

## Contents

	Page No.
Synopsis	1
List of abbreviations	18
List of figures	19
List of tables	20
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction and Review of Literature</b>	
1.1 Discovery of proteasomal machinery	23
1.2 Proteasome regulates various cellular processes	23
1.3 Architecture of 26S proteasome	26
1.3.1 The 20S Core Particle	26
1.3.2 19S regulatory particle	28
1.3.2.1 The Proteasome Base sub-complex	31
1.3.2.1A ATPases in Base	31
1.3.2.1B Non-ATPase in base	33
1.3.2.2 Lid subcomplex	34
1.4 Proteasome degradation pathways	35
1.4.1 Ubiquitin dependent proteasomal degradation	36
1.4.2A Ub independent proteasomal degradation	37
1.4.2B Protein Processing	42
1.5 Degradation signals	45
1.6 Models of substrate unfolding and translocation by proteasome	47
1.7 Recent advancement in understanding 26S proteasomal degradation	48
<b>Rationale of the study</b>	
<b>Aims and objectives</b>	
<b>Chapter 2: Establishment of <i>in vitro</i> model system</b>	
<b>2.1 INTRODUCTION</b>	59
<b>2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	60
2.2.1 Expression and affinity purification of 26S and 20S proteasome	60
2.2.1A Yeast cell culture	63
2.2.1B Lysis	63
2.2.1C Affinity purification of proteasome:	63

2.2.2 Characterization of purified proteasome	64
2.2.2A Proteasomal activity assay	65
2.2.2B In-gel activity assay	65
2.2.2C SDS PAGE	67
2.2.2D Casein degradation	67
2.2.3 Expression and purification Mb	67
2.2.3A ApoMb preparation	69
2.2.4 Characterization of purified Mb	69
2.2.4A UV-visible spectrometry	69
2.2.4B Gel-filtration chromatography	70
2.2.5 Proteasomal degradation	70

## **2.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

2.3.1 Purification and characterization of proteasome	71
2.3.2 Purification and characterization of Mb	73
2.3.3 Degradation of apoMb by proteasome	75

## **2.5 SUMMARY**

# **Chapter 3: Substrate recognition is an essential step in proteasomal degradation**

<b>3.1 INTRODUCTION</b>	79
<b>3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	80
3.2.1 Proteasome and Mb interaction	80
3.2.2 Response of proteasomal ATPases upon substrate recognition	82
<b>3.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	
3.3.1 Proteasome and Mb interaction kinetics	83
3.3.2 Response of proteasome upon substrate recognition	84
<b>3.4 SUMMARY</b>	87

# **Chapter 4: Effect of 'trans-acting elements' on substrate half-life**

<b>4.1 INTRODUCTION</b>	90
<b>4.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	91

4.2.1 PEST fusion to the C-terminus of Mb	91
4.2.1A Insertion of PEST sequences in Mb	91
4.2.1B Expression and purification of PEST fused Mb	92
4.2.2 Fusion of probable ‘degron’ to C-terminus of Mb	94
4.2.2A Generating pRSTEV Vector	95
4.2.2B Cloning wtMb in pRSTEV	96
4.2.2C Cloning Mb p8 and p13 in pRSTEV	97
4.2.2D Cloning Mb ODC in pRSTEV	97
4.2.3 Expression and purification of wt and fusion proteins	99
4.2.4 Proteasome degradation assay	100
<b>4.3 RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	101
4.3.1 Cloning and purification of PEST sequence fused apoMb	101
4.3.2 Cloning and purification of ‘probable degrons’ fused apoMb	102
4.3.3 Effect of PEST or ‘probable degron’ on apoMb half-life	104
<b>4.4 SUMMARY</b>	105

## **Chapter 5: Importance of local secondary structure in apoMb degradation**

<b>5.1 INTRODUCTION</b>	107
<b>5.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	108
5.2.1 Prediction of helical propensity	108
5.2.2 Molecular dynamics simulation of wt and F-helix mutant	108
5.2.3 Mutagenesis, expression and purification	109
5.2.4 Secondary structure of wt and F-helix mutant	110
5.2.4A Determination of protein concentration	111
5.2.4B Cleaning quartz cuvette	111
5.2.4C Instrument setting and data collection	111
5.2.4D Data analysis	112
5.2.5 Global stability of wt and F-helix mutant	113
5.2.5A Tryptophan fluorescence	113
5.2.5B Thermal denaturation	113
5.2.5C Equilibrium unfolding	114
5.2.6 Limited proteolysis of wt and F-helix mutant	115

5.2.6A Tricin SDS PAGE	115
5.2.6B MALDI MS of cleaved products	116
5.2.7 Proteasomal degradation of wt and F-helix mutant	118
<b>5.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	
5.3.1 Strategy for F-helix stabilization	118
5.3.2 F-helix was stable during MD simulation	119
5.3.3 F-helix mutant Mb was more helical than wt	120
5.3.4 F-helix was stabilized in apo F-helix mutant	121
5.3.5 Overall stability of F-helix mutant was not affected	123
5.3.6 Exposure of F-helix was essential for apoMb degradation	124
<b>5.4 SUMMARY</b>	126
<b>Chapter 6: Identification of proteasome recognition element in apoMb</b>	
<b>6.1 INTRODUCTION</b>	129
<b>6.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	129
6.2.1 Screening of overlapping Mb peptides for proteasome binding	130
6.2.1A Designing overlapping peptides to pan entire Mb sequence	130
6.2.1B Peptide reconstitution	130
6.2.2 Peptide and apoMb competition for proteasome binding	131
6.2.3 Structure guided approach to identify proteasome interacting residues	132
6.2.3A Identification of probable proteasome interacting residues	132
6.2.3B Mutation, expression, purification and affinity with proteasome	132
<b>6.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	133
6.3.1 Designing peptides to pan entire Mb sequence	133
6.3.2 Screening peptides for 26S proteasome binding	135
6.3.3 ApoMb interacted with proteasome mainly through A-helix	135
6.3.4 Structure guided approach to identify proteasome interacting residues	137
<b>6.4 SUMMARY</b>	139

<b>Chapter 7: Structure function correlation of proteasomal degradation</b>	
<b>7.1 INTRODUCTION</b>	142
<b>7.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	142
7.2.1 Identification of buried residue in Mb helices	142
7.2.2 Mutagenesis, expression and purification	143
7.2.3 Secondary structure of Cys mutants of Mb	143
7.2.4 Tryptophan Fluorescence of Cys mutants of Mb	144
7.2.5 Thermal stability of Mb mutants	144
7.2.6 Affinity of Mb mutants with proteasome	144
7.2.7 Proteasomal degradation of Mb mutants	145
<b>7.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	145
7.3.1 Identification of buried residues in Mb	145
7.3.2 Effect of structure on proteasomal degradation	145
7.3.3 Effect of affinity of substrates for proteasomal degradation	149
<b>7.4 SUMMARY</b>	151
<b>Chapter 8: Conclusions</b>	154
<b>Significance of the study</b>	158
<b>Bibliography</b>	159
<b>Reprints of published articles</b>	165