ and $y \in K$.

$\Rightarrow \exists z \in (x) \cap (y)$ and $z \notin I$.

$\Rightarrow \exists z \in H \cap K$ and $z \notin I$ and hence $H \cap K \not\subseteq I$, a contradiction.

Hence I is strongly irreducible. □

Theorem 2.2.46. Let a be a nonzero element of a so-ring R and let I be an ideal of R not containing a . Then there exists an irreducible ideal H of R containing I and not containing a .

Proof. Take $\mathcal{C} = \{J \in \text{ideal}(R) \mid I \subseteq J \text{ \& } a \notin J\}$. Clearly $I \in \mathcal{C}$.

Then by Zorn's lemma, \mathcal{C} has a maximal element. Let it be H .

Now we prove that H is irreducible.

Let A, B be ideals of $H \ni H = A \cap B$ and suppose $H \subset A \text{ \& } H \subset B$.

$\Rightarrow a \in A$ and $a \in B$ and hence $a \in A \cap B = H$, a contradiction.

Hence H is irreducible and hence the theorem. □

Theorem 2.2.47. Any proper ideal I of a so-ring R is the intersection of all irreducible ideals containing it.

Proof. Since I is proper, $1 \notin I$.

Then by theorem 2.2.46, \exists an irreducible ideal of R containing I and not containing 1.

Take $I' = \bigcap \{J \in \text{ideal}(R) \mid J \text{ is irreducible \& } I \subseteq J\}$.

Then $I \subseteq I'$. Suppose $I \subset I'$.

$\Rightarrow \exists x \in I' \ni x \notin I$.

Again by theorem 2.2.46, \exists an irreducible ideal $H \ni I \subseteq H$ and $x \notin H$.

Then $I' \subseteq H$. Since $x \in I'$, $x \in H$, a contradiction.

Hence $I = I' = \bigcap \{J \in \text{ideal}(R) \mid J \text{ is irreducible \& } I \subseteq J\}$. □

Theorem 2.2.48. An ideal I of a complete so-ring R is prime if and only if it is semiprime and strongly irreducible.

Proof. Suppose I is prime. Then I is semiprime.

Let H, K be ideals of $R \ni H \cap K \subseteq I$. Then $HK \subseteq I$.

$\Rightarrow H \subseteq I$ or $K \subseteq I$ and hence I is strongly irreducible.

Conversely suppose that I is semiprime and strongly irreducible.

Let H, K be ideals of $R \ni HK \subseteq I$.

Then $(H \cap K)^2 = (H \cap K)(H \cap K) \subseteq HK \subseteq I$.

Since I is semiprime, $H \cap K \subseteq I$.

$\Rightarrow H \subseteq I$ or $K \subseteq I$ (since I is strongly irreducible).

Hence I is a prime ideal of R . □

Definition 2.2.49. An element a of a partial semiring R is said to be *multiplicatively regular* if and only if $\exists b \in R \ni aba = a$. A partial semiring R is said to be *multiplicatively regular* if and only if each element of R is multiplicatively regular.

The so-ring $R = [0, 1]$ as defined in example 0.6.2 is multiplicatively regular. However the so-ring \mathbb{N} is not multiplicatively regular since for $2 \in \mathbb{N} \ni$ no $x \in \mathbb{N} \ni 2x2 = 2$.

Remark 2.2.50. If R is multiplicatively regular then $HI = H \cap I$ for any ideals H and I of R .

Proof. For any ideals H and I of R , $HI \subseteq H \cap I$ by theorem 2.1.12.

For any $x \in H \cap I$, $\exists y \in R \ni x = xyx \in HI$ and hence $H \cap K \subseteq HK$.

Hence the remark. □

Theorem 2.2.51. Let R be a complete so-ring which is multiplicatively regular. Then an ideal I of R is prime if and only if it is irreducible.

Proof. Suppose I is prime, then by theorem 2.2.48, I is strongly irreducible and hence I is irreducible.

Conversely suppose that I is irreducible. Let H, K be ideals of R such that $HK \subseteq I$.

Since R is multiplicatively regular, $HK = H \cap K$ and hence $H \cap K \subseteq I$.

