CHAPTER 6

Development and Validation of Stability Indicating GC-FID Method for Determination of Process-Related Impurities in Memantine Hydrochloride, an Alzheimer Drug
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6.1 Introduction

Memantine hydrochloride chemically known as 3,5-dimethyladamantan-1-amine hydrochloride has molecular formula of $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}\text{.HCl}$ with relative molecular mass of 215.8 (Figure-6.1).

![Figure-6.1. Structure of Memantine hydrochloride](image)

Memantine hydrochloride, an amantadine derivative, used for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease to decreasing abnormal activity in the brain. Memantine helps people with Alzheimer's disease to think more clearly and perform daily activities more easily. It marketed under the brand name of Namenda® and is chemically known as 1-amino-3,5-dimethyladamantane hydrochloride.$^{1-3}$ Memantine and its process-related impurities viz., Imp-A, Imp-B, and Imp-C (Figure-6.2) are small molecules insensitive to UV due to lack of ultraviolet chromophores and hence the determination of related substances and memantine in drug substances and drug product was a critical activity during drug testing. Several analytical methods have been reported for the determination of memantine on high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) in combination with mass spectrometry and ultraviolet detection or fluorescence detection usually after derivatization with a suitable chromophores or fluorophore.$^{4-11}$ Most of these reported LC-MS methods require tedious
extraction procedures, which are time-consuming, complex and expensive.\textsuperscript{4-5} However, some of HPLC methods are intended for the determination of memantine in biological matrices requiring multiple sample preparation, derivatization and thus are not suitable for routine testing in quality control laboratory.\textsuperscript{6-9} The one more drawback of fluorescent derivatization method is that the fluorescence detectors are not as common as UV-Visible detector in the analytical laboratory. A GC/MS method has also been reported for determination of memantine in plasma and which is also not convenient for routine analytical testing.\textsuperscript{10-11} In addition, Michail et al.\textsuperscript{12} recently reports the photometric methods for determination of memantine by derivatization with NBD-Cl (4-chloro-7-nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazole ) or OPA/NAC (Ortho-Phthalaldehyde/ N-acetyl-L-cysteine) reagents in alkaline media. Thus we felt a need of a simple, suitable, accurate and stability indicating method for the quantification of memantine and its impurities in bulk and formulation samples. As far as we are aware there is no stability-indicating GC-FID method available for the determination of memantine and its impurities and thus we aimed to develop the same in our research work.

Development of “analytical method” for a pharmaceutical product during process research and development in pharmaceutical companies is a critical activity for analytical chemists especially engaged in the generic product due to varied impurities in the API as scheme selected are very specific for its preparation during its life cycle. Understanding of the impurity profile, their structure, and their physical and chemical properties and the study of degradation pathway\textsuperscript{13-14} of API is very important to establish the suitable analytical method. An ideal stability-indicating method is one that quantifies the standard drug alone and also resolves its degradation products. With the advent of International
Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines, the requirement of establishment of stability-indicating method has become more clearly mandated. The guidelines explicitly require the conduction of forced decomposition studies under a variety of conditions, like pH, light, oxidation, dry heat, etc., and separation of the drug from degradation products. The establishment of purity of chromatographic peak in stressed samples is essential for the validation of the chromatographic method. This is particularly important when developing a stability-indicating method for determination of impurities. Currently number of methods are employed for peak purity using a photo diode array detector, which essentially compares the entire UV spectra recorded at various points across the liquid chromatography (LC) peak with the spectrum collected at the apex of the peak. Sometimes this concept is not useful as there are often no spectral data available for the impurity. Gas chromatography (GC) is a very well established technique for determination of residual solvents, non-chromophoric impurities in drug testing and environmental contaminant identification. GC is very rarely used for quantitative determination of impurities in pharmaceutical preparation. Developing a stability-indicating method on GC for impurity profiling in active pharmaceutical ingredients or in pharmaceutical formulation is a challenging task. Complexities involved in development of method are extraction of impurities in presence of salts, selection of suitable diluents for gas chromatographic analysis, and proving stability indicating nature of the method. The analyte are typically detected using a flame ionization detector (FID) technique. These FID detectors can be used for almost all pharmaceutical products, as they are able to detect any analyte which contains a C-C or C-H bond. However, in order to analyze compounds using gas chromatography, the compounds must have a low enough vapor pressure to allow them to
be volatilized prior to interaction with the chromatographic column. Gas chromatography is a highly efficient, sensitive method, which is used to analyze complex mixtures of substances and non-chromophoric impurities.

