APPENDIX

APPENDIX I : SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE : LIBRARIANS/ CONSORTIA MANAGERS

APPENDIX II : SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE : USERS
APPENDIX – I

E-journals Access and Management: Consortia Models for India

Questionnaire: Consortia Managers / Librarians

Some of the questions are statements. Please mark the choice that best indicates the extent of your agreement and disagreements as it describes your personal experience/opinion (Most -1 to Least 5 appropriately as specified in the example given below and respective questions):

1. Most preferred
2. Moderately preferred
3. Just preferred
4. Less preferred
5. Least preferred

1.0 General Library Profile

a) Name of the Institution and Address:

b) Year of Establishment:

c) Type of Institution:
   Academic/Research/Manufacturing Organization

d) Scope of the institution:
   University/College/ National Institute/ Institute of National Importance/ Any other, please specify ....................

d) Funding for the organization:
   Govt./Private/NGO/Others

e) Field of interest:
   Science/Health/Engineering/Agriculture/ Social Science/Humanities/Management/ Any other, please specify .....................

f) Head of the Library
   (Name & Designation):

  g) Email ID:

  h) Institution Website - URL:
1.1 Library Budget (Rupees in Lakhs) ........................

1.2 Library Collection please mention total collection of documents............

1.3 Library Services

Please mention the preferences of your services to the users (*Most -1 to Least - 5*)

- Reference Services (1 2 3 4 5)
- Lending (1 2 3 4 5)
- Inter-library loan (1 2 3 4 5)
- Reprography services (1 2 3 4 5)
- SDI services (1 2 3 4 5)
- CAS (1 2 3 4 5)
- On-demand literature search services (1 2 3 4 5)
- User education/ information literacy (1 2 3 4 5)
- Online access to e-journals (1 2 3 4 5)
- Online access to e-books (1 2 3 4 5)
- Online access to other e-resources (1 2 3 4 5)
- Bibliographic database search (1 2 3 4 5)

2.0 Preparedness for Providing Access to E-journals

2.1 IT Facilities

2.1.1 Please mention the availability following IT facility

   a) Operating System (*Campus Network*) :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINUX □</th>
<th>Unix □</th>
<th>WINDOWS □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any other please specify ..................................</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   b) Mail Server : Yes □ No □

c) Web Server : Yes □ No □

d) Internet proxy server : Yes □ No □

e) Availability of CD-ROM network : Yes □ No □

f) Availability of OPAC/ WebOPAC/ telnet : Yes □ No □
2.1.2 Do you think that the numbers of PCs available in your library are sufficient? Yes ☐ No ☐

If no, Please specify the ratio of PCs required.

- Library Staff: Computer
  - 1:1 ☐
  - 2:1 ☐
  - 3:1 ☐

- Users: Computer
  - 1:1 ☐
  - 2:1 ☐
  - 3:1 ☐

- Any other ratio, please specify ......................................................

2.1.3 Please mention the type of internet connection

- Dialup Connection ☐
- Leased Line ☐
- V-sat connection ☐
- Radio Frequency ☐

Any other please specify .................................................................

2.1.4 Please mention the Internet access Bandwidth for campus network?
(Please tick one of the BOXES)

- 14 kbps ☐
- 64 kbps ☐
- 128 kbps ☐
- 256 kbps ☐
- 512 kbps ☐
- 1 MBPS ☐
- 2 MBPS ☐
- More than 2MBPS ☐

2.2 Library Automation

2.2.1 Is the library housekeeping operations are computerized Yes ☐ No ☐

If yes, please specify whether library software

- a) Purchased Yes ☐ No ☐
- b) Developed in-house Yes ☐ No ☐
- c) Downloaded from freeware Yes ☐ No ☐

