Synthesis and characterization of chiral aza-macrocycles and study of their enantiomer recognition ability for organo-phosphoric acid and phosphonic acid derivatives by $^{31}$P NMR and fluorescence spectroscopy†

Aditya N. Khanvilkar and Ashutosh V. Bedekar*

Two diastereomers of optically active N,O-containing new macrocycles with dual chirality of the ring and pendent group were synthesized and characterized. The difference in the accessibility of the cavity was explored to discriminate the enantiomers of the derivatives of organo-phosphoric and phosphonic acids by $^{31}$P NMR and fluorescence spectroscopy.

Introduction

Crown ethers and other macrocyclic compounds have a unique place in the field of supramolecular chemistry. These host molecules interact with guest entities in a predictable and non-covalent manner. This phenomenon has been well studied over the last several decades. The importance of optically active molecules in the field of medicine, fragrance and flavours, material science and supramolecular chemistry has already been well established. The supramolecular interactions in biological systems between the chiral receptors and guest substrates are in general more enantiospecific. Many studies have been conducted on large complex biological assemblies and their interactions based on supramolecular principles. Optically active crown ethers and other macrocyclic compounds can be prepared by introducing chirality in the backbone of the ring itself or by attaching chiral pendent groups to the achiral framework of the crown. Chiral macrocyclic hosts can selectively recognize isomers of optically active guest molecules based on supramolecular interactions. Few such macrocyclic compounds with a chiral ring or with suitable chiral pendent groups have been reported and their molecular recognition ability with chiral guests has been investigated.

The optical purity of chiral materials is usually confirmed by more than one analytical method such as chromatography (GC or HPLC with a chiral stationary phase), spectroscopy (NMR, CD), capillary electrophoresis etc. For accurate determination of the ratio of enantiomers by NMR spectroscopy, it is necessary to convert the analyte to the diastereomers, quantitatively. This can be achieved by making diastereomeric derivatives of the analyte with appropriate chiral derivatizing agents (CDA), such as Mosher’s acid, involving a covalent bond. In other approach, a chiral solvating agent (CSA) can be mixed during the NMR analysis where it may bind temporarily with the chiral analyte, creating in situ diastereomers. Their ratio can be established by detecting the signals. This has been achieved by chiral crown ethers or macrocyclic CSAs capable of having supramolecular interactions with optically active analytes. Also some crown ethers with chiral pendent groups have been used as CSAs for determination of optical purity. The molecular recognition of chiral macrocycles with optically active guests has also been measured by analyzing the change in their fluorescence properties. Here we report the synthesis of new macrocycles with a chiral backbone along with chiral pendent groups. We evaluate their ability to discriminate chiral organic compounds by NMR and fluorescence spectroscopy (Scheme 1). Two chiral elements will allow the study of the match, mismatch effect to fine tune the CSA for such applications for different analytes. In this report we have screened derivatives of 1,1′-binaphthyl-2,2′-dihydrogen phosphate, α-hydroxy phosphonic acid and α-amino phosphonic acid as analytes employing $^{31}$P NMR analysis. Chiral BINOL derived phosphoric acid derivatives have acquired significant interest in asymmetric catalysis. Chiral phosphoric acids have cemented their status as an efficient synthetic tool in Brønsted acid catalysis. α-Hydroxy phosphonic acids and α-amino phosphonic acids have received considerable attention in the
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field of medicinal chemistry. Aminophosphonic acid derivatives, being structurally analogous to amino acids, have been incorporated into many drug molecules due to their physiological activity as antiviral, antibacterial, anticancer and neuroactive compounds.11

Results and discussion

The two diastereomers of the aminocyclohexanol, 3a and 3b, were obtained by the ring opening reaction of cyclohexene oxide 2 with (S)-2-phenylethyl amine (Scheme 2).12 The two separated diastereomers were condensed with m-xylene dibromide to afford two diastereomers of diol 4a and 4b (Scheme 3). The final eighteen member macrocycles were prepared by transesterification with dimethyl 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylate by a slightly modified procedure.

Single crystal X-ray analysis of both the diastereomers of macrocycles revealed interesting features. In the case of (S,S,S)-1a the phenyl units of the pendent groups were seen to lie on the top and cover the bottom of the macrocyclic cavity while in the case of (R,R,S)-1b they appear to be away (Fig. 1).

Recently chiral Brønsted acids such as the phosphoric acid derivative 1,1’-binaphthyl-2,2’-dihydrogenphosphate 5a and its analogues have found wide use as chiral catalysts,11b,c There can be three different types of chiral organic phosphorus containing acid derivatives (Chart 1). The two derivatives of 1 were screened to study their ability to discriminate the 31P NMR signals13 of the derivatives of 5 by
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**Scheme 1** New macrocycles with a chiral backbone and chiral pendent groups.
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**Scheme 2** Preparation of amino alcohols from cyclohexene oxide.
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**Scheme 3** Synthesis of the macrocycle.

![Chart 1](image4.png)

**Chart 1** Types of phosphorus containing analytes.
measuring the chemical shift non-equivalence ($\Delta\Delta\delta$) (Table 1). A clear pattern of better discrimination for $(R,R,S)$-1b was observed in all the examples, while the other derivative $(S,S,S)$-1a with a closed cavity was found ineffective (Fig. 2).

The separation of signals was further studied to establish a linear relationship between experimental and actual values of optical purity for establishing the practical utility of CSAs (see the ESI†).

Table 1 Discrimination of binaphthyl phosphoric acids 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Comp. no.</th>
<th>$R_1$</th>
<th>$R_2$</th>
<th>$(S,S,S)$-1a</th>
<th>$(R,R,S)$-1b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5a</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>__</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5c</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O-iPr</td>
<td>__</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>__</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5e</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>__</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ In CDCl$_3$ (20 mM), 162 MHz ($^{31}$P NMR), ratio of 5 : 1 (2 : 1). $^b$ Not resolved.

The nature of the complex between 5 and the isomers of the macrocycle was determined by IR spectroscopy. The complex of 5 with 1a showed a weak band at 1098 cm$^{-1}$ for phosphoryl bond stretching, but appears much stronger for 1b (see the ESI†). This may indicate a better complexation in the case of 1b supporting the observation.

The use of fluorescence spectroscopy for understanding the recognition of chiral molecules has received considerable attention. Many chiral fluorescent host molecules have been known to exhibit enantioselective quenching or enhancement on interaction with chiral guests. In this study the fluorescence properties of the analyte 5a were utilized to evaluate the interactions with the two isomers of macrocycle. Such chirality dependent quenching of both the enantiomers of 5a in the presence of macrocycles 1a and 1b has been investigated. The recognition ability of macrocycles towards the phosphoric acid was evident from the extent of quenching (Fig. 3). The static quenching is probably attributed to the deprotonation of phosphoric acid 5a, which is indicated by the appearance of a new peak in the UV-Vis spectra (at a higher wavelength of 327 nm; see the ESI†).

The response of quenching the emission of enantiomers of 5a with 1a follows the Stern–Völmer equation. The fluorescence quenching efficiency can be expressed as a ratio of $K_{SV}^{5a}/K_{SV}^{SA}$ which was observed to be 1.05 (Fig. 3, A-2). On the other hand quenching of enantiomers of 5a with the other macrocycle 1b indicated the ratio $K_{SV}^{5b}/K_{SV}^{5a}$ to be 1.40 (Fig. 3, B-2), indicating its higher quenching ability. This data confirms the recognition observed in $^{31}$P NMR analysis. These observations substantiate the assumption that the relatively open cavity of 1b facilitates efficient complexation between the protonated macrocycle and the phosphate ion as well as $\pi-\pi$ interaction of the naphthyl ring of 5 (see the ESI† for the geometrical model).

Preliminary investigation was made to determine the effective stoichiometric ratio of host-guest association in the present system (Table 2). Although each macrocycle contains three basic sites, out of which the two tertiary nitrogens undergo protonation to generate ion pairs, as evident from entry 2 of Table 2. While the third site of pyridine is expected to show $\pi-\pi$ interaction with the naphthyl ring of the guest molecule.

Chiral phosphonic acid derivatives, which resemble closely with amino acids, have also been found useful in medicinal chemistry and in asymmetric transformations. It was noteworthy to see a reverse trend for a second group of analytes of $\alpha$-substituted phosphonic acids (6 to 10) with the same set of macrocyclic CSAs (Table 3). These analytes, being smaller in size are better accommodated in the partially closed cavity of macrocycle 1a. The presence of the hydrogen bond donor group at the $\alpha$ position of phosphonic acid is essential to effect the discrimination. In the case of $\alpha$-chloro derivative 8 both the macrocycles are found to be ineffective in the discrimination.

In both the cases protonation of nitrogen of macrocycles results in electrostatic interactions holding the diastereomers together effecting the chiral discrimination. In the second set of analytes there could be additional H-bonding interaction.

Fig. 2 $^{31}$P NMR signals for (+)-5a with 1a and 1b.
working in tandem. The formation of the H-bond between the phosphonic acid analyte and H-bond acceptor sites of the macrocyclic CSA is probably favored by the partially closed cavity of 1a. This could also explain better discrimination for the α-amino derivatives (9 and 10) where the H-bonding will be stronger.

In summary, two diastereomers of eighteen member N,O-macrocycles are synthesized and evaluated as CSAs for effective discrimination of 31P NMR signals and fluorescence quenching of several organo-phosphoric and phosphonic acid derivatives. Combination of chirality on the backbone of the macrocycle and of the pendant group was explored for molecular recognition of optically active hosts for quantifiable discrimination. Further studies on the binding between

---

**Table 2** Determination of stoichiometry of host (1b) and guest (5a) association

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Ratio a</th>
<th>∆δ (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 : 3</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 : 2</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 : 1</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 : 0.5</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a In CDCl3 (20 mM), 162 MHz (31P NMR).

**Table 3** Discrimination of monomethyl esters of substituted phosphonic acids 6 to 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Comp. no.</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>(S,S,S)-1a</th>
<th>(R,R,S)-1b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6b</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>_b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6c</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>_b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>_b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>_b</td>
<td>_b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a In CD3OD (5%), in CDCl3 (20 mM), 162 MHz (31P NMR), ratio of 6 to 10 : 4 (2 : 1). b Not resolved.
isomers of macrocycles and two sets of guest molecules are in progress.