Let $x \in (H \vee I) \cap (K \vee I)$. Then $x \in (H \vee I)(K \vee I)$.

$$\Rightarrow x \leq \sum_i y_i z_i \text{ for } y_i \in H \vee I, z_i \in K \vee I.$$

$$\Rightarrow x \leq \sum_i [\sum_j (h_{i_j} + a_{i_j})] [\sum_k (p_{i_k} + b_{i_k})] \text{ for } h_{i_j} \in H, p_{i_k} \in K, a_{i_j}, b_{i_k} \in I.$$

$$\Rightarrow x \leq \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k [h_{i_j} p_{i_k} + h_{i_j} b_{i_k} + a_{i_j} p_{i_k} + a_{i_j} b_{i_k}] \text{ and hence } x \in HK \vee I = I.$$

$$\Rightarrow (H \vee I) \cap (K \vee I) = I.$$

$$\Rightarrow H \vee I = I \text{ or } K \vee I = I.$$

$$\Rightarrow H \subseteq I \text{ or } K \subseteq I.$$

Hence I is prime ideal of R . □

It can be easily observed that the theorems 2.2.3, 2.2.19, 2.2.23, 2.2.30, 2.2.39 and 2.2.51 are true for partial semirings.

§2.3. Right strongly prime partial semiring

In this section we introduce the notion of a right strongly prime partial semiring and characterize strongly prime radicals by using the notion of super sp-system.

Throughout this section R stands for a partial semiring.

Definition 2.3.1. Let A be a nonempty subset of a partial semiring R . Then the *right annihilator* of A in R , denoted by A^* , is defined by $A^* = \{r \in R \mid Ar = (0)\}$.

Remark 2.3.2. A^* is a right subtractive partial ideal of R .

Definition 2.3.3. Let $r \in R$ such that $r \neq 0$. Then a finite subset S_r of R is said to be a *right insulator* for r if and only if $(rS_r)^* = (0)$.

Definition 2.3.4. A partial semiring R is said to be a *right strongly prime* if and only if every nonzero element of R has a right insulator. i.e., for each nonzero $r \in R \exists$ a finite subset S_r of $R \ni$ for $t \in R, (rS_r)t = (0) \Rightarrow t = 0$.

Theorem 2.3.5. Every right strongly prime partial semiring is prime.

Proof. Let R be a right strongly prime partial semiring and H, K be partial ideals of $R \ni HK = (0)$.

Suppose $H \neq (0)$. Then $\exists a \in H \ni a \neq 0$.

$\Rightarrow a$ has a right insulator S_a .

Let $b \in K$. Then $(aS_a)b \subseteq Hb \subseteq HK = (0)$.

Since R is right strongly prime, $b = 0$. $\Rightarrow K = (0)$.

Hence R is prime partial semiring. □

Theorem 2.3.6. A partial semiring R is right strongly prime if and only if every nonzero partial ideal of R contains a finitely generated left partial ideal whose right annihilator is (0) .

Proof. Suppose R is a right strongly prime partial semiring and I is a nonzero partial ideal of R .

Then \exists a nonzero element $a \in I \ni a$ has a right insulator S_a . $\Rightarrow aS_a \subseteq I$.

Since S_a is finite subset of R , aS_a is also finite.

Let $L = R(aS_a)$, the finitely generated left partial ideal.

Since $aS_a \subseteq I$, $L \subseteq I$.

Let $t \in R \ni Lt = (0)$. Now $(aS_a)t \subseteq R(aS_a)t = Lt = (0)$.

Since S_a is right insulator, $t = 0$.

Thus I contains a finitely generated left partial ideal L such that $L^* = (0)$.

Conversely suppose the condition holds and let $r \in R \ni r \neq 0$.

Then $\langle r \rangle$ is a nonzero partial ideal of R .

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a finite subset F of $\langle r \rangle \ni L = RF$ and $L^* = (0)$.

Since $F \subseteq \langle r \rangle$, every element of F is of the form $\sum_i r'_i r r_i$, $r'_i, r_i \in R$.

Take $S_r = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k \mid \sum_{i=1}^m r'_i r r_i \in F \text{ for some } m \leq k\}$.

Now we prove that S_r is a right insulator of r .

Let $t \in R \ni rS_r t = (0)$. Then $rr_i t = 0 \forall i = 1$ to k . $\Rightarrow Lt = RFt = 0$.

Since $L^* = (0)$, $t = 0$. $\Rightarrow (rS_r)^* = (0)$.

Hence S_r is a right insulator for r .

Hence the theorem. □

Theorem 2.3.7. A partial semiring R is right strongly prime if and only if every nonzero partial ideal of S contains a finite subset $G \ni G^* = (0)$.

Proof. Suppose R is a right strongly prime partial semiring and I is a nonzero partial ideal of R .

Then \exists a nonzero element $a \in I \ni a$ has a right insulator S_a .