**Present work**

In our study, a novel simple stability-indicating GC-FID method was developed and validated for determination of related substance of memantine hydrochloride in drug substances and drug product. The peak purity of stressed samples has been established by GC-MS analysis and by comparing mass ion fragmentation pattern with the memantine reference standard. All three non-chromophoric impurities (IMP-A, IMP-B and IMP-C) are well separated from each other. The method was validated as per ICH guideline\textsuperscript{15} and successfully applied for separation of all compound of interest in the pharmaceutical formulation. The method reported here may find utility both in the pharmaceutical and chemical industries, and it may potentially be applied to the purity/impurity analysis of other pharmaceutical product analysis.

### 6.2 Experimental

#### 6.2.1 Material and reagents

The investigated sample of memantine hydrochloride and its potential process-related impurities were received from synthetic laboratory of Megafine Pharma (P) Ltd, Nashik, India. In addition, Analytical reagent grade chloroform and sodium hydroxide were purchased from Merck, (Mumbai, India). Highly pure water obtained from Millipore system (Millipore Inc., USA) was used throughout the analysis.
6.2.2 Equipment

The GC system used for method development and method validation was Agilent 1200 series (manufactured by Agilent technologies, 76337 Waldbronn Germany) with a liquid auto-sampler. The detection was performed by means of flame ionization detector (FID). The output signal was monitored and processed using Ezchrom Elite software version 3.2.1. GC columns used in the present study were: (1) HP-5, 0.25μm, 30m × 0.25mm (Agilent Technologies, USA); (2) DB-1701, 0.25μm, 30m × 0.25mm (J&W Agilent Technologies, USA); and (3) SPB-1, 0.25μm, 30m×0.25mm (Supelco). These columns have been used for the method development.

6.2.3 Preparation of Standard Solutions and Sample Solutions

All the samples used for method development and validation were prepared volumetrically. A solution of memantine hydrochloride was prepared at a concentration of 50 mg/mL and 2 mg/mL in the chloroform for related substances determination and assay determination respectively. The individual stock solutions of each impurity at concentration about 375 µg/mL (for impurities Imp-A, Imp-B, and Imp-C) were prepared in chloroform and diluted further adequately to study the validation attributes. The resultant final diluted solutions were separately transferred into different 125-mL separating funnel. Extracted as follows; 25 mL of water and 1.0 mL aqueous sodium hydroxide solution (40%w/v) was added to each separating funnel, shake well and allowed the layers to separate. Collected the lower chloroform layer into another different 125-mL separating funnel and washed the organic layer by 20 mL water washing to remove the inorganic salts and allowed the layers to separate. Collected the lower chloroform layer in 25-mL volumetric flask containing about 2.0 gm of anhydrous sodium sulphate, shake well
and the supernatant liquid was taken for injection. The specification limits used for validation studies was 0.15% for the known impurities (Imp-A, Imp-B and Imp-C) and 0.10% for the any individual unknown impurity. Hence the system suitability solution of all impurities and memantine was prepared at specification level by diluting above stock solutions.

The test solution was prepared by taking powdered tablets and a known amount of memantine hydrochloride equivalent to 500 mg was transferred to a 50 mL volumetric flask along with 10 mL chloroform and 25 mL water. The powdered material was dispersed by mixing in an ultrasonic bath for 20 min. Above solution was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min in order to eliminate insoluble excipients and transferred it into 125mL separating funnel, treated and extracted as per the above extraction procedure of drug substances. Blank chloroform was prepared by the extraction treatment given to the chloroform.