2.2.2 Please mention the name of integrated automation software used any one library...........

2.2.3 Please mention the modules implemented in library automation software and your preference in the context of digital library environment. (Most –1 to Least –5)
2.2.4 Please mark web-enabled information services made available to users:

a. Access to your Library OPAC
   Yes □ No □

b. Table of contents of journals
   Yes □ No □

c. News Clipping Service
   Yes □ No □

d. Gateway for giving access to e-journals
   Yes □ No □

e. ILL
   Yes □ No □

f. Documents recommendation for acquisition
   Yes □ No □

g. FAQs
   Yes □ No □

h. Acquisition Lists
   Yes □ No □

i. List of Current Journals/Holdings
   Yes □ No □

j. Links to Documents Selection tools like Publishers Catalogues/Online Book shops
   Yes □ No □

k. Any other, please specify ........................................ Yes □ No □

2.3 Library Resources

2.3.1 Please circle media of preferences for information resources
   (Most – 1 to Least – 5)

a) Books

   o Print (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Electronic (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Combination of both print and electronic (1 2 3 4 5)
b) E-Journal Usage
   o Print (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Electronic (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Combination of both print and electronic (1 2 3 4 5)

c) Back volumes of journals
   o Print (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Electronic (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Combination of print and electronic (1 2 3 4 5)

d) Technical Reports
   o Print (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Electronic (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Combination of print and electronic (1 2 3 4 5)

e) Patents
   o Print (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Electronic (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Combination of print and electronic (1 2 3 4 5)

f) Standards
   o Print (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Electronic (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Combination of print and electronic (1 2 3 4 5)

g) Any others, please specify ..................................... (1 2 3 4 5)

2.3.2 Adequacy of preferred e-resources (Most – 1 to Least - 5)

   o E-books (1 2 3 4 5)
   o E-journals (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Bibliographic databases (1 2 3 4 5)
   o E-Technical reports (1 2 3 4 5)
   o E-standards (1 2 3 4 5)
   o E-patents (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Any other, please specify ..................................... (1 2 3 4 5)

2.4 Training for Library Staff

Please mention the preference for training of your staff for meeting the challenges of changing technology (Most – 1 to Least – 5)

   o Internal training program (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Depute to the relevant institution/program (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Outsource the service to professionals organization/ companies? (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Consortia Host (1 2 3 4 5)
   o Publishers/Vendors/Aggregators (1 2 3 4 5)
3.0 E-journals

3.1 Please mention the following

- What proportion of journals in your library now are in electronic form?
  10-25%  25-50%  50-75%  More than 75%

- What percentage of your annual budget is being spent on e-journals?
  10-25%  25-50%  50-75%  More than 75%

3.2 Please specify your preferences about statements on e-journals (Most 1 to Least 5)

- E-journals are used more frequently than printed journals? (1 2 3 4 5)
- Stop subscription of print version of the journals against e-version? (1 2 3 4 5)
- E-journals should cost less than print version? (1 2 3 4 5)
- Print+ online is better for five more years? (1 2 3 4 5)
- Print+ online is better forever? (1 2 3 4 5)
- E-journals are going to be free online in future? (1 2 3 4 5)
- Back volumes of all the journals are going to free online? (1 2 3 4 5)
- Print version will be phased out? (1 2 3 4 5)
- E-journals will enhance access to scientific papers? (1 2 3 4 5)
- Maintaining back ups of e-journals is more convenient and easy? (1 2 3 4 5)

3.3 Please mention the preferences of e-journals pricing (Most 1 to Least 5)

- Fixed subscription rate (1 2 3 4 5)
- Full Time Equivalent users (1 2 3 4 5)
- Multi-year agreement (1 2 3 4 5)
- Site license (1 2 3 4 5)
- Big deals (Negotiation) (1 2 3 4 5)
- Bundling (1 2 3 4 5)
- Capped annual inflation (1 2 3 4 5)
- Print subscription with free online (1 2 3 4 5)
- Pay - per - view (1 2 3 4 5)
- Centrally funded from apex bodies (1 2 3 4 5)
• Based on number of Consortia members
• Archiving option (at host/all consortia members)
• Subscription to core titles - Rest pay-per-view
• Separate pricing for Current and Archive
• Virtual journals (all articles on narrow topics Published by one or more publishers)
• Combination of above-mentioned models, please specify

3.4 Please mention your preference of subscription services

Most -1 to Least - 5)