**Experimental section**

Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals Limited, SD Fine, Sisco, Qualigens, Avara Chemicals Limited, etc. All the glassware were flame dried before the experiment. All solvents that were used were stored on oven dried molecular sieves (4 Å). All commercial products were used without further purification. Toluene was distilled and dried by passing over sodium wire. Thin Layer Chromatography was performed on Merck 60 F254 Aluminium coated plates. The spots were visualized under UV light or with iodine vapour. All the compounds were purified by column chromatography using SRL silica gel (60 Å). All reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere (nitrogen) unless other conditions are specified. 1H, 13C and 31P NMR spectra are recorded under an inert atmosphere (nitrogen) unless other conditions are specified. 1H, 13C and 31P NMR spectra are recorded on a 400 MHz Bruker Avance 400 Spectrometer (100 MHz for 13C and 162 MHz for 31P, respectively) with 0.6 ml CDCl3 or in dichloromethane (CDCl3) as a solvent and TMS as an internal standard. Signal multiplicity is denoted as singlet (s), doublet (d), doublet of doublets (ddd), triplet (t), doublet of triplet (dt), quartet (q) and multiplet (m). Mass spectra were recorded on a Thermo-Fischer DSQ II GCMS instrument. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer FTIR RXI spectrometer as KBr pellets or neat in the case of liquids. UV-vis spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer Lambda-35. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Jasco FP-6300 Spectrofluorometer. The 1,1′-binaphthyl-2,2′-dihydrogen phosphate derivatives 5a–5e were synthesized by the reported procedure.18a Monomethyl esters of α-hydroxy and α-amino phosphonic acids 6–10 were synthesized by following the literature procedure.18b All 31P CSA NMRs were recorded by mixing 1 equiv. (10 mmol) of 1a or 1b and 2 equiv. (20 mmol) of hosts (5–10) in 0.6 ml CDCl3 or indicated otherwise.

**Synthesis of (1R,2R)-trans-2-[(S)-(α-methylbenzyl)amino]-1-cyclohexanol (3b)**

As described above, compound 3b was obtained from the later fractions from column chromatography (ethanol acetate/petroleum ether, 2 : 3) as a white solid. (0.54 g, 30%);

Mp: 53–54 °C.

$[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +99.6 (c = 1.0$ in MeOH) (Lit.12 $-100.2 c = 1.20$, MeOH)

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): 0.88–1.01 (1H, m), 1.07–1.13 (2H, m), 1.19–1.30 (1H, m), 1.36–1.38 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.64–1.69 (2H, m), 1.99–2.05 (2H, m), 2.14–2.20 (1H, m), 3.14–3.20 (1H, m), 3.99–4.04 (1H, q, J = 6.4 Hz), 7.25–7.27 (1H, m), 7.31–7.35 (4H, m).

13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3):δ 24.2, 25.0, 25.6, 30.3, 32.9, 54.1, 60.0, 74.0, 126.7, 128.6, 145.0.

IR (KBr): ν 3480, 2924, 1452, 1368, 1350, 1127, 1064, 762, 698.

Mass (ESI): m/z 242 (7), 221 (48), 220 (96), 116 (96), 106 (24), 105 (100).

(1S,3S,2S,2’S)-(1,3-Phenylenbis(methylene))bis(3′-(1,3-phenylethyl)azanediyl))-dicyclohexanol (4a)

A solution of amino alcohol 3a (0.5 g, 2.2 mmol) in 10 mL acetonitrile was added K2CO3 (0.69 g, 5.0 mmol) and 1-phenylethyl)azanediyl)-dicyclohexanol (4a) as a colourless oil.

Mp: 58–60 °C.

$[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +83.9 (c = 1.0$ in CHCl3).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.21–1.28 (3H, m), 1.27–1.39 (1H, m), 1.47–1.49 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.64–1.66 (1H, m), 1.76–1.78 (1H, m), 1.92–1.93 (1H, m), 1.96–2.03 (1H, m), 2.34–2.39 (1H, m), 3.33–3.68 (1H, m), 3.64–3.67 (1H, d, J = 13.6 Hz), 3.86–3.89 (1H, d, J = 13.6 Hz), 4.02–4.07 (1H, q, J = 6.4 Hz), 7.13–7.25 (6H, m), 7.29–7.38 (1H, m).

13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 13.9, 24.2, 25.9, 27.7, 33.3, 49.9, 55.9, 62.3, 69.4, 127.1, 127.6, 128.0, 128.4, 128.8, 130.2, 140.7, 143.8.

IR (KBr): ν 3465, 2934, 1603, 1450, 1374, 1218, 1076, 759, 701.

Mass (ESI): m/z 542 (40), 541 (100), 349 (10), 348 (46), 234 (13).

HRMS: calculated for C36H40N2O2 (M + 1) = 541.3788. Found 541.3789.
Synthesis of aza-macrocycle (1a)

In a three neck round bottom flask fitted with septa, a mixture of diamino diol 4a (0.4 g, 0.74 mmol), NaN₃ (0.15 g, 3.7 mmol) and KI (0.13 g, 0.74 mmol) was heated to reflux under nitrogen in 30 mL dry toluene for 0.5 h. To this mixture a solution of dimethyl 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylate (0.17 g, 0.89 mmol) in 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.22–1.38 (4H, m), 1.45–1.47 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.70–1.71 (1H, m), 1.77–1.99 (1H, m), 1.95–1.98 (1H, m), 2.09–2.11 (1H, m), 2.59–2.65 (1H, m), 3.41–3.47 (1H, m), 3.67–3.69 (1H, d, J = 13.2 Hz), 3.96–4.02 (2H, m), 5.34 (1H, s), 6.67–6.69 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.09–7.17 (3H, m), 7.19–7.22 (2H, m), 7.25–7.29 (1H, m).

13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 20.1, 24.2, 25.6, 25.8, 33.4, 50.2, 57.4, 62.8, 69.2, 127.1, 127.3, 127.8, 128.3, 128.7, 128.9, 140.9, 142.0.

IR (KBr): ν 3440, 2928, 1603, 1449, 1373, 1349, 1198, 1084, 761, 699.

Mass (ESI): m/z 542 (37), 541 (100), 523 (5).

HRMS: calculated for C₃₆H₄₉N₂O₂ (M + 1) = 541.3788. Found 541.3789.

Synthesis of aza-macrocycle (1b)

The title compound was obtained by following the same procedure as for compound 1a from the corresponding diamino diol 4b. The organic extract was column chromatographed (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1 : 9) to yield compound 1b as a white solid. (0.28 g, 57%);

Mp: 111–112 °C.

[α]D²⁵ = −161.4 (c = 1.0 in CHCl₃).

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.22–1.38 (4H, m), 1.45–1.47 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.70–1.71 (1H, m), 1.77–1.99 (1H, m), 1.95–1.98 (1H, m), 2.09–2.11 (1H, m), 2.59–2.65 (1H, m), 3.41–3.47 (1H, m), 3.67–3.69 (1H, d, J = 13.2 Hz), 3.96–4.02 (2H, m), 5.34 (1H, s), 6.67–6.69 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.09–7.17 (3H, m), 7.19–7.22 (2H, m), 7.25–7.29 (1H, m).

13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 20.1, 24.2, 25.6, 25.8, 33.4, 50.2, 57.4, 62.8, 69.2, 127.1, 127.3, 127.8, 128.3, 128.7, 128.9, 140.9, 142.0.

IR (KBr): ν 3440, 2928, 1603, 1449, 1373, 1349, 1198, 1084, 761, 699.

Mass (ESI): m/z 542 (37), 541 (100), 523 (5).

HRMS: calculated for C₃₆H₄₉N₂O₂ (M + 1) = 541.3788. Found 541.3789.
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Optically pure 2-(quinolin-8-yloxy)cyclohexan-1-ol, obtained via simple chemical and bio-catalytic steps, was used as a chiral solvating agent for molecular recognition of the enantiomers of acids. The discrimination of isomers was detected by NMR or fluorescence spectroscopy. Isomers of α-substituted carboxylic acids, phosphoric acids, unprotected amino acids and dipeptides were efficiently detected, while the method can be used for quantitative determination for practical applications. Analysis of the crystal of [R,R]-mandelic acid established a three point supramolecular interaction.
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Optically pure 2-(quinolin-8-yloxy)cyclohexan-1-ol 1 was used as a chiral solvating agent for molecular recognition of the enantiomers of acids. The discrimination of isomers was detected by NMR or fluorescence spectroscopy. Isomers of α-substituted carboxylic acids, phosphoric acids, unprotected amino acids and dipeptides were efficiently detected, while the method can be used for quantitative determination for practical applications. Analysis of the crystal of [R,R]-mandelic acid established a three point supramolecular interaction.

Determination of the ratio of enantiomers of chiral molecules is an important consideration and often separation techniques (HPLC or GC) utilizing special columns with chiral solid phases are employed. The proper separation of isomers for the accurate analysis is based on precise molecular recognition, which often is substrate specific. Small structural changes in similar types of analytes result in poor or no recognition under comparable conditions. The enantiomer ratio may also be determined by other techniques such as mass spectrometry, IR & UV spectroscopy, competitive immunoassay, etc. Fluorescence spectroscopy based methods for the determination of optical purity have also been developed and information is compiled in few good reviews. Enantiomers in an achiral environment in nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy show indistinguishable sets of signals, while diastereomers may show separate signals. Hence, converting enantiomeric analytes to diastereomers may lead to separation of signals for effective measurement of their composition. This has been achieved by different methods such as addition of chiral shift reagents or by preparation of derivatives by attaching another chiral moiety. The diastereomer formation may also be achieved by in situ supramolecular interactions with addition of some chiral agents. Since the interactions are similar to the phenomenon of solvolysis, these agents are often referred to as Chiral Solvating Agents (CSAs). In our earlier studies we have also explored few molecules as CSAs for supramolecular discrimination of chiral analytes. Recently, we have also reported new chiral aza-macrocycles, capable of exhibiting a dual mode of detection, NMR and fluorescence spectroscopy, for discrimination of a range of acids. Considerable work has been done on searching chiral fluorescent sensors, such as natural product like cinchona alkaloid based or synthetic ones designed from BINOL derivatives.

