

# List of Figures

## Chapter 1

Fig 1.1: Production and income from tea during last ten financial years (2005-2015)

Fig 1.2: Flow chart of tea processing stages of different tea varieties

Fig 1.3: Open trough for withering

Fig 1.4: Enclosed trough in damper up- shutter down position

Fig 1.5: Enclosed trough in shutter up – damper down position

Fig 1.6: Schematic diagram of a conventional dryer

Fig 1.7: Sample preparation technique for analytical procedures

Fig 1.8: Liquor properties of tea

Fig 1.9: A general model of smart sensor

Fig 1.10: Simplified block diagram of smart sensor

Fig 1.11: Flow diagram of the proposed scheme

## Chapter 2

Fig 2.1: Block Diagram of the Process Parameters Monitoring System of Tea Factory

Fig 2.2: Thermocouple with the junctions

Fig 2.3: Thermocouple with stainless steel protection

Fig 2.4: LM 35 temperature sensor

Fig 2.5: HIH 4000 humidity sensor

Fig 2.6: Simplified view of PIC microcontroller

Fig 2.7: RS 485 in half duplex mode

Fig 2.8: Circuit diagram of PIC microcontroller development board

Fig 2.9: Thermocouple signal conditioning circuit.

Fig 2.10: Block diagram of Dryer temperature monitoring system

Fig 2.11: Error curve for the range of ambient temperature to 130<sup>0</sup>C with linear fitting

Fig 2.12: Block diagram of R/H and temperature monitoring system

Fig 2.13: Flowchart of the firmware embedded in the microcontroller

Fig 2.14: Screen shot of LabVIEW program

Fig 2.15: Screen shot of LabVIEW program

Fig 2.16: Screen shot of LabVIEW program

Fig 2.17: Format of the signature sent to sensor node from PC

Fig 2.18 (a): Format of the data sent to PC from sensor node

Fig 2.18 (b): Format of each byte shown in Fig 2.18 (a)

Fig 2.19: Screenshot of the Data Acquisition Software

Fig 2.20: Calibration setup of the temperature and RH measurement system

Fig 2.21: Calibration curve of RH

Fig 2.22: Deviation from standard value

Fig 2.23: Calibration setup of the Dryer temperature measurement system

Fig 2.24: Calibration curve of dryer temperature measurement sensor node

Fig 2.25: Experimental error curve for dryer temperature measurement sensor node

### **Chapter 3**

Fig 3.1: Layout of installation of the instrument in the factory

Fig 3.2: Variation of fermentation room parameters, Date: 02.08.2013

Fig 3.3: Variation of daily average RH of tea fermentation room (01/08/13 - 31/08/13)

Fig 3.4: Variation of daily average temperature of tea fermentation room (01/08/13 -  
31/08/13)

Fig 3.5: Variation of daily average dryer inlet temperature of tea factory (20/08/13 -  
05/09/13)

Fig 3.6: Variation of fermentation room parameters, Date: 09.04.2014

Fig 3.7: Variation of daily average RH of tea fermentation room (01/07/14 - 31/07/14)

Fig 3.8: Variation of daily average temperature of tea fermentation room (01/07/14 - 31/07/14)

Fig 3.9: Variation of daily average dryer inlet temperature of tea factory (01/07/14 - 31/07/14)

Fig 3.10: Variation of fermentation room parameters, Date: 06.10.2015

Fig 3.11: Variation of daily average RH of tea fermentation room (01/08/15 - 28/08/15)

Fig 3.12: Variation of daily average temperature of tea fermentation room (01/08/15 - 28/08/15)

Fig 3.13: Variation of daily average dryer inlet temperature of tea factory (01/08/15 - 28/08/15)

#### **Chapter 4**

Fig 4.1: 2-Dimensional score cluster plot of first two principal components

Fig 4.2: 3-Dimensional score cluster plot of first three principal components

Fig 4.3: Scatter plot of predicted OLR vs. actual OLR using MLR model

#### **Chapter 5**

Fig 5.1: A typical ANN model

Fig 5.2: Different activation function

Fig 5.3: Architecture of typical MLP

Fig 5.4: Optimum MLP Architecture

Fig 5.5: Screenshot of the simulation

Fig 5.6: Screenshot of the regression plot of training data

Fig 5.7: The optimum MLP architecture

Fig 5.8: Ten-fold cross validation method

Fig 5.9: Percentage of correlation vs. number of folds with the error bar for training

Fig 5.10: Percentage of correlation vs. number of folds with the error bar for validation

Fig 5.11: Scatter plot of predicted OLR vs actual OLR