• Directly from publisher
• Subscription agency (e.g. EBSCO)
• Aggregator (e.g. JSTOR)
• Consortia purchase with other institutions
• Combination of above, please specify

3.5 Please mention the important publishers covering journals of your interest (Most -1 to Least - 5)

Commercial Publishers

• Elsevier (ScienceDirect)
• Wiley Interscience
• Springer-Verlag (+ Kluwer)
• Kluwer, etc
• Blackwell
• Taylor & Francis
• Nature Publishing Group
• Cambridge University Press
• MCB University Press
• Research Information Ltd.
• World Scientific Publishing
• MIT Press
• Oxford University Press
• Marcel Dekker
• Any other, please specify

• Based on number of Consortia members
• Archiving option (at host/all consortia members)
• Subscription to core titles - Rest pay-per-view
• Separate pricing for Current and Archive
• Virtual journals (all articles on narrow topics Published by one or more publishers)
• Combination of above-mentioned models, please specify
Professional Societies

- American Chemical Society
- American Ceramic Society
- American Oil Chemists Society
- American Geophysical Union
- American Inst. of Chem. Engineers
- American Mathematical Society
- American Society of Microbiology
- American Society for Mechanical Engineers
- American Society for Civil Engineers
- American Welding Society
- Optical Society of America
- Electrochemical Society
- Royal Society of Chemistry
- Institute Of Physics
- Geological Society of America
- IEEE/IEE
- Any other, please list

Open Archive and Free on Internet Publishers

- BioMed Central
- Public Library Of Science
- American Medical Association
- British Medical Journal
- Indian Academy of Sciences
- Medknow Publishers (Bombay)
- Any other, please specify

4.0 Consortia

4.1 Please mention your preference of main sources of knowledge about consortia (Most – 1 to Least – 5)

- Studying literature
- Attending lectures/conference
- Publishers/Aggregators/Vendors
- Consortia leaders in our field
- Professional associations
- Lis – Forum
- Any other, PI specify
4.2 Please mention your preference of main factors responsible for creating or participating in consortia (Most – 1 to Least – 5)

- Budget constraints (1 2 3 4 5)
- Apex body initiated (1 2 3 4 5)
- Access to more at less cost (1 2 3 4 5)
- Demand from users (1 2 3 4 5)
- Promotion of Publishers/Aggregator/Subscription Agency (1 2 3 4 5)
- Any other, please specify .................................................. (1 2 3 4 5)

4.3 Please mention who should take initiative for consortia formation.
(Most – 1 to Least – 5)

- Library manager (1 2 3 4 5)
- Institution management (1 2 3 4 5)
- Govt. agencies (1 2 3 4 5)
- Group of Libraries (1 2 3 4 5)
- Apex bodies like UGC, CSIR (1 2 3 4 5)
- Publishers/Aggregators/Vendors (1 2 3 4 5)
- Combination of above/Any other PI specify ......................... (1 2 3 4 5)

4.4 Please mention the preference of governance (Most – 1 to Least – 5)

- Centralized (1 2 3 4 5)
- Decentralized (1 2 3 4 5)
- Regional (1 2 3 4 5)
- Local (1 2 3 4 5)
- Independent body (1 2 3 4 5)

4.5 Please mention the preference of Governance structure of consortia 
(Most – 1 to Least – 5)

- Governing Board/ Council (Policy level) (1 2 3 4 5)
- Task Forces (For negotiation, quality control, training) (1 2 3 4 5)
- Interest groups (select members of consortia) (1 2 3 4 5)
- Coordinating committee (administration) (1 2 3 4 5)
- Any other, please specify .................................................. (1 2 3 4 5)

4.6 Please mention preferred number of Committee member for coordinating agency (Most – 1 to Least – 5)

- Fixed minimum number on rotation from participating members (1 2 3 4 5)
- All heads of the libraries of participating institutions
irrespective of number (1 2 3 4 5)
• Both head of library and institution irrespective of numbers (1 2 3 4 5)
• Any other suggestion, please specify (1 2 3 4 5)

