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Category: Part-time External Regional,
Manonmaniam Sundaranar University,
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To
The Research Coordinator,
Research Section,
Manonmaniam Sundaranar University,
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Sir,

Sub: Submitting the corrected Ph.D Thesis as per the corrections suggested by the examiners before viva-voce-Reg.

I, G. Rajakumar, Reg. No: 5155, have registered my Ph.D under the supervision of Dr. D. Manimegalai in MS University. I have submitted my Ph.D thesis titled “Design and VLSI Implementation of Digital Image Processing Applications” for evaluation on 6th December 2013. It has been suggested by the examiners to make some corrections in the thesis. Now the thesis has been corrected as per their suggestion. Herewith I am request submitting the corrected Ph.D Thesis.

Thanking You,

Kovalpatti,
01.09.2014

Yours truly,
Mr. G. Rajakumar

Dr. D. MANIMEGALAI, M.E., Ph.D.
Professor & Head
Department of Information Technology
National Engineering College,
K.R. Nagar, Kovalpatti 629 503.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Correction Suggested by the Examiner</th>
<th>Remarks (Correction carried over by the Candidate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The abstract appears more like introduction. It should be redrafted highlighting only accomplishments/contributions of the research work</td>
<td>The abstract has been redrafted and incorporated in the thesis (Page no. vi and vii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sections (3.3.1 and 3.3.2), (4.3.1 and 4.3.2) and (5.2.1 and 5.2.2) are redundant. It is advised to redraft by avoiding redundancy</td>
<td>The following Sections were (3.3.1 and 3.3.2), (4.3.1) redrafted and incorporated in the thesis (Page no. 45, 68 and 86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Throughout the thesis the equations are not clear</td>
<td>The equations were edited and incorporated in the thesis (Page no. 45, 46, 118, 119 and 120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>In table 5.4, the last two columns have same headers. So difficult to understand the differences of the columns</td>
<td>Headers were titled clearly and incorporated in the thesis (Page no. 106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The last sentences in section 4.5 and section 5.4 require justification</td>
<td>The last sentences in section 4.5 and section 5.4 were justified and incorporated in the thesis (Page no. 81 and 106)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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