Let $G = aS_a$. Then G is a finite subset of I .

Let $t \in R \ni Gt = (0)$. Then $(aS_a)t = (0)$. $\Rightarrow t = 0$.

Hence $G^* = (0)$.

Conversely suppose the condition holds and let $a \in R \ni a \neq 0$.

Then $\langle a \rangle$ contains a finite subset $G \ni G^* = (0)$.

Since $G \subseteq \langle a \rangle$, every element of G is of the form $\sum_i r'_i a r_i$, $r'_i, r_i \in R$.

Suppose $ay = 0 \forall 0 \neq y \in R$. Then $Gy \subseteq \langle a \rangle y = 0$.

$\Rightarrow y \in G^* = (0)$, a contradiction.

Hence $ay \neq 0$ for some $0 \neq y \in R$.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a finite subset H of $\langle ay \rangle \ni H^* = (0)$.

Now the elements of H are of the form $\sum_i x'_i a y x_i$, $x'_i, x_i \in R$.

Take $H' = \{ay, ayx_1, \dots, ayx_k \mid \sum_{i=1}^m x'_i a y x_i \in H \text{ for some } m \leq k\}$.

Suppose $(H')^* \neq (0)$. Then $\exists 0 \neq x \in (H')^*$.

$\Rightarrow ayx = 0 = ayx_i x \forall i = 1$ to k . $\Rightarrow Hx = (0)$.

$\Rightarrow 0 \neq x \in H^*$, a contradiction.

Hence $(H')^* = (0)$.

Let $F = \{y, yx_1, \dots, yx_k\}$.

Since $(H')^* = (0)$, F is a right insulator for a .

Hence the theorem. □

Remark 2.3.8. Every simple partial semiring is right strongly prime.

Proof. Let R be a simple partial semiring.

Then R is the only nonzero partial ideal of R .

Now $G = \{1_R\}$ is a finite subset of $R \ni G^* = (0)$.

Then by theorem 2.3.7, R is right strongly prime partial semiring. □

Definition 2.3.9. A class ρ of partial semirings is said to be *hereditary* if and only if it satisfies the following condition

If I is a partial ideal of a partial semiring R and $R \in \rho$ then $I \in \rho$.

Theorem 2.3.10. The class of all right strongly prime partial semirings is hereditary.

Proof. Let R be a right strongly prime partial semiring, I be a partial ideal of R

and a be a nonzero element of I .

Suppose $aI = (0)$.

Then for any finite subset G of R , we have $(0) \neq I \subseteq (aG)^*$ which is a contradiction by theorem 2.3.7.

Hence $\exists y \in I \ni ay \neq 0$.

Now by theorem 2.3.7, \exists a finite subset H of $\langle ay \rangle \ni H^* = (0)$ and

$H = \{ay, ayx_1, \dots, ayx_k\}$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in R$ (as constructed in theorem 2.3.7).

Hence the subset $F = \{y, yx_1, \dots, yx_k\}$ of I is a right insulator for $a \in I$.

Hence I is a right strongly prime partial semiring.

Hence the theorem. □

Definition 2.3.11. A finite subset F of a partial semiring R is said to be a *uniform insulator for R* if and only if it is a right insulator for every nonzero element of R .

Definition 2.3.12. A partial semiring R is said to be a *uniformly strongly prime* if it contains a uniform insulator.

Definition 2.3.13. A partial semiring R is said to be a *bounded right strongly prime partial semiring of bound n* , denoted by $SP_r(n)$ if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i). every nonzero element of R has an insulator $S \ni |S| \leq n$
- (ii). \exists atleast one nonzero element $r \in R \ni$ every right insulator for r have a cardinality n .

Here n is called the uniform bound of S .

Remark 2.3.14. A partial semi-integral domain is a bounded right strongly prime of bound 1.

Proof. Let R be a partial semi-integral domain and $r \in R \ni r \neq 0$.

Now we prove that $\{r\}$ is a right insulator for r .

Let $t \in R \ni r\{r\}t = 0$. Then $r = 0$ or $t = 0$.

Since $r \neq 0$, $t = 0$ and hence $(r\{r\})^* = (0)$.

Hence the remark. □

Theorem 2.3.15. If R is a prime partial semiring with descending chain condition (DCC) on right annihilators, then R is a right strongly prime partial semiring.

Proof. Let $s \in R \ni s \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{(sJ)^* \mid J \text{ is a finite subset of } R\}$.