In this work we report the preparation of a simple chiral molecule, which has three distinct binding sites along with the aromatic quinoline moiety for effective supramolecular interactions as well as is capable of exhibiting good fluorescence response. It will be useful as a sensor which can work in the dual mode of analysis to get more accurate results. The proposed sensor 2-(quinolin-8-yloxy)cyclohexan-1-ol (1) is a derivative of 8-hydroxyquinoline (3), which itself is known to exhibit good fluorescence properties. The racemic sample of 1 was prepared by stereoselective opening of cyclohexene oxide (2) (Scheme 1). Its enantiomers were separated using a kinetic resolution protocol; several conditions were investigated, and the best one is presented here. The unreacted alcohol (S,S)-1, obtained in moderate optical purity, was recrystallized to give a pure sample.

The absolute configuration of 1 was established by making its derivative with a compound of known optical configuration.
The alcohol (R,R)-1, obtained by the hydrolysis of (R,R)-4, was coupled with (R)-O-acetyl mandelic acid, while the relative absolute configuration of the ester was established by its single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (see the ESI†).

We tested our hypothesis by as a chiral solvating agent to measure the discrimination of signals by NMR spectroscopy. The design of alcohol 1 suggests the possibility of three point interaction with acidic substrates like mandelic acid (A-I). We envisage protonation of the quinoline nitrogen, along with two sets of intermolecular hydrogen bonding with the carboxylate. This was checked by 1H-NMR of (R,R)-1 with racemic A-I (20 mM in CDCl3, 400 MHz). The spectrum indicates a well resolved signal of C3H with a considerable chemical shift non-equivalence (ΔΔδ) (Table 1); salt formation by deprotonation was supported by FT-IR. The capability for the molecular recognition of other derivatives of α-substituted phenyl acetic acids (Types A and B) was further investigated, with moderate to good levels of discrimination (by 1H-NMR & 31P-NMR). Structurally similar acid C, an intermediate of Nebivolol, a beta blocker drug,† was also screened with good separation of signals in 31P-NMR.

To understand the interactions between (R,R)-1 and the isomers of mandelic acid, the crystal of its salt with both isomers of A-I could be obtained from acetonitrile, while the other pair resulted in an amorphous salt. The single crystal X-ray analysis of (R,R)-1 (R)-A-I confirmed the three point supramolecular interactions (Fig. 1). The deprotonation of mandelic acid is further confirmed by the shorter length of (C–O) bond (1.22–1.28 Å) of the carboxylate. The salt shows an (NH···O) hydrogen bond (1.789 Å) between the protonated quinoline ‘NH’ and (C–O), and an (OH···O) hydrogen bond (2.049 Å) between the alcoholic ‘OH’ and (C–O), of the carboxylate of mandelic acid. The mandelic acid shows further (CO···O) interaction (2.889 Å) with the ether ‘O’ of (R,R)-1. In addition, the other ‘O’ of the carboxylate shows strong (CH···O) interaction (2.491 Å) with the C–H of the quinoline ring. Furthermore, the alcoholic OH of mandelic acid shows lateral (OH–C) interaction (2.851 Å) with the carbon of the cyclohexyl ring and the (O–HC) (2.716 Å) of the quinoline ring.

The scope of (R,R)-1 was further explored for the discrimination of isomers of BINOL (Type D), 1,1′-binaphthyl-2,2′-diyl hydrogenphosphate (Type E) and cyclic phosphoric acids (Type F) (Table 2). Due to the considerable interest in the applications of these molecules in asymmetric synthesis, it is crucial to develop an efficient method for establishing their optical purity. For this study 1H-NMR of D-I with (R,R)-1 exhibited excellent separation for two hydrogens (H6 and H8) (Fig. 2), whereas D-II showed moderate separation (H5). In the case of E the resolution of signals in 1H-NMR could not be detected due to overlap; however, the 31P-NMR indicated a good base line separation. The cyclic phosphoric acid analogues (Type F), which have not been commonly analysed for such molecular recognition,† resulted in good separation of signals, in both 1H- and 31P-NMR spectroscopy. In general, the presence of electron withdrawing substituents resulted in better separation, probably due to their increased acidic nature (F-II and F-III).

One of the objectives of the design of (R,R)-1 was to explore the fluorescence properties of 8-hydroxyquinoline in tandem with the structural rigidity and hydrogen bonding ability of the cyclohexanol moiety. Amino acids, essentially utilized in their

**Table 1** Application of (R,R)-1 as a Chiral Solvating Agent for discrimination of signals of α-substituted carboxylic acids by NMR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>ΔΔδ (1H)</th>
<th>ΔΔδ (19F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A-I</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A-II</td>
<td>4-CF₃</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A-III</td>
<td>2-Cl</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>A-IV</td>
<td>3,4-O-CH₂-O</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A-V</td>
<td>4-Br</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>B-I</td>
<td>R = H₂ X-Br</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>B-II</td>
<td>R = H₂ X-Cl</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>B-III</td>
<td>R = 2-Cl; X-Cl</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>B-IV</td>
<td>R = 4-CF₃; X-Cl</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ace</td>
<td>Chemical shift non-equivalence (ΔΔδ) in ppm.</td>
<td>Not resolved completely.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The (R,R)-1:A–C ratio is 1:1 (20 mM in CDCl₃).

**Table 2** Application of (R,R)-1 as a CSA for discrimination of signals of BINOLs and phosphoric acids by NMR spectroscopy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>ΔΔδ (1H)</th>
<th>ΔΔδ (31P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>D-I</td>
<td>6-Br D-II</td>
<td>0.058 (H8)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>D-II</td>
<td>6-Br D-II</td>
<td>0.038 (H6)</td>
<td>0.016 (H5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F-I</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.020 (CH)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F-II</td>
<td>4-Cl</td>
<td>0.042 (CH)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F-III</td>
<td>4-NO₂</td>
<td>0.043 (CH)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F-IV</td>
<td>4-OMe</td>
<td>0.047 (CH)</td>
<td>0.011 (OCH₃)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ace</td>
<td>Chemical shift non-equivalence (ΔΔδ) in ppm.</td>
<td>Not resolved completely.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The (R,R)-1:D–F ratio of is 1:1 (20 mM in CDCl₃).
optically pure form, are generally analysed by HPLC on chiral columns.\textsuperscript{19} There are some reports of fluorescence spectroscopy based analytical methods, involving prior N-protection or involving the use of complex sensors.\textsuperscript{20} At the same time, few reports are available where amino acids are directly analyzed;\textsuperscript{21} hence we examined our sensor (\(R, R\))-1 for fluorescence recognition of amino acids.

Fluorescence recognition of AA-I to AA-IV with (\(R, R\))-1 in EtOH showed significant enhancement, indicating selectivity towards one enantiomer (Fig. 3). The fluorescence spectrum of (\(R, R\))-1 in the presence of AA-I resulted in a marked enhancement in short wavelength emission with the \(\lambda\)-isomer, while an insignificant change was seen with the other isomer. Similar experiments with isomers of A-I did not result in any marked difference in fluorescence, indicating the essential role of the amino group. The sensor exhibited highly enantioselective fluorescence recognition towards AA-I (\(ef = 14.4\)). Further NMR titration of (\(R, R\))-1 with (1)-AA-I in CD\textsubscript{3}OD was performed with a maximum at 0.5, indicating a 1:1 complex between the sensor and AA-I (see the ESI\textsuperscript{†}). The nitrogen of the quinoline ring of the sensor interacts with the acid due to protonation, thereby making the lone pair unavailable for PIET, leading to an enhancement in fluorescence. The binding of (1)-AA-I with the sensor (\(R, R\))-1 must be stronger than (1)-AA-I due to favourable hydrogen bonding and \(\pi-\pi\) interactions.\textsuperscript{22} Furthermore, the other isomer of the sensor (\(S, S\))-1 when screened with AA-I exhibited fluorescence enhancement for the other isomer (1)-AA-I, thus confirming the mirror image relationship (see the ESI\textsuperscript{†}). Similar behaviour was observed for A-II, but for the aliphatic analogues AA-III and AA-IV, the \(\beta\)-isomer showed higher fluorescence enhancement (see the ESI\textsuperscript{†}). This perhaps indicates a favourable \(\pi-\pi\) interaction between the aromatic ring of the \(\alpha\)-amino acid and the quinoline unit of the sensor in the case of AA-I and AA-II, which will be lacking in the other cases. The enantioselective fluorescence response of the sensor towards amino acids has been discussed (Table 3). To establish this, AA-I was treated with (\(R, R\))-1 at varying concentrations and it followed the Benesi–Hildebrand equation (Fig. 3).\textsuperscript{6}\textsuperscript{f}

For establishing the practical application of (\(R, R\))-1 as a tool for determining the optical purity of chiral analytes, it is essential to follow linear enantioselective response. This ability to quantitatively determine the ee of samples of optically active analytes was established by NMR analysis of a scalemic mixture of mandelic acid (see the ESI\textsuperscript{†}). However, such studies of establishing optical purity by fluorescence spectroscopy have not been widely reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{7a–d}

We explored the sensor (\(R, R\))-1 for determining the ee of AA-I by recording its fluorescence spectra with different samples of enantiomeric purity (Fig. 4). The optical purity of samples of AA-I was determined from the plot of \(I/I_0\) vs. % ee of (1)-AA-I (see the ESI\textsuperscript{†}); from this % ee was obtained and compared with the actual values. A linear relationship was observed between the actual and observed ee values. Furthermore, two samples of unknown purity were analysed in the same way and their ee’s were validated with the actual and the SOR values.