6.2.4 Generation of stress samples

One lot of memantine hydrochloride drug substance selected for stress testing. According to the ICH guideline “stress testing is likely to be carried out on a batch of material”. Specificity is the ability of the method to measure the analyte response in the presence of its potential impurities. The specificity of the developed GC method for memantine hydrochloride was demonstrated in the presence of its impurities (namely Imp-A, Imp-B, and Imp-C) and degradation products. Forced degradation study was conducted on bulk drug substance in order to prove the stability-indicating property and selectivity of the established method. Forced degradation of one lot of memantine hydrochloride drug substance was carried out under acid/base hydrolytic, oxidative, thermolytic, and
photolytic stress conditions. The drug substances were exposed with concentrated hydrochloric acid (refluxed for 36 h), aqueous 5M sodium hydroxide (refluxed for 36 h) and aqueous 30% hydrogen peroxide (kept for 24 h at RT). After the degradation, these solutions were extracted with chloroform to a known concentration and analyzed by the proposed method. For thermal stress, sample of drug substance was placed in oven with controlled temperature of 60°C for 8-days. For photolytic stress, the sample was exposed to photolytic conditions for 9-days as per ICH guideline. After the exposure to the above stress conditions, solutions of these samples were prepared by dissolving respective samples of known concentration in chloroform and extracted as per extraction procedure and further subjected to analysis using the proposed method. All the stressed samples were quantified for memantine and its impurities. The mass balance (% assay + % of impurities + % of degradation products) was calculated for all of the samples. GC-MS analysis was employed to check and ensure the homogeneity and purity of memantine peak in all the stressed sample solutions.

6.2.5 Detection of Impurities

a) Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis: The GC-MS analyses was carried out on Shimadzu GC-MS-QP 2010 gas chromatograph fitted with a HP-5 (methylphenylsiloxane, 30m × 0.32mm i.d.) capillary column and was used under the following conditions: carrier gas - nitrogen with a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min; column temperature - 5 min hold for 90°C, 90 to 250°C at 10°C min⁻¹, 10 min hold at 250°C; injector temperature - 250°C; volume injected - 1 µL of impurity solution; split ratio - 50:1. The MS operating parameters were as follows: ionization potential 0 - 70 eV; ion
source temperature - 290°C; quadrupole - 100°C; scan time - 3.1 to 31 min.; scan speed - 2000 amu/s and scan range - 45-450 amu; ion mode - $E^{+}\text{ve}$.

**Characterization of Impurities:** (i) $^1\text{H}$ NMR Spectroscopy: The $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AV400 (400 MHz) spectrometer using deuteriated chloroform as solvent and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard; (ii) Mass Spectrometry (MS): Mass spectra were recorded on Waters Micro mass-Quattro micro API mass spectrometer (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) equipped with a quadrupole mass analyzer. Ions were detected in electron spray ionization with positive ion and negative ion mode. Spectra were acquired from $m/z$ 60 to 1100 in 0.1 amu steps with 10 numbers of scans; (iii) Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR). FT-IR spectra were recorded for all the three degradation and process-related impurities on Perkin Elmer model-spectrum-100 (California, USA) instrument using KBr pellet method.

b) **Synthesis of memantine hydrochloride:** The reaction scheme for the synthesis of memantine hydrochloride is shown in Figure-6.2. The chemical name of possible three process related impurities are 1,3-dimethyladamantane (Imp-A), 1-bromo-3,5-dimethyladamantane (Imp-B), and N-(3,5-dimethyl-1-adamantyl)acetamide (Imp-C), whose area percentage in the crude samples are ranged from 0.05 to 0.2% consistently.
6.2.6 GC instrumentation and operating conditions

The HP-5 (30m length x 0.32mm ID, 0.25μm film thickness) column has been procured from Agilent technologies made in USA and used for the method development and method validation studies. The column oven was programmed as follows: initial column oven temperature, 90°C; hold for 5 min, and increased to 250°C at the rate of 10°C/min; hold for 10 min. The run time of analysis was 31 min. The injector and detector temperature was kept at 250°C and 280°C respectively. Nitrogen was used as a carrier gas with a constant pressure of 7.0 psi. The split ratio was set at 20:1 and a 4-mm i.d., deactivated open-glass tube liner packed with fused silica wool was employed. Sample was injected by the instrument’s autosampler with injection volume of 1.0μL and chloroform as the syringe cleaning solvent between injections.

