

## Chapter II

### ON THE INTEGRABILITY OF POWER SERIES\*

2.1 A non-decreasing continuous real-valued function  $\Phi$  defined on the non-negative half line and vanishing only at the origin will be called an Orlicz function (OF). Function  $\Phi \in OF$  is said to satisfy  $\Delta_\alpha$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ) condition for large  $u$  if there are constants  $C > 0$  and  $u_0 \geq 0$  such that  $\Phi(\alpha u) \leq C \Phi(u)$ ,  $u \geq u_0$ . A convex Orlicz function  $\Phi$  satisfying the conditions

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(u)}{u} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(u)}{u} = \infty,$$

is called a Young function (YF). Function  $\Phi$  belongs to YF iff it admits a representation of the form

$$\Phi(u) = \int_0^u \varphi(t) dt,$$

where  $\varphi(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is positive,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , continuous on the right, non-decreasing and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$

---

\* Section A has been accepted for publication in Proceedings of American Mathematical Society, while Section B in Publications Mathematiques 20(1973).

We denote by  $\mathbb{M}$  the class of Orlics functions  $\Phi$  which satisfy the following condition of Mulholland [1]. "There exist a convex function  $\Lambda$ ,  $\lambda > 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , such that the inequality

$$\Lambda(u) \leq \Phi^\alpha(u) \leq \lambda \Lambda(u) \text{ holds for all } u."$$

A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  of non-negative numbers is said to be quasi-monotone (Shah [1], Szász [1]) if for some  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$a_{n+1} \leq a_n \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right).$$

An equivalent definition of quasi-monotone sequence is that  $n^{-\beta} a_n \downarrow 0$  for some  $\beta > 0$  (Shah [2]).

Let  $L_\Phi(X, \mu)$ , where  $\Phi \in \Delta_\alpha$ , be the Orlics space, i.e., the set of all complex valued measurable functions  $f$  on a measure space  $(X, \mu)$  such that the modular  $\int_X \Phi(|f(x)|) d\mu$  is finite. In this chapter by Hardy-Orlics space  $H_\Phi$  we mean simply a closed subspace of  $L_\Phi((0, 2\pi), dx)$  spanned over trigonometric polynomials of the form

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n e^{int}.$$

This chapter consists of two sections. In section A we obtain a generalization of certain results concerning equivalence of some statements pertaining to integrability

of a power series, while in section B, we study integrability problem for a power series under certain Tauberian condition.

### SECTION A

2.2 Recently, Woyczyński [1] proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k, \quad 0 \leq x < 1.$$

If  $a_n \geq a_{n+1} \geq 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), then the following four statements are equivalent :

$$(2.2.1) \quad f(x) \in L_{\Phi}(0, 1);$$

$$(2.2.2) \quad g(t) = f(e^{-it}) \in H_{\Phi}(0, 2\pi);$$

$$(2.2.3) \quad \{n a_n\} \in L_{\Phi}(N, \nu);$$

$$(2.2.4) \quad \{A_n\} \in L_{\Phi}(N, \nu),$$

where  $\Phi \in \Delta_{\alpha} \cap M \cap YF$ ,  $d\mu = dx$ ,  $N$  stands for the set of all positive integers and  $\nu$  is the measure on  $N$  concentrating the mass  $n^{-\alpha}$  at the point  $n \in N$ , and  $A_n = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$ .

In this section our object is to obtain a generalisation of the above theorem. We denote throughout this section by

$B$  (with or without suffixes) a positive constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

We prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 1. Let  $\Phi \in \Delta_\alpha \cap M \cap YF$  and  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ ,

$0 \leq x < 1$ . If  $\{a_n\}$  is a quasi-monotone sequence such that  $0 < B_1 \leq n^\beta a_n \leq B_2$  with some  $\beta > 0$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , then the following four statements are equivalent:

$$(2.2.5) \quad (1-x)^{-\gamma} \Phi(f(x)) \in L(0,1);$$

$$(2.2.6) \quad x^{-\gamma} \Phi(|f(e^{-ix})|) \in L(0,\pi);$$

$$(2.2.7) \quad \sum_1^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi(n a_n) < \infty;$$

$$(2.2.8) \quad \sum_1^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi(A_n) < \infty,$$

where  $A_n = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n$ .