Molecular recognition of peptides using chiral fluorescence sensors is rare, although some studies on fluorescence markers for peptides and proteins are known.\textsuperscript{23} Hence, the possibility of using (\(R, R\))-1 for determining fluorescence response with

Table 3  Enantioselective fluorescence responses and association constants of (\(R, R\))-1 with AA (I to IV) and DP (I to II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Enantioselective fluorescence ((ef))</th>
<th>(K_0/K_D)</th>
<th>(K_D/K_0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AA-I</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AA-II</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AA-III</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AA-IV</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DP-I</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DP-II</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>DP-III</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2  \(^1\)H NMR spectra of D-I with (\(R, R\))-1 (top) and \(^3\)P NMR spectra of E with (\(R, R\))-1 (bottom).

Fig. 3  List of amino acids investigated (top). Fluorescence spectra of (\(R, R\))-1 (1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) M in EtOH) in the presence of \(\beta\)- and \(\alpha\)-phenyl alanine (AA-I) (1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-3}\) M in EtOH) (bottom left) [\(\lambda\)exc, 300 nm]. Benesi–Hildebrand plots of (\(R, R\))-1 (1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) M in EtOH) in the presence of \(\beta\)- and \(\alpha\)-phenyl alanine (AA-I) (bottom right).

Fig. 4  Plot of the fluorescence response of (\(R, R\))-1 (1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) M in EtOH) in the presence of AA-I (1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-3}\) M in EtOH) with varying ee ratio.
isomers of peptides is investigated. Few dipeptides as analytes for this study were chosen (DP-I to DP-III, Fig. 5). The fluorescence study of (R,R)-1 with isomers of DP-I showed greater enhancement for l-DP-I, showing selective recognition. This behavior was similar in the case of both dipeptides (DP-I and DP-II) containing amino acids with aromatic groups, which was consistent with the observation for the amino acid series (AA-I and AA-II). The comparison of the molecular recognition for amino acids and dipeptides is summarized in Table 3. The behaviour of these analytes containing aromatic side chains supports the role of π–π interactions with the quinoline unit of sensor 1. At the same time, the responses for aliphatic side chain containing analytes were similar.

We have presented the design, synthesis and molecular recognition study of a new chiral sensor and established its selectivity using NMR as well as fluorescence spectroscopy. Single crystal X-ray analysis indicates the possible mode of molecular recognition, while the practical utility was confirmed by performing control experiments by both techniques.
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Applications of chiral naphthyloxycyclohexanols in deracemization of \( \alpha \)-substituted carboxylic acids by dynamic thermodynamic resolution\(^\dagger\)

Aditya N. Khanvilkar, Sudeep G. Samanta and Ashutosh V. Bedekar\(^\*\)

Two derivatives of trans-2-naphthyloxycyclohexanol were synthesized, their enantiomers were separated by enzyme mediated kinetic resolution and their absolute configuration was established by synthesizing their diastereomers with esters of known chiral description. Chiral alcohols were then used as chiral auxiliaries for the preparation of esters by coupling with racemic \( \alpha \)-halo acids. During the coupling reactions with DCC and a suitable base, an efficient dynamic thermodynamic resolution was observed and the products were isolated in high diastereomeric purity. The effect of several parameters on the reaction was studied and the absolute configuration of a newly created chiral centre was established by single crystal X-ray analysis; the correlation of the structure of chiral auxiliary and diastereoselectivity was investigated. The observed diastereoselectivity was in accordance with the relative energy profile of the products. The chirally pure \( \alpha \)-halo acid could be separated from the auxiliary, without any loss of optical purity of both components.

Introduction

The synthesis of optically pure organic compounds containing diverse functional groups is an important consideration in modern synthetic endeavours. Optically pure compounds can be obtained by several approaches, either from prochiral substrates by asymmetric synthesis or from racemic samples by various techniques of resolution of enantiomers. The separation of enantiomers can be achieved by crystallization as salts with chiral components, by chiral chromatography, crystallization as enantiomorphically solids or spontaneous resolution,\(^1\) subjecting to enantioselective reactions based on difference in their kinetics, such as enzyme mediated kinetic or dynamic kinetic resolutions,\(^2\) in combination with other catalysts\(^3\) or by catalytic parallel kinetic resolution reactions.\(^4\) Many of these procedures are complex, resulting in the maximum yield of 50\%, or need for continuous separation of unwanted isomers. At the same time there are other strategies involving isomerization of the unwanted isomer in the reaction medium, therefore theoretically increasing the yield to a quantitative level. The mechanism of isomerization of the unwanted enantiomer, may differ in such approaches like dynamic kinetic resolution (DKR),\(^5\) dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformations (DYKAT)\(^6\) or dynamic thermodynamic resolution (DTR),\(^7\) where ultimately an optically pure product is formed in an efficient manner.

The developments in the area of DTR have mostly been in the electrophilic substitution reactions of organolithium reagents leading to the generation of chiral products of diverse functionalities.\(^8\) The same approach of DTR has also been occasionally employed in deracemization of \( \alpha \)-substituted derivatives of carboxylic acids. The optically pure \( \alpha \)-substituted carboxylic acids are either part of the structural motifs of biologically significant compounds or are important intermediates for the synthesis of functional molecules, including amino acids. Several methods are available for their synthesis in optically pure form involving isomer separation and asymmetric synthesis.\(^9\) The synthesis of optically enriched \( \alpha \)-substituted derivatives of carboxylic acids by the DTR procedure involves two protocols. In one of the approaches the \( \alpha \)-halo ester substrates undergo epimerization in favour of a thermodynamically stable isomer and undergo a nucleophilic substitution reaction to produce optically active derivatives, while in the other one, the epimerization of these \( \alpha \)-substituted derivatives produces enrichment of the enantiomer for separate and stepwise functional group manipulations. Few chiral alcohols have been utilised as auxiliaries to anchor the...
α-substituted acid unit to form esters,\textsuperscript{10,11} which were subjected to the suitable conditions of DTR to control the stereochemical output.

Another class of important chiral alcohols used in asymmetric synthesis is \textit{trans}-2-aryloxy cyclohexanol. The aromatic ring of the ether unit (in I) provides an effective shield to control the stereochemistry in the asymmetric reactions using such auxiliaries.\textsuperscript{12} The prochiral group attached on R (in II) can be attacked from both the faces. However, the aryl unit of the ether should block the back side attack and favour the front attack. This type of auxiliary is effectively employed to introduce chirality by the nucleophile addition reaction of PhZnCl on the prochiral ketone group attached in the form of phenylglyoxylate.\textsuperscript{12b} The optically pure \textit{trans}-2-aryloxy cyclohexanol derivatives are structurally analogous to more popular auxiliaries like 8-phenylmenthol\textsuperscript{13} and 2-arylcyclohexanol,\textsuperscript{14a} particularly 2-tritylcyclohexanol.\textsuperscript{14b}

However, not many applications of chiral 2-aryloxy cyclohexanol in asymmetric synthesis are explored. Recently we have demonstrated the ability of molecular recognition of chiral substrates using optically pure 2-(quinolin-8-yloxy)cyclohexanol-1-ol, detected by NMR as well as fluorescence spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{14c} In this paper, we shall present our studies on the synthesis, resolution and applications of \textit{trans}-2-naphthyloxycyclohexanol as a chiral auxiliary in the synthesis of optically active α-halo esters by a DTR driven deracemization procedure.

**Results and discussion**

The title compound \textit{trans}-2-aryloxy cyclohexanol derivatives in racemic form can be easily obtained by epoxide ring opening of cyclohexene oxide 1 with the corresponding phenoxides.\textsuperscript{15}

We have designed our auxiliaries, 3 and 5 (Scheme 1), by choosing a naphthalene unit as the aryl moiety due to its steric considerations as well as π–π interactions during the key step of a stereoselection process. The two possible isomers of \textit{trans}-2-naphthyloxycyclohexanol are known in the literature.\textsuperscript{16} Accordingly, we obtained \textit{trans}-2-(naphthalen-2-yloxy)cyclohexanol 3 and \textit{trans}-2-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)cyclohexanol 5 by the reaction of cyclohexene oxide 1 with the corresponding naphthoxides, 2 or 4, prepared \textit{in situ} with aqueous potassium hydroxide under reflux conditions (Scheme 1).

Having obtained the required racemic samples of alcohols 3 and 5, we subjected them for separation of enantiomers by a well-established enzyme mediated kinetic resolution protocol.\textsuperscript{2} The selective acylation of one enantiomer can be achieved by adopting appropriate conditions using a combination of a suitable biocatalyst, acyl donor, solvent \textit{etc}. The standardization procedure was performed on (±)-3 as a model substrate (Scheme 2 and Table 1). The initial experiment was performed in THF with steapsin lipase as an immobilized biocatalyst and

![Scheme 1 Synthesis of \textit{trans}-2-naphthyloxycyclohexanols 3 and 5.](image1)

![Scheme 2 Resolution of (±)-3.](image2)

**Table 1 Searching conditions for kinetic resolution of (±)-3 with steapsin lipase**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Eq. of VA\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Lipase w/w eq.</th>
<th>Time (d)</th>
<th>% ee of 6 (%\textsuperscript{b})</th>
<th>% ee of 3 (%\textsuperscript{b})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0 THF</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;99 (17)</td>
<td>13 (57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.0 THF</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;99 (22)</td>
<td>27 (60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.0 EA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&gt;99 (36)</td>
<td>51 (53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.0 Dioxane</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&gt;99 (22)</td>
<td>13 (46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.0 EA</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&gt;99 (45)</td>
<td>83 (52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.0 EA</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&gt;99 (47)</td>
<td>92 (51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.0 EA</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&gt;99 (48)</td>
<td>&gt;99 (49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Vinyl acetate. \textsuperscript{b}Isolated yield. \textsuperscript{4} High dilution; (E > 200 in all cases); EA = ethyl acetate.
vinyl acetate as an acyl donor, resulting in the formation of acetate 6 with high optical purity, but with low chemical yield. Increasing the amount of vinyl acetate resulted in better conversion without loss in selectivity. However, good overall yield and high selectivity were also achieved by increasing the amount of enzyme and changing the solvent to ethyl acetate. Furthermore we observed that performing the resolution procedure at high dilution resulted in near perfect selectivity and conversion.

