

<b>Table 7.4</b>	Correlation coefficient matrix of hydrogeochemical variables	137
<b>Table 7.5</b>	Dominant Variables	138
<b>Table 8.1</b>	Command used in GIS preliminary analysis	144
<b>Table 8.2</b>	Thematic map weight and feature ranking	145

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 1.1</b>	Location map of the study area	4
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	Physiographic map showing various field traverses carried out in karanja-Murtizapur region	15
<b>Figure 3.2</b>	Morphometric analysis of Uma river basin	18
<b>Figure 3.3</b>	Hydro-geomorphological map of the study area.	26
<b>Figure 3.4</b>	Land system map of Uma river basin.	29
<b>Figure 3.5</b>	Legend for field Characters.	32
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	Geological map of the Uma river Basin	40
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	Detailed flow correlation diagram of various formations exposed in the study area.	41
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	Variation of transitional metal elements with altitude of Uma River Basin	48
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	Modal proportion of total phenocryst and various phases in phenocrystic assemblages from different chemical types of Uma River Basin	61
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	Range of compositional difference in plagioclase, clinopyroxene and olivine	64
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	Variation of Na <sub>2</sub> O Vs SiO <sub>2</sub> indicating the Tholeiitic nature of Basalt with fractuional trand	68
<b>Figure 5.4 (a)</b>	Variation of MgO Vs major element.	70
<b>Figure 5.4 (b)</b>	Variation of MgO Vs major element.	71
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	Variation of TiO <sub>2</sub> Vs MgO, P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , CaO/TiO <sub>2</sub> and K <sub>2</sub> O major element.	72
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	(Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> ) Vs MgO and Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> vs CaO and Alkali indes variation	74
<b>Figure 5.7</b>	Harker variation diagram.	75
<b>Figure 5.8</b>	Variation of P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> Vs major element.	76
<b>Figure 5.9</b>	Variation of major element Vs Zr	79
<b>Figure 5.10(a)</b>	Variation of Trace element Vs Zr.	81
<b>Figure 5.10(b)</b>	Variation of Trace element Vs Zr.	82

<b>Figure 5.11(a)</b>	Variation of $P_2O_5$ Vs Trace element.	83
<b>Figure 5.11(b)</b>	Variation of $P_2O_5$ Vs Trace element.	84
<b>Figure 5.12(a)</b>	Variation of MgO Vs Trace element.	86
<b>Figure 5.12(b)</b>	Variation of MgO Vs Trace element.	87
<b>Figure 5.12(c)</b>	Variation of MgO Vs rare earth element (REE).	88
<b>Figure 5.13</b>	Variation of Mg number ( $Mg^*$ ) Vs Sr/ MgO	90
<b>Figure 5.14</b>	Variation of Ba/Zr Vs Cu: Ni vs Cr and Ti/Yb vs Nd	91
<b>Figure 6.1</b>	Water sample location map	95
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	Water level fluctuation (June -December 2000)	100
<b>Figure 6.3</b>	Water level fluctuation (June -December 1999)	101
<b>Figure 6.4</b>	Pumping test well location	106
<b>Figure 7.1</b>	Special distribution of EC (Electrical conductivity)	116
	a) Pre-monsoon 1999	116
	b) Post - monsoon 1999	116
	c) Pre-monsoon 2000	117
	d) Post - monsoon 2000	117
<b>Figure 7.2</b>	Piper Trilinear Diagram	121
	a) Pre-monsoon 1999	121
	b) Post - monsoon 1999	122
	c) Pre-monsoon 2000	123
	d) Post - monsoon 2000	124
<b>Figure 7.3</b>	Rating water Samples to salinity and sodium hazard of Uma River Basin	131
<b>Figure 7.4</b>	Diagram for irrigation water based on conductivity and percent sodium.	134
<b>Figure 8.1</b>	Surface elevation map of Uma River Basin	143
<b>Figure 8.2</b>	Identification of groundwater recharge zones using remote sensing and GIS techniques	146