2.3 We require the following lemmas for the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. (Wojcyszynski [1]). Let  $\chi = \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $d\mu = x^\alpha dx$  ( $\alpha \leq 0$ ). If  $\Phi \in M$ , then

$$\int_{\chi} \Phi\left(\frac{f(x)}{x}\right) d\mu \leq B \int_{\chi} \Phi(f(x)) d\mu,$$

where  $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$  and  $f(t) \geq 0$ .

Lemma 2. (Wojcyszki [1]). Let  $\Phi \in \Delta_\alpha \cap YF$ ,  $X = \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $d\mu = x^\alpha dx$  ( $\alpha < -1$ ). If  $f(x)$  is a non-negative function and  $x f(x) \in L_\Phi(X, \mu)$ , then  $F(x) \in L_\Phi$ , where  $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$ .

Lemma 3. (Akey and Wainger [1]). Let  $\{a_n\}$  be positive and tend to zero and  $\{n^{-k} a_n\}$  be monotonically decreasing for some non-negative  $k^*$ , then

$$\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |\Delta a_j| \leq B \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} a_j/j + a_n,$$

where  $B$  is some positive constant.

2.4 Proof of Theorem 1. We shall prove the following implications:

- (i) (2.2.5)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2.2.6)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2.6)  
 (ii) (2.2.6)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2.7)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2.8).

Proof of (2.2.5)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2.8). We write  $(1-x)=y$ , then by virtue of the fact that  $(1-\frac{1}{n})^n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) is an increasing sequence, we have for  $\frac{1}{n+1} \leq y \leq \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,

---

\* In Lemma 3 the authors have assumed that  $k$  is a positive integer but it can be easily verified that the Lemma remains true even for  $k \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(1-y) &\geq \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (1-y)^k \geq (1-y)^n \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \\
 &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \geq \frac{1}{4} A_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$f(1-y) \geq \frac{1}{4} A_n \text{ for } \frac{1}{n+1} \leq y \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\gamma-2} \Phi(A_n) &\leq B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_n^{n+1} t^{-\gamma-2} \Phi(A_{[t]}) dt \\
 &= B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{1/n+1}^{1/n} u^{-\gamma} \Phi(A_{[1/u]}) du \\
 &= B \int_{1/2}^1 u^{-\gamma} \Phi(A_{[1/u]}) du + B \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{1/n+1}^{1/n} u^{-\gamma} \Phi(A_n) du \\
 &\leq B+B \int_0^{1/2} u^{-\gamma} \Phi(4f(1-u)) du \\
 &\leq B+B \int_0^{1/2} u^{-\gamma} \Phi(f(1-u)) du \\
 &\leq B+B \int_0^1 u^{-\gamma} \Phi(f(1-u)) du \\
 &\leq B+B \int_0^1 (1-x)^{-\gamma} \Phi(f(x)) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

< ∞ .

Proof of (2.2.8)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2.5).

$$\int_0^1 (1-x)^{-\gamma} \Phi(f(x)) dx = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{1-\frac{1}{n}}^{1-\frac{1}{n+1}} (1-x)^{-\gamma} \Phi(f(x)) dx$$

$$= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{1/n}^{1/(n+1)} x^{-\gamma} \Phi(f(1-x)) dx$$

$$= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{1/n}^{1/(n+1)} x^{-\gamma} \Phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (1-x)^k\right) dx$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{1/n}^{1/(n+1)} x^{-\gamma} \Phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^k\right) dx$$

$$\leq B \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=nk}^{n(k+1)} a_j \left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^j\right)$$

$$\leq B \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{nk} \sum_{j=0}^{n(k+1)} a_j\right)$$

$$\leq B \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^n a_j + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n(k+1)} a_j\right)\right)$$

$$\leq B \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-k} \left(A_n + B \sum_{j=n+1}^{n(k+1)} a_j\right) j^{-\beta}\right)$$

$$\leq B \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-k} \left(A_n + B n^{1-\beta} k\right)\right)$$

$$\leq B \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B \cdot (k+1) e^{-k} A_n\right)$$

$$\leq B \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi(A_n) < \infty.$$