Then by DCC, the family \mathcal{C} has a minimal element, say M and let

I be the corresponding finite subset of R .

Suppose $M \neq 0$. Then $\exists m \in M \ni m \neq 0$.

Since R is prime, $\exists q \in R \ni sqm \neq 0$.

Let $I' = I \cup \{q\}$ and $M' = (sI')^*$.

Since $sI \subseteq sI'$, $M' = (sI')^* \subseteq (sI)^* = M$.

Since $sqm \neq 0$, $m \notin M'$. Hence $M' \subsetneq M$, a contradiction.

Hence $M = (sI)^* = (0)$. $\Rightarrow I$ is a right insulator for s .

Hence the theorem. □

Theorem 2.3.16. Let R be a partial semi-integral domain and D be a finite set of cardinality n . Then $Mat_D(R)$, the set of all $D \times D$ -matrices over R , is bounded right strongly prime partial semiring of bound n . Also, $Mat_D(R)$ has uniform bound n^2 .

Proof. Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be a nonzero element in $Mat_D(R)$.

Then \exists atleast one $a_{ij}, i, j \in D$ is nonzero, let it be a_{pq} .

Now define the matrices E_{ij} by

$$E_{ij}(m, n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (m, n) = (i, j), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we prove that $\{E_{qi} \mid i \in D\}$ is a right insulator for a_{pq} .

Let $B = [b_{ij}] \in Mat_D(R) \ni AE_{qi}B = 0$. Then $a_{pq}b_{ij} = 0 \forall j \in D$.

$\Rightarrow b_{ij} = 0 \forall i, j \in D$. $\Rightarrow (a_{pq}\{E_{qi} \mid i \in D\})^* = (0)$.

$\Rightarrow \{E_{qi} \mid i \in D\}$ is a right insulator for $a_{pq} \ni |\{E_{qi} \mid i \in D\}| \leq n$.

Clearly $E_{11} \in Mat_D(R)$ has an insulator $\{E_{1j} \mid j \in D\} \ni |\{E_{1j} \mid j \in D\}| = n$

and no insulator of E_{11} contains less than n elements.

Hence $Mat_D(R)$ is bounded right strongly prime partial semiring of bound n .

Moreover $\{E_{ij} \mid i, j \in D\}$ is a uniform insulator for every nonzero element of $Mat_D(R)$.

Hence $Mat_D(R)$ has uniform bound n^2 . □

Remark 2.3.17. If R is a partial division semiring then $Mat_D(R)$ is a bounded strongly prime with bound n and $Mat_D(R)$ is also uniformly strongly prime of bound n^2 .

Remark 2.3.18. If R is right strongly prime partial semiring and e is a nonzero element of R then eRe is also right strongly prime partial semiring.

Proof. First we prove eRe is a partial subsemiring.

Let $(es_i e : i \in I)$ be a summable family in $R \ni es_i e \in eRe, i \in I$.

Then $\sum_i es_i e = e(\sum_i s_i)e \in eRe$. Now let $es_1 e, es_2 e \in eRe$.

Then $es_1 e \cdot es_2 e = e(s_1 e s_2)e \in eRe$. Hence eRe is a partial semiring.

Now let $ese \in eRe \ni ese \neq 0$. Then $ese \in R \ni ese \neq 0$.

$\Rightarrow ese$ has a right insulator $\{f_i \mid i = 1 \text{ to } n\}$ in R .

Now we prove $\{ef_i e \mid i = 1 \text{ to } n\}$ is a right insulator for ese in eRe .

Let $ete \in eRe \ni (ese)(ef_i e)(ete) = 0 \forall i$. Then $[(ese)f_i](ete) = 0 \forall i$.

$\Rightarrow ete \in \{(ese)f_i \mid i = 1 \text{ to } n\}^* = (0)$. $\Rightarrow ete = 0$.

Hence eRe is right strongly prime partial semiring. □

Remark 2.3.19. If R is a right strongly prime partial semiring and D is a finite set then $Mat_D(R)$ is also a right strongly prime partial semiring.

Proof. Let $B = [b_{ij}]$ be a nonzero element in $Mat_D(R)$.

Then $\exists p, q \in D \ni 0 \neq b_{pq} \in R$.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a right insulator $\{t_k \mid k = 1 \text{ to } n\}$ for b_{pq} in R .

Now we prove $\{t_k E_{ij} \mid i, j \in D, k = 1 \text{ to } n\}$ is a right insulator for B .

Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in Mat_D(R) \ni B(t_k E_{qj})A = 0 \forall k$.