The above optimized experimental conditions were extended for the resolution of other alcohol (±)-5 with similar success (Scheme 3). The optical purity of all the products of resolution was established by chiral solid phase HPLC analysis without any derivatization.

The correlation of absolute configuration of both the optically pure alcohols 3 and 5 could not be established due to the lack of literature reference. Hence, we prepared their ester derivatives with an acid of known chiral element, purified the corresponding diastereomeric esters, and established their optical description by single crystal X-ray analysis. The optically pure alcohols, obtained by careful hydrolysis of O-acetyl derivatives 6 and 7, were treated with (R)-O-acetyl mandelic acid under Steglich esterification conditions where the corresponding esters 8 and 9 were obtained in pure form. Their suitable crystals were grown from hexane–dichloromethane and subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 1).

The analysis established the configuration of the unreacted isomer of the alcohols as well the acylated products (Scheme 4).

The objective of the present study is to establish the scope of these two optically pure derivatives of trans-2-naphthloxy-cyclohexenols as chiral auxiliaries for deracemization of α-halo esters. In this endeavour the optically pure alcohol (R,R)-3 was treated with racemic 2-chloropropanoic acid 10 under the standard coupling conditions (Scheme 5). The formation of an ester was a smooth process, the pure product 11 was isolated in near quantitative yield, and its NMR analysis indicated the presence of a single diastereomer. The CαH of 11 appeared as a q (4.29–4.34 δ) in 1H NMR analysis (CDCl3, 400 MHz). The stereochemistry of the product was determined by its X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 2).

The other isomer (R,R,R)-11, where the newly generated chiral center should possess opposite stereochemistry, was prepared by coupling (R,R)-3 with (R)-10 and its 1H NMR spectra were recorded. The CαH of (R,R,R)-11 showed a q at 4.23–4.28 δ, which was distinguishable and quantifiable for further optimization study. Furthermore the configuration of CαH of (R,R,S)-11 was confirmed by its acid hydrolysis and isolation of (S)-2-chloropropanoic acid, (S)-10, without loss of optical purity, by comparing the sign of optical rotation with the lit-
It was also noted that there was no substantial loss in chemical yield as well as the optical purity of the recovered chiral auxiliary (R, R)-3.

The choice of a base in stereochemical consideration in the deracemization of similar substrates plays a crucial role in the final outcome of such reactions. The role of the base in this deracemization was also investigated in detail (Table 2). It was observed that organic bases show consistently good d.r., while potassium carbonate too showed a comparable result.

The process of deracemization may involve two possible pathways, depending on the kinetic (DKR) or thermodynamic (DTR) aspect. The possible mechanism of deracemization may favour dynamic resolution, as in all the cases, the chemical yield is observed to be near quantitative. To further strengthen this possibility, the reaction was performed at varying temperatures (Table 3). The reaction was performed at three different reaction temperatures to investigate this aspect. A racemic sample of acid 10 showed the formation of ester 11, as almost a single diastereomer (>99% de), within the temperature range of 0 °C to reflux temperature of CH2Cl2. The reaction was then performed using a scalemic mixture of (R)-10, (67 : 33) in a similar temperature range; however, in this study, the reaction product 11 at ambient and reflux temperature showed a de of around 72%, which dropped to 50% at low temperature (0 °C). This observation probably suggests the possibility of the mechanism to be a dynamic thermodynamic resolution (DTR).

### Table 2 Effect of base in deracemization of 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Basea</th>
<th>% d r of 11b (α-R : α-S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DMAP</td>
<td>0.5 : 99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DABCO</td>
<td>0.5 : 99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Et3N</td>
<td>1.0 : 99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>K2CO3</td>
<td>1.5 : 98.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a 20.0 mol% along with DCC (1.0 eq.). b Determined by CSP-HPLC.

was observed that organic bases show consistently good d.r., while potassium carbonate too showed a comparable result.

The process of deracemization may involve two possible pathways, depending on the kinetic (DKR) or thermodynamic (DTR) aspect. The possible mechanism of deracemization may favour dynamic resolution, as in all the cases, the chemical yield is observed to be near quantitative. To further strengthen this possibility, the reaction was performed at varying temperatures (Table 3). The reaction was performed at three different reaction temperatures to investigate this aspect. A racemic sample of acid 10 showed the formation of ester 11, as almost a single diastereomer (>99% de), within the temperature range of 0 °C to reflux temperature of CH2Cl2. The reaction was then performed using a scalemic mixture of (R)-10, (67 : 33) in a similar temperature range; however, in this study, the reaction product 11 at ambient and reflux temperature showed a de of around 72%, which dropped to 50% at low temperature (0 °C). This observation probably suggests the possibility of the mechanism to be a dynamic thermodynamic resolution (DTR).

### Table 3 Effect of temperature on diastereoselectivity of 11 (see Scheme 5)a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Initial composition of 10 (R : S)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>% d r of 11b (α-R : α-S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50 : 50</td>
<td>Reflux</td>
<td>0.5 : 99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50 : 50</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>0.5 : 99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50 : 50</td>
<td>0 °C</td>
<td>0.5 : 99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>67 : 33</td>
<td>Reflux</td>
<td>14.0 : 86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>67 : 33</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>14.0 : 86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>67 : 33</td>
<td>0 °C</td>
<td>25.0 : 75.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a DMAP (20.0 mol%), DCC (1.0 eq.). b Determined by CSP-HPLC.
The reaction of \((R,R)-3\) with a scalemic mixture of \(10\) which initially had the ratio in favour of the \(R\) isomer \((R:S = 67:33)\), under the standard coupling conditions (DCC, DMAP, \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) or \(\text{CHCl}_3\)), was monitored at different time intervals. The diastereomeric ratio of the product \(11\) was monitored. The acid \(10\) which had an enantiomeric ratio in favour of the \(R\) isomer \((33\% \text{ ee})\) on coupling with \((R,R)-3\) underwent inversion at \(\text{C}_3\text{H}\) chiral carbon. It was observed that the ratio of diastereomers \((R,R,S)-11\) improved with the progress of the reaction (Table 4). This also suggests that the epimerization process at the chiral centre of \(\text{C}_3\text{H}\) of \(11\) proceeds after the ester formation step. This observation helps us to further establish the mode of deracemization via the epimerization process, which must be controlled by the stereochemistry of the chiral \(\text{trans-2-aryloxy-cyclohexanol auxiliary.}\)

The effect of initial composition of 2-chloropropanoic acid \(10\) on the final stereochemical outcome of the deracemization reaction was studied by conducting a series of experiments with varying enantiomeric ratios (Table 5). As we progress from higher optical purity of \(10\) in favour of the \(R\)-isomer \((80\% \text{ ee of } (R)-10)\) to its racemic sample, the diastereomeric ratio of the product gradually increases in favour of \((R,R,S)-11\). This suggests the dependence of initial composition of the coupling partner 2-chloropropanoic acid on the ultimate diastereoselectivity of ester \(11\). Such observation of the relationship of initial composition of acid \(10\) and the final stereochemical output of deracemization is in accordance with the reported example.\(^{10f}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>(\text{dr of } 11) (\text{a}) ((\alpha-\text{R} : \alpha-\text{S}))</th>
<th>% (\text{de} \text{b}) of ((R,R,R,S)-11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16.0 : 84.0</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>16.0 : 84.0</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>15.5 : 84.5</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>15.0 : 85.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>14.0 : 86.0</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>11.0 : 89.0</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>10.0 : 90.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>8.0 : 92.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) DMAP \((20.0 \text{ mol}%)\), DCC \((1.0 \text{ eq.})\), initial composition of \(10 \text{ (R:S was } 67:33)\). \(^b\) Determined by CSP-HPLC.

Based on these detailed investigations, we can summarise the possible reaction pathway in Fig. 3. The base deprotonates the initially coupled ester \(11\) to form enolate intermediate \(12\), which on re-protonation from the two possible sides leads to the formation of its two diastereomers. The re-protonation of \(12\) from Path-A involving the back side attack will furnish the observed \((R,R,S)-11\), while that from the front side by Path-B should result in the formation of its epimer \((R,R,R)-11\). Probably due to the sterical crowding offered by the naphthoxy moiety, the former mode of attack in Path-A is more feasible and supports the experimental observation.

This observation of deracemization in favour of \((R,R,S)-11\) was further validated by the density functional theory (DFT) study of the final diastereomers of \(11\) at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level.\(^{19}\) Fig. 4 depicts the relative energies of both the diastereomers of \(11\). The difference in energy between \((R,R,S)-11\) and \((R,R,R)-11\) is about 2.1 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) which is in agreement with the experimental results and the stereochemical outcome of the deracemization reaction (for detailed discussion on DFT calculations, see the ESI†).