6.2.7 Analytical procedure

1.0 μL of blank chloroform, six replicate injections of system suitability solution and test sample solution were separately chromatographed. A resolution of not less than 3.0 between any two peaks was set as a system suitability requirement in system suitability solution. To verify the system precision, six replicate injections of system suitability solution were injected and the relative standard deviation (RSD) for Imp-A, Imp-B, Imp-C and memantine peak areas obtained from six injections were calculated. The RSD of not more than 5.0% was set as system precision acceptance criteria for Imp-A, Imp-B, Imp-C and memantine peak areas. All the known related substances Imp-A, Imp-B, and Imp-C in test sample were determined against mean area of respective impurities obtained from replicate injections of system suitability solution. Also the unknown impurities in test
sample were calculated against mean area of memantine obtained from replicate injections of system suitability solution.

6.3 Results and Discussion

6.3.1 Detection and identification of impurities

Laboratory batches of crude memantine hydrochloride were analyzed for their related substances identification using the developed GC-FID method. These samples were subjected to GC-MS analysis. Imp-B and Imp-C were detected in the crude memantine hydrochloride batch sample. The masses of the detected peaks observed on GC-MS were noted. On the basis of m/z value and NIST library search (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), the possible structures for potential impurities suggested are shown in Figure 1. Evaluation of synthetic scheme adopted for memantine hydrochloride and basic raw materials used for the construction of intermediates were considered for understanding the potential process related impurities. These impurities (Imp-A, Imp-B and Imp-C) were received from R & D laboratory of Megafine Pharma (P) Ltd and co-injected with memantine hydrochloride to confirm the retention times.

Structural elucidation: All impurities (Imp-A to Imp-C) were characterized using MS, FT-IR and NMR spectroscopic techniques. The mass, FT-IR spectral data and $^1$H NMR chemical shift values of these impurities are presented in Table-6.1.
TABLE-6.1. Mass, FTIR spectral data and $^1$H NMR chemical shift values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Impurity</th>
<th>Mass value (m/z)</th>
<th>FT-IR (KBr) absorption bands (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$^1$H NMR Chemical shift values, $\delta$ in ppm, (multiplicity, integration)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Imp-A</td>
<td>163.1 [M-1]</td>
<td>2898.69, 2841.24, 1454.98, 1357.09</td>
<td>0.76 (s, 6H), 1.13 (s, 2H), 1.28-1.32 (d, 4H), 1.36-1.39 (d, 4H), 1.51-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.94-1.96 (t, 2H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Imp-B</td>
<td>242.7 [M-1]</td>
<td>2905.25, 2846.64, 1455.43, 1320.88, 672.82</td>
<td>0.84 (s, 6H), 1.18 (s, 2H), 1.31-1.34 (d, 2H), 1.38-1.41 (d, 2H), 1.94-2.02 (q, 4H), 2.12-2.15 (m, 3H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Imp-C</td>
<td>222.2 [M+1]</td>
<td>3294.34, 3259.32, 2945.55, 2904.40, 1650.53, 1555.58, 1454.28, 1358.43</td>
<td>0.83 (s, 6H), 1.09-1.18 (m, 2H), 1.25-1.28 (d, 2H), 1.34-1.37 (d, 2H), 1.58-1.66 (m, 4H), 1.81-1.82 (d, 2H), 1.93 (s, 3H), 2.09-2.13 (m, 1H), 5.09 (s, 1H)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