Then $b_{pq} t_k a_{ij} = 0 \forall k, a_{ij} = 0 \forall i, j \in D. \Rightarrow A = 0$.

Hence $Mat_D(R)$ is a right strongly prime partial semiring. □

Definition 2.3.20. A nonzero partial ideal of a partial semiring R is said to be an *essential partial ideal* of R if and only if for any nonzero partial ideal J of R , $I \cap J \neq (0)$.

Definition 2.3.21. A partial ideal I of a partial semiring R is said to be *singular partial ideal* if and only if the right annihilator of every element in I is an essential right partial ideal.

Theorem 2.3.22. The singular partial ideal of a right strongly prime partial semiring is (0) .

Proof. Let R be a right strongly prime partial semiring and I be a nonzero partial ideal of R .

Suppose \exists a nonzero element s of I .

Then s has a right insulator $\{s_i \mid i = 1 \text{ to } k\}$.

Since I is a partial ideal, $ss_i \in I \forall i$.

$\Rightarrow (ss_i)^*$ is an essential right partial ideal of $R \forall i$.

$\Rightarrow (ss_i)[\bigcap_{i=1}^k (ss_i)^*] \subseteq (ss_i)(ss_i)^* = (0) \forall i$.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^k (ss_i)^* = (0)$, a contradiction to $(ss_i)^*$ an essential partial ideal of R .

Hence $I = 0$. □

Definition 2.3.23. A partial ideal I of a partial semiring R is said to be *right strongly prime* if and only if it satisfies the following condition:

if $a \notin I$, then there is a finite set $F \subseteq \langle a \rangle \ni Fb \subseteq I$ implies $b \in I$.

Definition 2.3.24. Let I be a proper partial ideal of a partial semiring R . Then the relation on R , denoted by ρ_I and defined by $s\rho_I s'$ if and only if $s + a = s' + a'$ for some $a, a' \in I$, is called the *Bourne relation* on R defined by I .

Remark 2.3.25. The Bourne relation ρ_I defined on a complete partial semiring R is a congruence relation on R .

We denote the Bourne congruence ρ_I class of an element r of R by r/I and denote the set of all such congruence classes of R by R/I .

It may be noted that for any $s \in R$ and for any proper partial ideal of a complete partial semiring R , s/I is not necessarily equal to $s + I = \{s + a \mid a \in R\}$ but surely contains it.

Definition 2.3.26. Let I be a proper partial ideal of a complete partial semiring R . Then the set R/I is a complete partial semiring with the summation and multiplication defined on R/I by $\Sigma_i(a_i/I) = (\Sigma_i a_i)/I$ and $(a/I)(b/I) = (ab)/I$ for all $a_i, a, b \in R$, called the *Bourne factor partial semiring* or simply the *factor partial semiring*.

Theorem 2.3.27. A proper partial ideal I of a complete partial semiring R is a right strongly prime if and only if R/I is a right strongly prime partial semiring.

Proof. Suppose I is a right strongly prime partial ideal of R and let P/I be any nonzero partial ideal of R/I .

Then $\exists a/I \in P/I \ni a/I \neq 0/I. \Rightarrow a \notin I$.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a finite subset F of $\langle a \rangle \ni Fb \subseteq I$ implies $b \in I$.

$\Rightarrow F/I$ is a finite subset of P/I .

Now let $b/I \in R/I \ni (F/I)(b/I) = (0/I)$.

$\Rightarrow Fb \subseteq I. \Rightarrow b \in I$.

$\Rightarrow b/I = 0/I$ and hence $(F/I)^* = (0/I)$.

Then by theorem 2.3.7, R/I is a right strongly prime partial semiring.

Conversely suppose R/I is a right strongly prime partial semiring and let $a \notin I$.

Then $a/I \in R/I \ni a/I \neq 0/I. \Rightarrow \langle a \rangle /I$ is a nonzero partial ideal of R/I .

\Rightarrow a finite subset F/I of $\langle a \rangle /I \ni (F/I)^* = (0/I)$.

Let $F/I = \{f_1/I, \dots, f_k/I\}$ and $F' = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$.

Then F' is a finite subset of $\langle a \rangle$. Let $b \in R \ni F'b \subseteq I$.

$$\Rightarrow (F'/I)(b/I) = (0/I). \Rightarrow (F/I)(b/I) = (0/I).$$

$$\Rightarrow b/I = 0/I \text{ and hence } b \in I.$$

Hence I is a right strongly prime partial ideal of R . □

Definition 2.3.28. A subset G of a partial semiring R is said to be an *sp-system* if and only if for any $g \in G$, \exists a finite subset F of $\langle g \rangle \ni Fz \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for all $z \in G$.