In the present study we have also prepared another derivative of naphthoxy-cyclohexanol \((S,S)-5\), prepared from 1-naphthol \(4\) and cyclohexene oxide \(1\) (Scheme 1). The reaction of optically pure alcohol \((S,S)-5\) and \((±)-2\)-chloropropanoic acid \(10\) under optimized esterification and deracemization conditions resulted in the formation of ester \(14a\) with moderate selectivity \((68\% \text{ de})\; \text{Table 6}\). The ratio of diastereomers of \(14a\) was established by \(^1\text{H NMR},\) where the \(\text{C}_3\text{H}\) hydrogen appeared as a distinguishable q signal in the range of 4.1–4.3 \(δ\) (see the ESI† for more details). The much lower selectivity in this case of deracemization could be attributed to two aspects, the sterical factors pertaining to the orientation of the naphthalene unit of the auxiliary and the relative energy difference of the diastereomers of \(14a\) \((1.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})\; \text{for details see the ESI†}\). In both these examples, \(11\) and \(14a\), we have observed the formation of a thermodynamically more stable diastereomer as a major product, strengthening the probability of the dynamic thermodynamic resolution mechanism in this reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Initial composition of (10 \text{ (R:S) = } 90:10)</th>
<th>Product ratio (^b) ((R,R,R)-11:(R,R,S)-11)</th>
<th>% (\text{de} \text{b}) of ((R,R,R,S)-11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>90 : 10</td>
<td>47.5 : 52.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>75 : 25</td>
<td>23.5 : 76.5</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>67 : 33</td>
<td>14 : 86</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60 : 40</td>
<td>10 : 90</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>55 : 45</td>
<td>4 : 96</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50 : 50</td>
<td>0.5 : 99.5</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) DMAP \((20.0 \text{ mol}%)\), DCC \((1.0 \text{ eq.})\), reaction time was 12 h. \(^b\) Determined by CSP-HPLC.
The better selectivity with auxiliary (R,R)-3 led us to select it for further screening of examples of deracemization (Table 6). The reaction of alcohol (R,R)-3 with 2-bromopropanoic acid resulted in the formation of ester 14b (66% de), which is lower as compared to its chloro analogue, which could be attributed to the higher acidity of CH2Cl, making its abstraction a more facile process. Replacing a methyl group with an ethyl group in the next example, 14c, led to a decrease in diastereoselectivity (50% de), which is in agreement with the earlier reports.10 Furthermore, introducing a bulkier group in 14e resulted in diminished selectivity for the chloro analogue (42% de); however marginal reversal was detected for the bromo analogue 14f (46% de). We also examined the case of 2-halo-3-phenylpropanoic acid and 2-halophenylacetic acid as coupling partners in this deracemization study. In the case of 2-chloro-3-phenylpropanoic acid the diastereomeric 14g was isolated with moderate selectivity (43%, de), while for its bromo derivative, 14h, a small drop in selectivity was seen (38% de). In the case of 2-chlorophenylacetic acid, the selectivity of 14k was observed to be much reduced (34% de), while for its bromo derivative 14j, it was much improved (65% de). In the two sets of examples with bulkier substituents, isopropyl and phenyl, the trend was similar. The lower selectivity in the case of (S,S)-5 was also observed in the example with 2-bromophenylacetic acid, as the product 14i was isolated in poor selectivity (8% de). In some of the examples (14a, 14b and 14j) we could observe a considerable enrichment of optical purity (98–99 de) on the recrystallization, which is an expected phenomenon.10d The structures of all the α-halo esters prepared in this study are presented in Chart 1.

Absolute configuration at the enriched chiral carbon of few of the optically pure α-halo esters, 14a, 14b and 14j, was established by their single crystal diffraction analysis, and a representative example is presented in Fig. 5 (see the ESI† for details of other crystals).

Thus with these examples we have demonstrated the ability of the present chiral derivative of trans-2-naphthyloxycyclohexanol as an efficient auxiliary to introduce chirality into the α-halo acids by a suitable base mediated DTR procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Chiral auxiliary</th>
<th>R in α-halo acid (10/13)</th>
<th>X in α-halo acid (10/13)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>% de a [14]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(S,S)-5a</td>
<td>Me Cl</td>
<td>&gt;99 [R,R,R]-11</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>68 [(S,S,S)-14a]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(R,R)-3a</td>
<td>Et Cl</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>50 [(R,R)-14c]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(R,R)-3a</td>
<td>Et Br</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>40 [(R,R)-14d]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(R,R)-3a</td>
<td>i-Pr Cl</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>42 [(R,R)-14e]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(R,R)-3a</td>
<td>i-Pr Br</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>46 [(R,R)-14f]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(R,R)-3a</td>
<td>Bn Cl</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>43 [(R,R)-14g]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(R,R)-3a</td>
<td>Bn Br</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>38 [(R,R)-14h]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(S,S)-5a</td>
<td>Ph Br</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>8 [(R,R)-14i]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(R,R)-3a</td>
<td>Ph Br</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>65 [(R,R,R)-14j]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(R,R)-3a</td>
<td>Ph Cl</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>34 [(R,R)-14k]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a DMAP (20.0 mol%), DCC (1.0 eq.), reaction time was 12 h. b Determined by CSP-HPLC. c Absolute configuration was not established.

Conclusion

We have reported the preparation of two derivatives of chiral 2-aryloxycyclohexanol, trans-2-(naphthalen-2-yloxy)cyclohexanol 3 and trans-2-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)cyclohexanol 5, and their isomers were separated by enzyme mediated kinetic resolution. The absolute configuration was confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of their esters prepared by coupling with chiral acids of known optical description. The optically pure alcohols were used as chiral auxiliaries for coupling with racemic α-halo acids, where an efficient deracemization resulted in the formation of the product almost as a single diastereomer. The study of different reaction parameters and the relative energies of the two possible diastereomers indicates towards the dynamic thermodynamic resolution to
be the possible mode of deracemization. The acid mediated cleavage of the diastereomeric ester product affords both the components in optically pure form, hence this could be a useful method to access chiral α-halo acids of considerable importance.

**Experimental section**

**General information**

Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals Limited, SD Fine, Sisco, Qualigens, Avra Chemicals Limited *etc.* All solvents that were used were stored on oven dried molecular sieves (4 Å). All commercial products were used without further purification. Thin layer chromatography was performed on Merck 60 F254 aluminium coated plates. The spots were visualized under UV light or with iodine vapour. All the compounds were purified by column chromatography using SRL silica gel (60–120 mesh). All reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere (nitrogen) unless other conditions are specified. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra are recorded on a 400 MHz Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer (100 MHz for $^{13}$C) with CDCl$_3$ as the solvent and TMS as the internal standard. Signal multiplicity is denoted as singlet (s), doublet (d), doublet of doublet of doublets (ddd), triplet (t), doublet of triplets (dt), quartet (q) and multiplet (m). NMR spectra of 14a–k were recorded after passing the mixture through a short column of silica gel. For the $^{13}$C NMR spectra of 14c–14i and
14k only peaks corresponding to a major diastereomer have been considered. Mass spectra were recorded on a ThermoFischer DSQ II GCMS instrument. IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer FTIR RXI spectrometer and a Bruker Alpha as KBr pellets or neat in the case of liquids, while the X-ray data collection was carried out on an Xcalibur, Eos, Gemini diffractometer. Specific optical rotations were recorded on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter. Computational studies were performed with Gaussian 16 Revision A.03 using the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) basis set.

Synthesis of (+)-trans-2-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)cyclohexan-1-ol (3)

Into a solution containing potassium hydroxide (1.68 g, 30 mmol) in water (16 mL) was added α-naphthol (1.44 g, 10 mmol) and heated at 100 °C. To this solution was added cyclohexene oxide (1.0 g, 10 mmol) in 15 min. A heavy precipitate was observed within 10 mmol) in water (16 mL) was added. After an additional 40 min, the mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, filtered and precipitates were recrystallized from toluene to afford a white solid.162 (2.21 g, 90%).

M.p. 143–145 °C.

1H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ ppm 7.79–7.73 (m, 3H), 7.48–7.43 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.32 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (m, 1H), 7.21–7.18 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.19–4.17 (m, 1H), 3.84–3.78 (m, 1H), 2.68 (bs, 1H, −OH), 2.29–2.24 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.53 (m, 4H), 1.50–1.38 (m, 4H). 13C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ ppm 155.6, 134.5, 129.6, 129.2, 127.6, 126.8, 126.4, 123.9, 119.6, 109.3, 82.2, 73.5, 32.1, 29.0, 24.0, 23.9.

IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3458, 3004, 1629, 1468, 1391, 1235, 1181, 838, 709.

Mass (ESI): m/z 242.2 [M⁺][100%], 114.

Synthesis of (+)-trans-2-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)cyclohexan-1-ol (5)

Into a solution containing potassium hydroxide (0.56 g, 10 mmol) in water (16 mL) was added α-naphthol (1.44 g, 10 mmol) and heated at 100 °C. To this solution was added cyclohexene oxide (1.5 g, 15 mmol) over 15 min. The reaction mixture was then allowed to reflux for 12 h and then allowed to cool. The mixture was then extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 25 mL) and then washed with water (2 × 25 mL). The combined extract was dried over anh. Na₂SO₄, concentrated under vacuum and subjected to column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether 3 : 7) resulting in a yellowish solid (5):162 (1.42 g, 84%).

M.p. 63–65 °C.

1H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ ppm 8.35–8.33 (m, 1H), 7.86–7.85 (m, 1H), 7.56–7.50 (m, 3H), 7.44–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.00–6.99 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.32–4.28 (m, 1H), 3.98–3.93 (m, 1H), 2.80 (bs, 1H, −OH), 2.29–2.19 (m, 2H), 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.57–1.37 (m, 4H).

13C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ ppm 153.3, 134.8, 127.7, 126.6, 125.4, 125.3, 122.0, 120.7, 107.3, 82.4, 73.4, 32.1, 29.1, 24.0, 23.9.

IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3410, 2929, 1575, 1460, 1269, 1099, 1076, 763.


General procedure for enzymatic resolution of alcohol

To a solution of racemic alcohol (3) (0.3 g, 1.24 mmol) in ethyl acetate, lipase (0.9 g, 3 eq. w/w, steapsin lipase) and vinyl acetate (1.14 mL, 12.4 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 9 days at room temperature. The reaction material was filtered through a Celite bed and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. The crude mixture was then separated by column chromatography over silica gel using ethyl acetate and petroleum ether as the eluent. The crude alcohol (4) was eluted with 10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (0.17 g, 48%).


HPLC conditions: Chiralcel OD-H column, 10% isopropyl alcohol–hexane, UV = 254 nm, flow rate = 0.5 mL min⁻¹. Rᵣ = 8.9 min, major peak (R,R-isomer), 9.7 min (S,S-isomer).

Alcohol (S,S-3) was eluted with 20% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether. (0.15 g, 49%). ee = >99%. [α]D² = +39.4 (c = 1.0 in CHCl₃).

1H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ ppm 7.80–7.75 (m, 3H), 7.48–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.35 (m, 1H), 7.33–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.20–7.18 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.12–5.07 (m, 1H, −CH=O-Ac), 4.45–4.40 (ddd, J = 12.4, 8.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H, −CH=O-Ar), 2.27–2.24 (m, 1H), 2.15–2.11 (m, 1H), 1.96 (s, 3H, −CO-CH₃), 1.86–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.61 (m, 1H), 1.58–1.43 (m, 3H).