s: singlet, m: multiplet, t: triplet, d: doublet

6.3.2 Development of the GC Method

The structural and physical information of compounds play a major role for the selection of right chromatographic technique. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) serves as major technique for pharmaceutical testing for impurities in bulk and formulations.\textsuperscript{18} HPLC has the advantage of avoiding analyte thermal volatility as well as degradation considerations inherent with gas chromatographic (GC) methods. However, detector characteristics in HPLC\textsuperscript{19-20} are poor as the refractive index detector has only moderate sensitivity, and the UV detector, the most popular detector requires high absorbance chromophores to be present within the molecular structure of the analyte\textsuperscript{21-22} and hence not suitable for compounds which do not posses chromophoric groups. Gas
chromatography technique utilizing the flame ionization detector (FID) can be a good alternative to detect non-chromophoric compounds. Since its introduction in 1958 by McWilliam and Dewar, the flame ionization detector has been reported to have high sensitivity and a linear range of approximately seven orders of magnitude. Response factors among hydrocarbons and heterocyclic compounds approach unity [the response factor is nearly equals one] for the FID. If the analytes are volatile and have relatively good thermal stability, GC would be the preferred separation and analysis technique for these applications.

The core-objective of the present study is to develop a simple, rapid and stability-indicating GC-FID method capable of eluting and resolving memantine, its process related and degradation related impurities within the short run time that complies with the general requirement of system suitability. During the method development, the optimized conditions using the HP-5 column gave excellent separation of the impurities in memantine hydrochloride bulk drug substance but poor peak shape of memantine was noticed. The memantine hydrochloride assay concentration was injected with different chromatographic conditions but the peak shape was not improved satisfactorily (Figure-6.3a) mainly could be due to hydrochloride salt. In order to obtain the optimized extraction conditions and best extraction efficiency we used the peak area of memantine standard as the GC response and peak shape to evaluate the extraction efficiency under different conditions. To optimize the method, all extractions were initially carried out on standard stock solution. Suitable organic solvent for GC analysis was identified based on the extractability of memantine hydrochloride from aqueous solution. Solvents such as, n-heptane, methylene dichloride, n-hexane and chloroform were screened for extraction of
memantine hydrochloride from aqueous samples. Memantine hydrochloride being highly soluble in chloroform as compared with other three solvents preliminary experiments showed best extraction efficiency of memantine and its three impurities in chloroform as shown in Figure-6.3b and 6.3c.

Optimized parameters to obtain an acceptable peak shape and resolution between the two peaks with acceptable recoveries to satisfy the GC system suitability include; flow rate (5-10 psi, at constant pressure), initial column temperature (80-150°C), and injector temperature (± 10°C).

Also various capillary GC columns (HP-5, DB-1701, and SPB-1 with different film thickness) were screened during the method optimization. Among those tested, satisfactory selectivity, sensitivity, resolution, and speed of chromatographic separation were achieved on HP-5 column (30m length x 0.32mm ID, 0.25μm film thickness) with nitrogen as a carrier gas under the chromatographic conditions as described in Section 6.2.6. The run time of analysis was 31 min. In the optimized conditions the memantine and all the three non-chromophoric impurities were well separated with a resolution greater than ten. The typical retention times of Imp-A, Memantine, Imp-B, and Imp-C were about 8.1, 10.9, 13.4 and 17.3 min respectively (Figure-6.4). The developed GC-FID method was found to be specific for determination related substances and assay in drug substance and drug product. For peak identification, a solution containing Imp-A, Imp-B, and Imp-C spiked with authentic samples of memantine hydrochloride at levels of 0.15% (with respect to target analytical concentration) was injected, and the peak identities were confirmed by GC-MS.
a) GC-FID Chromatogram; before extraction of memantine hydrochloride

b) GC-FID Chromatogram; after extraction and before washing organic layer

c) GC-FID Chromatogram; after extraction and after washing organic layer

FIGURE-6.3. GC-FID method development Chromatogram during extraction procedure optimization; a) Test preparation injection before extraction, b) test preparation injection during optimization of extraction procedure, and c) test preparation injection after extraction.
a) Unspike test preparation