4.7 Please mention the preference of management support in various consortia activities (Most –1 to Least –5)

• Funding (1 2 3 4 5)
• Administration of consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Acquiring required technical knowledge (1 2 3 4 5)
• Providing users services (1 2 3 4 5)
• Providing information literacy to users (1 2 3 4 5)

4.8 Please mention the preference of membership in coordinating body of Consortia (Most –1 to Least –5)

• Head of participating library (1 2 3 4 5)
• Head of participating institution (1 2 3 4 5)
• Both head of library and institution of consortia members (1 2 3 4 5)
• One expert each from various subject areas covered in consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Combination of above, PI specify (1 2 3 4 5)

4.9 Please mention your preference as to who should execute the authority on behalf of consortia (Most –1 to Least –5)

• All members of consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Representative of consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Some body from Head quarters (1 2 3 4 5)
• Independent body (1 2 3 4 5)
• Any other PI specify (1 2 3 4 5)

4.10 Please mention the preference of the staffing the consortia management and operation (Most –1 to Least –5)

• Exclusive staffing at Consortia host (1 2 3 4 5)
• Distributed staffing with distributed member responsibilities (1 2 3 4 5)
• Adhoc/Project staff (1 2 3 4 5)
• Partly central and distributed (1 2 3 4 5)
• Existing Library professional for Information service (1 2 3 4 5)
• Computer professionals for maintenance of IT infrastructure (1 2 3 4 5)
• AMC for IT infrastructure (1 2 3 4 5)
• Combination of above, please specify (1 2 3 4 5)
4.11 Please mention preference of the role you want in the consortia?
(Most –1 to Least –5)
• Leader/hosting of the consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Participating member of existing consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Want to initiate new consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• One/more roles like training of staff, infrastructure maintenance, information literacy programmes, maintaining accounts/fund management (1 2 3 4 5)
• Various role mentioned above be distributed only among core group or big libraries (1 2 3 4 5)
• Role should assigned keeping the ability of members (1 2 3 4 5)
• Any other, please specify ........................................... (1 2 3 4 5)

4.12 Please mention your preference for funding of consortia
(Most –1 to Least –5)
• Part of Library budget (1 2 3 4 5)
• Departmental sharing (1 2 3 4 5)
• Project based (1 2 3 4 5)
• Apex organization (like CSIR, DST, ICAR) (1 2 3 4 5)
• Special budget from Govt. (1 2 3 4 5)
• Multi-government (like State and Central) (1 2 3 4 5)
• External funds (1 2 3 4 5)
• Contributory basis/Consortia membership (1 2 3 4 5)
• Self finance through service charges (1 2 3 4 5)
• Hybrid (shared by host organization and beneficiary organization) (1 2 3 4 5)
• Combination of above options, please specify ........................................... (1 2 3 4 5) ........................................... (1 2 3 4 5)

4.13 Please mention the preference of Payment procedure
(Most –1 to Least –5)
• Single point payment (1 2 3 4 5)
• Distributed invoicing (1 2 3 4 5)
• Any other, please specify ........................................... (1 2 3 4 5) ........................................... (1 2 3 4 5)

4.14 Please mention the preference of fund management of consortia by
• A full time accountant internally by the host organization (1 2 3 4 5)
• A full time accountant of funding apex body (1 2 3 4 5)
• An external accountant / accounting agency (1 2 3 4 5)
• In-built accounting software for consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Any other, please specify ........................................... (1 2 3 4 5)
4.15 Please mention the preferred access mechanism *(Most –1 to Least –5)*

- IP enabled (1 2 3 4 5)
- User Login/Password driven (1 2 3 4 5)
- Combination of above, please specify ........................................ (1 2 3 4 5)

4.16 Search Method preferred by your users *(Most –1 to Least –5)*

- Browsing through multiple search engine/ multiple sites (1 2 3 4 5)
- Browsing journals alphabetically by title (1 2 3 4 5)
- Browsing journals by subject category (1 2 3 4 5)
- Searching the articles of interest using key words, authors, etc (1 2 3 4 5)
- Users prefer integrated search engine for their search (1 2 3 4 5)
- Any other, please specify ........................................ (1 2 3 4 5)