Theorem 2.3.29. A partial ideal I of a partial semiring R is right strongly prime if and only if $R \setminus I$ is an *sp-system*.

Proof. Suppose I is a right strongly prime partial ideal of R and let $g \in R \setminus I$.

Then $g \notin I. \Rightarrow \exists$ a finite subset F of $\langle g \rangle \ni Fb \subseteq I$ implies $b \in I$.

i.e., $Fz \cap (R \setminus I) \neq \emptyset \forall z \in R \setminus I$.

Hence $R \setminus I$ is an *sp-system*.

Conversely suppose $R \setminus I$ is an *sp-system* and let $a \notin I$.

Then $a \in R \setminus I. \exists$ a finite subset F of $\langle a \rangle \ni Fz \cap (R \setminus I) \neq \emptyset \forall z \in R \setminus I$.

Suppose $Fb \subseteq I$ for any $b \in R$. Then $Fb \cap (R \setminus I) = \emptyset$.

Suppose $b \notin I$. Then $b \in R \setminus I$.

$$\Rightarrow Fb \cap (R \setminus I) \neq \emptyset, \text{ a contradiction. Hence } b \in I.$$

Hence I is a right strongly prime partial semiring. □

Definition 2.3.30. Let R be a partial semiring. Then the *right strongly prime radical* of R is defined by $SP(R) = \bigcap \{I \mid I \text{ is a right strongly prime partial ideal of } R\}$.

Definition 2.3.31. Let R be a partial semiring, P be a partial ideal of R and G be any subset of R . Then the pair (G, P) is said to be a *super sp-system* of R if and only if the following conditions are hold

(i). $G \cap P \subseteq (0)$

(ii). for any $g \in G$, there is a finite subset F of $\langle g \rangle \ni Fz \cap G \neq \emptyset \forall z \notin P$.

Remark 2.3.32. A partial ideal I of a partial semiring R is right strongly prime if and only if the pair $(R \setminus I, I)$ is a super sp -system of R .

Theorem 2.3.33. For any partial semiring R , $SP(R) = \{x \in R \mid (G, P) \text{ is a super } sp\text{-system } \ni x \in G \Rightarrow 0 \in G\}$.

Proof. Let $T = \{x \in R \mid (G, P) \text{ is a super } sp\text{-system } \ni x \in G \Rightarrow 0 \in G\}$

and let $x \in SP(R)$.

Suppose $x \in G$, (G, P) is a super sp -system and $0 \notin G$. Then $G \cap P = \emptyset$.

Take $\mathcal{C} = \{I \mid I \text{ is a partial ideal of } R, P \subseteq I \text{ \& } G \cap I = \emptyset\}$. Clearly $P \in \mathcal{C}$

Then by Zorn's lemma, \mathcal{C} has a maximal element. Let it be Q .

Now we prove that Q is a right strongly prime partial ideal of R .

Let $a \notin Q$. Then $\exists g \in G \ni \langle g \rangle \subseteq Q + \langle a \rangle$.

Since (G, P) is a super sp -system, \exists a finite subset $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subseteq \langle g \rangle$

$\ni Fz \cap G \neq \emptyset \forall z \notin P$.

$\Rightarrow F \subseteq Q + \langle a \rangle$.

\Rightarrow every element f_i is of the form $f_i = q_i + a_i$ for some $q_i \in Q$ and $a_i \in \langle a \rangle$.

Let $F' = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$. Then $F' \subseteq \langle a \rangle$.

Let $z \in R \ni F'z \subseteq Q$. Then $Fz \subseteq Q$.

Suppose $z \notin Q$. Then $Fz \cap G \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction to $G \cap Q = \emptyset$.

Hence $z \in Q$. $\Rightarrow Q$ is a right strongly prime partial ideal of R .

Since $x \in G$ and $G \cap Q = \emptyset$, we have $x \notin Q$, a contradiction to $x \in SP(R)$.

Hence $0 \in G$ and hence $x \in T$.

Conversely let $x \in T$.

Suppose $x \notin SP(R)$. Then \exists a right strongly prime partial ideal I of $R \ni x \notin I$.

$\Rightarrow (R \setminus I, I)$ is a super sp -system and $x \in R \setminus I$.

$\Rightarrow 0 \in R \setminus I$, a contradiction. Hence $x \in SP(R)$.

Hence the theorem. □