![Unspike chromatogram]

b) Spiked test preparation

![Spiked chromatogram]

**FIGURE-6.4**: Typical GC-FID chromatograms of: a) memantine hydrochloride unspiked test preparation, b) memantine hydrochloride spiked test preparation with known impurities (Imp-A, Imp-B, and Imp-C).
6.3.3 System suitability criteria

A System suitability test was defined based on the results obtained in several representative chromatograms. The column efficiency determined from the analyte peak >100000, resolution between two analyte peak <10.0, and RSD for impurity areas in six replicate injection of system suitability solution was <5.0%. All the system suitability criteria’s during validation the study and batch analysis study were within the acceptance limit. The results of system suitability are depicted in Table-6.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Retention Time (min)</th>
<th>RRT</th>
<th>Theoretical Plate</th>
<th>Tailing factor (T)</th>
<th>Resolution (R_s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imp-A</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>212697</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memantine</td>
<td>10.93</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>410875</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>41.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp-B</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>728847</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>37.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp-C</td>
<td>17.36</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1354612</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>64.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RRT, Relative retention time

6.3.4 Validation of the method

6.3.4.1 Specificity (selectivity):

The stability-indicating property of analytical method for memantine hydrochloride was established by targeting 10-30% degradation of memantine. The degradation was not observed in memantine hydrochloride sample when sample exposed to stress conditions like photolytic, thermal, acid and base hydrolysis. Considerable degradation of memantine hydrochloride was observed under oxidative hydrolysis only. The GC-MS data (mass-ion fragmentation) of memantine indicated that the memantine peak is homogenous and
spectrally pure in all the stress conditions tested. The typical chromatogram of memantine test sample after degradation under oxidative conditions are shown in Figure-6.5 and GC-MS spectra for memantine in unspike test preparation, spiked test preparation and peroxide treated test preparation are shown in Figure-6.6. The mass balance is a process of adding together the assay value and the levels of degradation products to see how closely these add up to 100% of initial value with due consideration of the margin of analytical error.26

The resultant mass balance of stressed samples was about 99.0%. The data on forced degradation studies are summarized in Table-6.2. The results on degradation studies revealed that the degradation products were well separated from the memantine. No peaks co-eluted with the memantine peak, suggesting the method enabled specific analysis of memantine in the presence of its degradation products.

FIGURE-6.5: Typical GC-FID forced degradation chromatogram of peroxide treated test preparation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress condition</th>
<th>% Assay of Memantine</th>
<th>% of degradant</th>
<th>Observation and Mass balance</th>
<th>Peak purity by GC-MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undegraded</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid hydrolysis (Conc. HCl, 36 h refluxed)</td>
<td>100.6</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>No any known and unknown degradation product formed (Mass balance: 100.6%)</td>
<td>Mass spectrum similar to the Mass spectrum of reference standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base hydrolysis (5M NaOH, 36 h refluxed)</td>
<td>101.1</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>No any known and unknown degradation product formed (Mass balance: 101.1%)</td>
<td>Mass spectrum similar to the Mass spectrum of reference standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation (30% H₂O₂, 24 h at RT)</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>24.0 % of unknown minor degradation products formed. (Mass balance: 100.6%)</td>
<td>Mass spectrum similar to the Mass spectrum of reference standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal (60°C, 8-days)</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>No any known and unknown degradation product formed (Mass balance: 99.2%)</td>
<td>Mass spectrum similar to the Mass spectrum of reference standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photolytic as per ICH</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>No any known and unknown degradation product formed (Mass balance: 99.2%)</td>
<td>Mass spectrum similar to the Mass spectrum of reference standard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mass balance = % assay + % sum of all impurities + % sum of all degradants;
FIGURE-6.6: Typical GC-MS spectra of; a) unspiked test preparation, b) spiked test preparation, and c) peroxide treated test preparation.
6.3.4.2 Limits of detection and quantitation (LOD and LOQ)