13C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ ppm 170.6, 156.0, 134.5, 129.4, 129.1, 127.6, 126.7, 126.3, 123.7, 119.6, 109.1, 77.6, 74.1, 29.8, 29.6, 23.2, 23.1, 21.2.

IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 2943, 1734, 1625, 1506, 1246, 1033, 835. Mass (ESI): m/z 285.1 [M + 1]⁺, 284.1 [M⁺][100%], 81.
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General procedure for hydrolysis of acetate
To a solution of chiral acetate (4) (0.1 g, 0.35 mmol) in methanol, conc. HCl (0.05 mL, 0.53 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was then refluxed for 3 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum and subjected to column chromatography over silica gel using ethyl acetate and petroleum ether to afford chiral alcohol (R,R)-3 as a white solid. (0.081 g, 95%). ee = >99%. [α]D25 = (−66.7) (c = 1.0 in CHCl3).
HPLC conditions: ChiralCel OD-H column, 10% isopropyl alcohol–hexane, UV = 254 nm, flow rate = 1 mL min⁻¹. Rt = 10.9 min, major peak (R,R-isomer), 16.7 min (S,S-isomer).
Hydrolysis of acetate (7)
The chiral alcohol (5) was obtained by following the same procedure as that for alcohol (3) from the chiral acetate (7). The crude mixture was separated by column chromatography over silica gel using ethyl acetate and petroleum ether as the eluent resulting in chiral alcohol (R,R)-5 as a low melting yellow solid. (0.078 g, 93%). ee = 98.5%.
HPLC conditions: ChiralCel OD-H column, 25% isopropyl alcohol–hexane, UV = 254 nm, flow rate = 1 mL min⁻¹. Rt = 8.6 min (S,S-isomer), 10.7 min, major peak (R,R-isomer).

Determination of absolute configuration: synthesis of (1R,2R)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)oxy)cyclohexyl(−)-2-acetoxy-2-phenylacetate (R,R)-8
Alcohol (R,R)-3 (0.1 g, 4.1 mmol), DCC (0.084 g, 4.1 mmol) and DMAP (0.010 g, 0.8 mmol) were dissolved in CH2Cl2 (10 mL) in a two-necked flask under a nitrogen atmosphere and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of (R)- O-acyl mandelic acid (0.079 g, 4.1 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL) was then added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a Celite bed, washed with dichloromethane and purified by column chromatography over silica gel (10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) affording a white solid (R,R,R-8) (0.16 g, 94%).
M.p. 95–97 °C.
[α]D25 = −73.8 (c = 1, CHCl3).
H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): δ ppm 7.79–7.77 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.71–7.65 (m, 2H), 7.47–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.13–7.05 (m, 4H), 6.90–6.87 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (s, 1H, CαH), 5.11–5.10 (m, 1H, −CH(O)-), 4.32–4.30 (m, 1H, −CHOAr), 2.21–2.18 (m, 1H), 2.14–2.10 (m, 1H, s of −COCH3 merged), 1.80–1.79 (m, 2H), 1.69–1.40 (m, 4H).
13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): δ ppm 170.4, 168.1, 155.5, 134.4, 133.4, 129.3, 129.0, 128.9, 128.5, 127.6, 127.4, 126.8, 126.2, 123.6, 119.5, 108.3, 75.3, 74.7, 29.6, 29.3, 23.0, 22.9, 20.7. IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 2935, 1749, 1737, 1627, 1209, 1174, 1045, 835.
Mass (ESI): m/z 441.2 [M + Na]+ (100%), 359.1, 301.1.

Synthesis of (1R,2R)-2-(naphthalen-1-yl)oxy)cyclohexyl(−)-2-acetoxy-2-phenylacetate (R,R)-9
The title compound was obtained by the same procedure as that for ester (R,R)-8 from alcohol (R,R)-5. The mixture was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using 10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as a white solid (R,R)-9 (0.15 g, 91%).
M.p. 113–115 °C.
[α]D25 = −135.0 (c = 1, CHCl3).
1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): δ ppm 7.97–7.94 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.69–7.67 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.36 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.27 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.16 (m, 1H), 7.14–7.12 (m, 2H), 6.85–6.81 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.72–6.69 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.61–6.59 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (s, 1H, CαH), 5.20–5.14 (dd, J = 12.4, 8.0, 4.4 Hz, 1H, −CH(O)−), 4.27–4.21 (dt, J = 8.8, 4.0, 1H, −CHOAr), 2.12–2.07 (m, 1H), 1.73–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.56–1.52 (m, 1H), 1.46–1.38 (m, 2H), 1.33–1.27 (m, 1H).
13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): δ ppm 170.4, 168.4, 152.9, 134.6, 133.2, 128.6, 127.2, 127.1, 126.3, 126.1, 125.6, 125.1, 122.4, 120.0, 105.1, 76.8, 74.6, 74.5, 29.5, 28.8, 23.0, 22.8, 20.7. IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 2975, 1751, 1739, 1570, 1458, 1371, 1269, 1232, 1059, 794.
Mass (ESI): m/z 442.2 [M + Na]+ (100%), 343.3, 359.2.

Deracemization of α-halo acid: synthesis of (1R,2R)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)oxy)cyclohexyl(−)-2-chloropropanoate (R,R,S)-11
Alcohol (R,R)-3 (0.1 g, 0.4 mmol), DCC (0.13 g, 0.61 mmol) and DMAP (0.011 g, 0.082 mmol) were placed in a two neck round bottom flask under an N2 atmosphere, dissolved in 5 mL of CH2Cl2 and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of 2-chloropropanoic acid (0.04 mL, 0.4 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (2 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a Celite bed and washed with CH2Cl2 and purified by column chromatography over silica gel by eluting with 5% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether. (0.14 g, 99%).
M.p. 93–95 °C.
[α]D25 = +10.6 (c = 1, CHCl3).
Synthesis of (1S,2S)-(1-naphthalen-1-yl oxy)cyclohexyl (S)-2-chloropropanoate (S,S,S)-14a

The title compound was obtained by following the same procedure as that for \((R,S,S)-11\) from alcohol \((S,S)-5\) and 2-chloropropanoic acid. The mixture was filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude mixture was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel with 10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether resulting in the formation of a white solid (0.13 g, 98%).

Mass (ESI): \(m/z\) 331.18 [M + Na]+, 188.9, 144.2 (100%), 143.5, 114.9.

HRMS (ESI): calculated for \(C_{19}H_{21}ClO_3Na\) \([M + Na]^+\) 355.1071 found 355.1070.

HPLC conditions: Chiralpak IA column, 1.0% isopropyl alcohol–hexane, UV = 254 nm, flow = 0.5 mL min\(^{-1}\). \(R_t = 17.2\) min \((R,R,R)-11\) and \(R_t = 18.2\) min \((R,R,S)-11\).

Synthesis of (1R,2R)-(1-naphthalen-1-yl oxy)cyclohexyl (S)-2-bromopropanoate (R,R,S)-14b

The title compound was obtained by following the same procedure as that for \((R,R,S)-11\) from alcohol \((R,R)-3\) and 2-bromopropanoic acid. The mixture was filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude mixture was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel with 10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether resulting in the formation of a white solid. (0.15 g, 98%).

Mass (ESI): \(m/z\) 377 [M]+, 375, 234, 144 (100%), 114.

HRMS (ESI): calculated for \(C_{19}H_{21}BrO_3Na\) \([M + Na]^+\) 399.0566 found 399.0564.

HPLC conditions: Chiralpak IA column, 1.0% isopropyl alcohol–hexane, UV = 254 nm, flow = 0.5 mL min\(^{-1}\). \(R_t = 10.9\) min \((R,R,R)-14b\) and \(R_t = 11.4\) min \((R,R,S)-14b\).
Synthesis of (1R,2R)-2-(naphthalen-2-yloxy)cyclohexyl-2-bromobutanoate (14d)

The title compound was obtained by following the same procedure as that for (R,R,S)-11 from alcohol (R,R)-3 and 2-bromobutanoic acid. The mixture was filtered with 10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether resulting in a colorless oil. (0.16 g, 98%) de = 40%.

\[ \text{[ } H \text{ NMR (CDCl}_3\text{, } 400 \text{ MHz) diastereomeric mixture: } \delta \text{ ppm } 7.78-7.74 \text{ (m, } 3 \text{H}), 7.74-7.43 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 7.37-7.33 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 7.26-7.24 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 7.17-7.13 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 5.15-5.09 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}, -\text{CHOOC}, 4.49-4.45 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}, -\text{CHOAr}), 4.12-4.08 \text{ (t, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz, } 1 \text{H, } -\text{C}_2\text{H}_5 \text{ major diastereomer)}, 4.02-3.99 \text{ (t, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz, } 1 \text{H, } -\text{C}_2\text{H}_5 \text{ minor diastereomer)}, 2.29-2.25 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 2.17-2.13 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 2.0-1.80 \text{ (m, } 5 \text{H}), 1.80-1.71 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 1.66-1.60 \text{ (m, } 4 \text{H}), 1.58-1.48 \text{ (m, } 2 \text{H}), 1.46-1.27 \text{ (m, } 2 \text{H}), 0.92-0.90 \text{ (t, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz, } 3 \text{H, minor diastereomer)}, 0.87-0.83 \text{ (t, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz, } 3 \text{H, minor diastereomer)}. \]

HRMS (ESI) calcd for C_{21}H_{22}ClO_3Na [M + Na]^+ 383.1384 found 383.1384.