4.17 Please mention your preference of Web based service delivery
Common user interface for *(Most –1 to Least –5)*

- Portal Development (1 2 3 4 5)
- Full text and bibliographic access (1 2 3 4 5)
- OPAC access (1 2 3 4 5)
- Reference Service (1 2 3 4 5)
- Virtual Union Catalogue (1 2 3 4 5)
- Announcements and offers (1 2 3 4 5)
- Any other, Please specify ........................................ (1 2 3 4 5)

4.18 Please mention your preference of additional advantages that can be derived from consortia *(Most –1 to Least –5)*

- Resource sharing (1 2 3 4 5)
- Union catalogue and patron (1 2 3 4 5)
- E-resources management (1 2 3 4 5)
- Core collection creation (1 2 3 4 5)
- Digital library development (1 2 3 4 5)
4.19 Please mention preference of the Usefulness/values of consortia

(Most-1 to Least-5)

- Preservation and management  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Changing library culture with IT  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Encourages information seeking behavior  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Attract the Publishers/content providers  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Helps to follow Legal rights  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Change in authors behaviors  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Catalytic role for Open Access Initiatives  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Any other please specify ........................................  (1 2 3 4 5)

4.20 Please mention your preference of contributing factors success of consortia (Most-1 to Least-5)

- Well planned administratively consortia  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Well planned implementation of consortia  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Good understanding among consortia members  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Developed as a system irrespective of understandings  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Adequate funding  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Well trained/motivated library staff  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Well written MOU and its implementation  (1 2 3 4 5)
- Consistency of the publishers offer  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Updating the changing needs of the technology (1 2 3 4 5)
• Leadership of the consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Cohesiveness of the consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Subject coverage of the consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Libraries chosen for the consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Coverage and selection of the publishers (1 2 3 4 5)
• Better go with the open consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Better to have close group consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Value addition for consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Information literacy/familiarization of for users (1 2 3 4 5)
• Time to time training of the operation staff (1 2 3 4 5)
• Incentive given to the staff (1 2 3 4 5)
• Users satisfaction (1 2 3 4 5)
• Search engine, ease of access and use of resources (1 2 3 4 5)
• IT infrastructure and Internet bandwidth (1 2 3 4 5)
• Any other, please specify ..................................
(1 2 3 4 5)

4.21 Please mention the preferences of training library staff from:
(Most −1 to Least −5)
• Consortia coordinating agency (1 2 3 4 5)
• Publishers concerned (1 2 3 4 5)
• Aggregators concerned (1 2 3 4 5)
• Subscription agents (1 2 3 4 5)
• Training committee of consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Experts from other consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Any other, please specify ................................. (1 2 3 4 5)

4.22 Please mention the Preference of trainer for users education or
information literacy in consortia (Most −1 to Least −5)
• Library staff (1 2 3 4 5)
• Publishers (1 2 3 4 5)
• IT department (1 2 3 4 5)
• Exclusive trained faculty (1 2 3 4 5)
• Academicians of the institution (1 2 3 4 5)
• Combination of above, please specify ..................... (1 2 3 4 5)
4.23 Please mention preferences of Technology Issues (Most –1 to Least – 5)

- User Interface
- Authentication and Security
- Shared infrastructure
- Shared systems
- Create Digital Libraries
- Linking Technologies

4.24 Please mention the preference for Archiving e-journals for perpetual access (Most –1 to Least – 5)

- Databases producer/provider
- Publishers
- Aggregators
- Consortia coordinators
- At respective participating centers of consortia
- Outsourcing / third party archiving
- Any other please specify

4.25 Please mention your opinion in the order of your preference the repercussion on library budget (Most –1 to Least – 5)

- Results shortage of regular library budget
- Hampers other documents collection development
- Enhances the library buying capacity due low cost approach
- Any other, please specify