According to ICH Q2R1 recommendations the limits of detection (LOD) and quantitation (LOQ) for memantine and its key impurities (Imp-A, Imp-B and Imp-C) were estimated by calibration curve method (residual standard deviation (σ) and the slope (S) of regression line), by injecting series of dilute solutions of known concentration. The LOD and LOQ of memantine hydrochloride were 5.985 µg/mL and 1.975 µg/mL respectively, whereas the LOD and LOQ for the related substances were in the range of 0.971-1.789 µg/mL and 2.941-3.491 µg/mL respectively. The calculated LOQ concentrations of all the components were further verified for precision by injecting six individual preparations of Imp-A, Imp-B, Imp-C and memantine hydrochloride. The RSD of LOQ precision was in the range of 0.83-7.19%. These limits of quantification levels of the impurities were helpful for the process research work to control the impurities at the accepted level during the optimization of the process. The results are depicted in Table-6.3.

6.3.4.3 Linearity

The linearity of method was established at two different levels. The assay linearity was studied by preparing five different solid weighings of memantine hydrochloride from 50 to 150% w/w (50, 75, 100, 125 and 150% w/w) with respect to target analytical concentration (2000 µg/mL) and injected. Linearity test solutions for related substance were prepared by diluting the impurity stock solution (as described in the section-6.2.3) to the required concentrations. The solutions were prepared at six concentration levels from LOQ to 250% (LOQ, 50, 100, 150, 200 and 250%) with respect to the specification level of impurities. The data were subjected to statistical analysis using a linear-regression model; the regression equations and correlation coefficients are given in Table-6.3. The results
showed an excellent correlation between the peak areas and concentrations of Imp-A, Imp-B, Imp-C and memantine.

**TABLE-6.3.** Linearity, Limit of detection (LOD) and Limit of quantitations (LOQ) data for memantine hydrochloride and related substances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Linearity results (LOQ to 250% of specification level(^a))</th>
<th>LOD LOQ results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regression equation</td>
<td>CC(^b) (r)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp-A</td>
<td>(y = 1236.60x - 346.02)</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp-B</td>
<td>(y = 817.18x - 5032.36)</td>
<td>0.99951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp-C</td>
<td>(y = 992.21x - 602.22)</td>
<td>0.99999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memantine</td>
<td>(y = 921.02x - 2420.30)</td>
<td>0.99991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) 0.15% of all related substances and 0.10% of memantine hydrochloride.

\(^b\) CC: Correlation coefficient

\(^c\) LOD LOQ values are in % with respect to test concentration of 50 mg/ml

**6.3.4.4 Precision**

System precision for assay method was verified by injecting the six replicate injections of standard concentration (5 mg/mL) and RSD of memantine peak area was evaluated and found to be 0.72%. System precision for related substances determination was verified by system suitability solution, which was analyzed for six times and RSD of memantine and all impurities peak area was evaluated and found to be 0.58%.

Precision of the method was studied for method precision and intermediate precision. The assay method precision was examined by analyzing six determinations of the sample solution at working concentration versus a standard concentration and RSD of
obtained results was evaluated and found to be 0.44%. Related substances method precision was demonstrated by analyzing six separate memantine hydrochloride sample solutions that were prepared by spiking the related substances viz., Imp-A, Imp-B, and Imp-C at specification level. The RSD (1.20-1.31%, n = 6) for each related substance was evaluated. In the intermediate precision study, the similar procedure of method precision was carried out by a different analyst, using different mobile phase and diluent preparations and instrument on a different day with different lot of same brand column. The percentage relative standard deviation of the results for assay method and related substances method was evaluated and found to be 0.73% and 1.19-1.82% respectively. The percentage overall RSD for results of intermediate precision and results of method precision was calculated. The overall RSD (n=12) of assay and related substances result was found within 0.62 % and 4.97% respectively. The results are reported in Table-6.4.