HPLC conditions: Chiralpak IC column, 0.8% isopropyl alcohol–hexane, UV = 254 nm, flow = 0.5 mL min\(^{-1}\). \( R_t = 12.4 \text{ min major isomer and } R_t = 13.1 \text{ min minor isomer.} \)

Synthesis of (1R,2R)-2-(naphthalen-2-yloxy)cyclohexyl-2-bromo-3-methylbutanoate (14f)

The title compound was obtained by following the same procedure as that for (R,R,S)-11 from alcohol (R,R)-3 and 2-bromomethylbutanoic acid. The mixture was filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude mixture was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel with 10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether resulting in a colorless oil. (0.16 g, 96%) de = 46%.

\[ \text{[ } H \text{ NMR (CDCl}_3\text{, } 400 \text{ MHz) diastereomeric mixture: } \delta \text{ ppm } 7.78-7.73 \text{ (m, } 3 \text{H}), 7.47-7.43 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 7.39-7.33 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 7.25-7.23 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 7.16-7.12 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 5.14-5.09 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}, -\text{CHOOC}, 4.49-4.44 \text{ (dd, } J = 12.4, 5.8, 4.4 \text{ Hz, } 1 \text{H, } -\text{CHOAr}), 3.97-3.9 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H, } \text{C}_2\text{H}_5), 2.38-2.25 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 2.18-2.09 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 1.85-1.80 \text{ (m, } 2 \text{H), 1.63-1.60 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 1.50-1.43 \text{ (m, } 3 \text{H), 1.02-1.00 \text{ (dd, } J = 6.4 \text{ Hz, } 3 \text{H, } -\text{CH}_3, \text{ major diastereomer)}, 0.93-0.91 \text{ (dd, } J = 6.4 \text{ Hz, } 3 \text{H, } -\text{CH}_3, \text{ minor diastereomer)}. \]

HRMS (ESI) calcd for C_{21}H_{22}BrO_3Na [M + Na]^+ 427.0879 found 427.0883.

HPLC conditions: Lux amylose column, 2.5% isopropyl alcohol–hexane, UV = 254 nm, flow rate = 0.5 mL min\(^{-1}\). \( R_t = 14.4 \text{ min (minor diastereomer), 16.0 min (major diastereomer).} \)

Synthesis of (1R,2R)-2-(naphthalen-2-yloxy)cyclohexyl-2-chloro-3-phenylpropanoate (14g)

The title compound was obtained by following the same procedure as that for (R,R,S)-11 from alcohol (R,R)-3 and 2-chloro-3-phenylpropanoic acid. The mixture was filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude mixture was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel with 10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether resulting in a colorless oil. (0.16 g, 94%) de = 43%.

\[ \text{[ } H \text{ NMR (CDCl}_3\text{, } 100 \text{ MHz) major diastereomer: } \delta \text{ ppm } 7.28-7.23 \text{ (m, } 3 \text{H}), 7.47-7.43 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 7.37-7.33 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 7.25-7.22 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H}), 7.16-7.10 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H), 5.09-5.06 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H, } -\text{CHOOC}, 4.49-4.45 \text{ (m, } 1 \text{H, } -\text{CHOAr}), 4.02-4.00 \text{ (dd, } J = 5.8 \text{ Hz, } 1 \text{H, major diastereomer)}, 2.30-2.19 \text{ (m, } 2 \text{H), 2.00-2.13 \text{ (m, } 2 \text{H), 1.85-1.80 \text{ (m, } 2 \text{H), 1.54-1.47 \text{ (m, } 3 \text{H), 0.94-0.92 \text{ (dd, } J = 6.8 \text{ Hz, } 3 \text{H, major diastereomer), 0.90-0.89 \text{ (dd, } J = 6.8 \text{ Hz, } 3 \text{H, minor diastereomer).} \]

1.81–1.80 (m, 1H), 1.75–1.73 (m, 1H), 1.65–1.62 (m, 1H), 1.47–1.42 (m, 3H), 1.30–1.27 (m, 2H).

$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) major diastereomer: δ ppm 168.7, 155.8, 135.9, 134.5, 129.5, 129.3, 128.5, 127.6, 127.2, 126.8, 123.8, 119.6, 109.1, 75.9, 57.7, 41.0, 29.4, 29.2, 22.9, 22.8. IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3059, 2748, 1741, 1628, 1460, 1253, 1215, 1145, 1026.


HPLC conditions: Chiralcel OD H column, 1.0% isopropyl alcohol–hexane, UV = 254 nm, flow = 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$. $R_t$ = 23.1 min major isomer and $R_t$ = 24.3 min minor isomer.

**Synthesis of (1R,2R)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)cy clohexyl-2-bromo-3-phenylpropanoic acid (14h)**

The title compound was obtained by following the same procedure as that for (R,R,S)-11 from alcohol (R,R)-3 and 2-bromo-3-phenylpropanoic acid. The mixture was filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude mixture was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel with 10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether resulting in a colorless oil. (0.17 g, 92%) $\alpha$ = 38%.

$\alpha^D_{25} = +7.0 (c$ = 1, CHCl$_3$).

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) diastereomeric mixture: δ ppm 7.78–7.70 (m, 3H), 7.48–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.32 (m, 1H), 7.27–7.11 (m, 6H), 7.06–7.01 (m, 1H), 5.13–5.04 (m, 1H, –CHOOC–), 4.48–4.47 (m, 1H, –CHOAr, major diastereomer), 4.46–4.44 (m, 1H, 1H, –CHOAr, minor diastereomer), 4.34–4.30 (dd, $J = 8.4, 7.2$ Hz, 1H, C$_6$H, major diastereomer), 4.24–4.20 (dd, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 1H, C$_6$H, minor diastereomer), 3.38–3.33 (dd, $J = 14.0, 8.4$ Hz, 1H, minor diastereomer), 3.26–3.20 (dd, $J = 14.0$, 8.4 Hz, 1H, major diastereomer), 3.16–3.09 (m, 1H), 2.27–2.23 (m, 1H), 2.22–2.13 (m, 1H), 2.03–2.00 (m, 1H), 1.82–1.80 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.46–1.42 (m, 3H), 1.30–1.26 (m, 6H).

$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) major diastereomer: δ ppm 168.9, 155.9, 136.7, 134.5, 129.5, 129.1, 128.6, 127.7, 126.8, 126.4, 123.8, 119.7, 108.9, 75.6, 45.8, 41.1, 29.3, 29.0, 22.9, 22.8. IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3058, 2939, 1739, 1628, 1462, 1388, 1255, 1174, 1050.


HPLC conditions: Chiralpak IC column, 1.0% isopropyl alcohol–hexane, UV = 254 nm, flow = 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$. $R_t$ = 14.2 min major isomer and $R_t$ = 14.9 min minor isomer.

**Synthesis of (1R,2R)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)cy clohexyl-2-bromo-3-phenylpinaacetate (14i)**

The title compound was obtained by following the same procedure as that for (R,R,S)-11 from alcohol (R,R)-3 and 2-bromo-3-phenylacetic acid. The mixture was filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude mixture was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel with 10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether resulting in a white solid. (0.18 g, 98%).

M.p. 80–82 °C. $\alpha$ = 65%.

$\alpha^D_{25} = -37.5 (c$ = 1, CHCl$_3$).

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) after crystallization: δ ppm 7.78–7.76 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.71–7.66 (m, 2H), 7.47–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.20–7.14 (m, 4H), 6.99–6.96 (m, 1H), 5.26 (s, 1H, –CHOOC–), 4.42–4.36 (m, 1H, –CHOAr), 2.20–2.18 (m, 2H), 1.81–1.80 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.51 (m, 2H), 1.49–1.37 (m, 2H).

$^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz): δ ppm 167.7, 155.7, 134.3, 129.3, 129.1, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5, 127.6, 126.8, 126.3, 123.7, 119.5, 108.9, 47.2, 29.5, 29.3, 23.0, 22.9.

IR (KBr) ν cm$^{-1}$: 3058, 2933, 1746, 1626, 1461, 1352, 1283, 1141, 1057.

MS: 461.1 [M + Na]$^+$, 413.2.

HRMS (ESI): calcd for C$_{25}$H$_{25}$BrO$_3$ (M + H) 439.0903 found 439.0901.

HPLC conditions: Chiralpak IA column, 1.0% isopropyl alcohol–hexane, UV = 254 nm, flow = 0.5 mL min$^{-1}$. $R_t$ = 18.7 min (R,R,R-14j) and $R_t$ = 19.8 min (R,R,S-14j).

**Synthesis of (1R,2R)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)cy clohexyl-2-chloro-3-phenylpinaacetate (14k)**

The title compound was obtained by following the same procedure as that for (R,R,S)-11 from alcohol (R,R)-3 and 2-chloro-3-phenylacetic acid. The mixture was filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude mixture was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel with 10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether resulting in a colorless oil. (0.16 g, 94%) $\alpha$ = 34%.
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[α]D 25 = +4.73 (c = 1, CHCl3).

1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) diastereomeric mixture: δ ppm 7.80–7.73 (2H), 7.70–7.66 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.43–7.32 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.16–7.09 (m, 2H), 5.29 (s, 1H, -C6H, major diastereomer), 5.23 (s, 1H, C6H, minor diastereomer), 5.23–5.09 (m, 1H, -CHOOC–), 4.47–4.41 (m, 1H, -CHOAr, minor diastereomer), 4.38–4.30 (m, 1H, -CHOAr, major diastereomer), 1.83–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.50 (m, 1H), 1.48–1.36 (m, 3H).

13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) major diastereomer: δ ppm 167.6, 155.7, 135.8, 134.4, 129.4, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 127.8, 127.6, 126.7, 126.3, 123.7, 119.5, 108.9, 76.0, 59.3, 29.4, 29.1, 23.0, 22.9, 22.8.

IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 3058, 2935, 1752, 1628, 1453, 1351, 1016, 840.


HPLC conditions: Chiralpak IC column, 1.5% isopropyl alcohol–hexane, UV = 254 nm, flow = 0.5 mL min⁻¹. R1 = 15.2 min major isomer and R2 = 17.2 min minor isomer.

General procedure for hydrolysis of esters: hydrolysis of (1R,2R)-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)oxy)cyclohexyl (S)-2-chloropropanoate (R,R,S)-11

Into a two neck round bottom flask, fitted with a guard tube and condenser, containing freshly prepared chiral ester (R,R,S)-11 (0.5 g, 1.5 mmol) in dioxane (8 mL), was added conc. HCl (0.3 mL) and heated at 50 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was then concentrated under vacuum and subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. The alcohol was eluted with 10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as a white solid (0.33 g, 93%). ee > 99%. The acid was eluted with 30% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as a colorless liquid (0.14 g, 91%). ee > 99% [α]D 25 = 13.9 (neat). 11
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