4.26 According to you, to what extent the following approach facilitates for providing access to maximum resources? (Most –1 to Least – 5)

- Becoming members of one consortia covering area of interest
- Be member of one consortia and subscribe rest titles internally
- Need to become member of more than one consortia
• Join more than one Consortia and subscribe rest titles internally (1 2 3 4 5)
• Become member of a consortia by subject group (1 2 3 4 5)
• Become members of consortia publishers wise (1 2 3 4 5)
• Select relevant titles of publishers of interest and subscribe (1 2 3 4 5)
• In consortia, to achieve adequate access, duplication is inevitable (1 2 3 4 5)
• Combination of above/any other, please specify ...................... (1 2 3 4 5)

4.27 Please mention the preference of Consortia Models (Most –1 to Least – 5)

• Centralized consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Decentralized consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Consortia of Homogeneity of library (1 2 3 4 5)
  o By administration library (1 2 3 4 5)
  o By subject (1 2 3 4 5)
  o By type of library (like academic, research) (1 2 3 4 5)
  o Any other, please specify ........................................ (1 2 3 4 5)
• Consortia of Heterogeneous library (1 2 3 4 5)
• International (1 2 3 4 5)
• National (1 2 3 4 5)
• Multi-state (1 2 3 4 5)
• Single state (1 2 3 4 5)
• Regional (1 2 3 4 5)
• City or local (1 2 3 4 5)

4.28 Please mention the preference of Licensing Issues for Consortia (Most –1 to Least – 5)

• National Site Licensing (1 2 3 4 5)
• Open Consortia (1 2 3 4 5)
• Aggregator license (1 2 3 4 5)
• Walk-in-User’s Rights (1 2 3 4 5)
• Participants having MOU (1 2 3 4 5)
• Sharing subscription license with other libraries (1 2 3 4 5)
• Any other suggestion, please specify ........................................ (1 2 3 4 5)

4.29 To what extent you agree that consortia is commercially comparable to following (as a single library move) (Most –1 to Least – 5)

• Negotiating directly with publisher is possible at Consortia rate (1 2 3 4 5)
• Negotiating with aggregator for bundled titles is more economic (1 2 3 4 5)
• Subscribe to embargo journals  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Encourage Open Access Journals  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Entering to access Fee mode  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Page-per-view for selected journals  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Any other, please specify  ............................................. (1 2 3 4 5)

4.30 To what extent the following constraints/factors affect Consortia
   (Most –1 to Least –5)
• Consistency in funding varies from institutions to institutions  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Lack of adequacy of the staff in members library  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Lack of expertise of library staff  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Archiving problems and it is costly affair  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Licensing meta-search engine is costly for multiple
database access  (1 2 3 4 5)
• User preference of printed journals  (1 2 3 4 5)
• More members more complicated purchase and implementation  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Geographic distributions  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Different language, cultures  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Diversity of content  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Collaboration not always a shared cultural values  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Heterogeneity of interest  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Multi-disciplinary constraints  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Lack of consistency among publishers and vendors  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Lack of understanding between vendors and Professional society publishers  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Lack of good single gateway for accessing journals  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Gateways like INGENTA, J-Gate solve access problems  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Bibliographic databases fail to give access to all required titles  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Any other, please specify  ............................................. (1 2 3 4 5)

4.31 Please mention the preference of forums to discuss related matters?
• National Library Associations (ILA, IASLIC, etc)  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Apex bodies like UGC, CSIR  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Members/Representatives of all the consortia members  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Librarians of all the consortia members  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Heads of all the institution of consortia  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Members organization  (1 2 3 4 5)
• Needs multiple forums at different level (1 2 3 4 5)
• Any other, please specify ............................................................ (1 2 3 4 5)

5.0 Any Comments/Suggestions/Experiences (Pl. add a sheet if required)

Please return the filled in questionnaire to:

Mr. I.R.N. Goudar
Head, Information Centre for Aerospace Science and Technology
National Aerospace Laboratories
Airport Road
BANGALORE – 560017

E-mail: goudar@css.nal.res.in Fax: 080-25268072