**TABLE-6.4.** Validation data results of related substances and assay of memantine hydrochloride

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Method precision results (n = 6)</th>
<th>Intermediate precision results (n = 6)</th>
<th>Overall results (n = 12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Related substances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp-A</td>
<td>0.155 ± 0.002, 1.29</td>
<td>0.165 ± 0.002, 1.21</td>
<td>0.160 ± 0.005, 3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp-B</td>
<td>0.167 ± 0.002, 1.20</td>
<td>0.165 ± 0.003, 1.82</td>
<td>0.166 ± 0.003, 1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp-C</td>
<td>0.153 ± 0.002, 1.31</td>
<td>0.168 ± 0.002, 1.19</td>
<td>0.161 ± 0.008, 4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assay of Memantine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memantine</td>
<td>99.9 ± 0.443, 0.44</td>
<td>100.4 ± 0.734, 0.73</td>
<td>100.1 ± 0.624, 0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.4.5 Accuracy (recovery)

Accuracy of the method for all the related substances was determined by analyzing memantine hydrochloride sample solutions spiked with all the related substances at four different concentration levels viz., LOQ, 50, 100 and 150% of specification level. Each level has been analyzed in triplicate. Table-6.5 provides validation data results of related substances of memantine hydrochloride. The recovery of all these related substances was found to be in-between the predefined acceptance criteria of 80.0-120.0%.

**TABLE-6.5. Accuracy data of related substances**

| Component | Recovery results (Mean % Recovery (%RSD))
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOQ level ; amount (%w/w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp-A</td>
<td>116.67 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp-B</td>
<td>116.67 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imp-C</td>
<td>112.50 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*average % Recovery and % RSD of results of three determinations,

b0.15% of all related substances

6.3.4.6 Stability of analytical solution

Sample solutions of memantine hydrochloride spiked with related substances at specified level were prepared and analyzed immediately at different time intervals up to 48 hrs to determine the stability of sample solution. The results from these studies indicated, that the sample solution was stable for 48 hrs at room temperature.
6.3.4.7 Robustness

To assess robustness of the method, the experimental conditions were deliberately altered and system suitability parameter was evaluated. Nitrogen was used as a carrier gas with a constant pressure of 7.0 psi. To study the effect of flow rate on the resolution, the same was altered by 0.7 units i.e. from 6.3 to 7.7 psi. The effect of column temperature was studied at 93°C and 87°C instead of 90°C. The effect of changing the injector temperature by ± 10°C (240°C and 260°C instead of 250°C) on resolution was also studied. All the other chromatographic conditions were held constant as described above. In all the deliberate varied chromatographic conditions (flow rate, column temperature and injector temperature) the all system suitability criteria’s were within the limit, illustrating the robustness of the method.

6.3.5 Application of the method

The analysis of commercial formulation sample and bulk drug sample indicated that the method is specific and selective for determination of related substances in the formulation and bulk drug samples (Table-6.7). The developed method is capable for quantitative analysis of memantine hydrochloride in the bulk drug and in a pharmaceutical dosage form. The values of impurities detected indicate that the method is capable of detecting known and unknown impurities in routine analysis of bulk drug and formulation sample.
TABLE-6.7. Results (in %) of formulation tablet and bulk drug batches sample analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample source</th>
<th>Related substances</th>
<th>Assay Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imp-A</td>
<td>Imp-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formulation product analysis results</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formulation-1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formulation-2</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Batch analysis results (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.NO. MEM/A163/IV/08</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.NO. MEM/A163/IV/09</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.NO. MEM/A163/IV/10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Not detected
SMUI = Single maximum unknown impurity

6.4 Conclusion

This is the first method reported in the literature for the separation and quantitation of memantine and its impurities/degradants. The GC-FID method is specific, linear, sensitive, accurate, precise, and robust. This approach may potentially be applied to the purity/impurity analysis of other acid chlorides or acid halides.

The degradation pathway of memantine hydrochloride is established as per ICH recommendations. The Stability indicating GC-FID method developed and used for stress studies is also fit for quantitative, related substance and assay determination of memantine hydrochloride. The method is validated as per ICH requirements. The developed method is stability indicating which can be used for the impurity testing and assay determination in routine analysis of production samples and also to analyze stability samples.
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