Proof of (2.2.8)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2.6). We shall prove that  $x^{-\gamma} \Phi(|\operatorname{Re} f(e^{ix})|)$  and  $x^{-\gamma} \Phi(|\operatorname{Im} f(e^{ix})|)$  are both in  $L(0, \pi)$ .

Writing  $D_n(x) = \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})x}{2 \sin \frac{x}{2}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Re} f(e^{ix})| &= \left| a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n a_k + \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx \right| \\ &= A_n + \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \Delta a_k D_k(x) - a_n D_n(x) \right| \\ &\leq A_n + B \frac{1}{x} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k| + B \frac{1}{x} a_n, \quad \pi/n+1 \leq x \leq \pi/n \\ &\leq A_n + B n \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k| + a_n \right) \\ &\leq A_n + B n \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k} + a_n + a_n \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3}) \\ &\leq A_n + B_2 \left( n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{1+\beta}} + 2n^{1-\beta} \right) \\ &\leq A_n + B n^{1-\beta} \leq B A_n. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\int_0^{\pi} x^{-\gamma} \Phi(|\operatorname{Re} f(e^{ix})|) dx = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int_{\pi/n+1}^{\pi/n} x^{-\gamma} \Phi(|\operatorname{Re} f(e^{ix})|) dx$$



$$\leq B \sum_1^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi(B A_n)$$

$$\leq B \sum_1^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi(A_n)$$

$< \infty$ .

Almost the same proof remains valid for  $x^{-\gamma} (|\operatorname{Im} f(e^{ix})|) \in L(0, \pi)$  and so  $x^{-\gamma} \Phi(|f(e^{ix})|) \in L(0, \pi)$ , whenever

$$\sum_1^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi(A_n) < \infty.$$

Proof of (2.2.6)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2.7) Let us write

$$r(t) = \operatorname{Re} f(e^{it}), \quad R(t) = \int_0^t r(x) dx,$$

$$R_1(t) = \int_0^t R(x) dx.$$

Then

$$R_1(t) = a_0 \frac{t^2}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \cdot j^{-2} (1 - \cos j \cdot t)$$

$$\geq \sum_{j=1}^n a_j j^{-2} (1 - \cos jt)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-2} a_j \sin^2 \frac{j t}{2}$$

$$\geq 2 \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-2} a_j \cdot \frac{4}{\pi^2} \frac{j^2 t^2}{4}, \quad \pi/(n+1) \leq t \leq \pi/n$$

$$\geq B t^2 \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$$

$$\geq B t^2 n a_n.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} \Phi(n a_n) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{n/n+1}^{n/n} x^{-\gamma} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{B} \frac{R(x)}{x^2}\right) dx \\
 &\leq B \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{n/n+1}^{n/n} x^{-\gamma} \Phi\left(\frac{R(x)}{x}\right) dx \\
 &= B \int_0^{\infty} x^{-\gamma} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{x^2} \int_0^x R(t) dt\right) dx \\
 &\leq B \int_0^{\infty} x^{-\gamma} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{x^2} \int_0^x |R(t)| dt\right) dx \\
 &\leq B \int_0^{\infty} x^{-\gamma} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \frac{|R(t)|}{t} dt\right) dx \\
 &\leq B \int_0^{\infty} x^{-\gamma} \Phi\left(\frac{|R(x)|}{x}\right) dx \\
 &\leq B \int_0^{\infty} x^{-\gamma} \Phi(|r(x)|) dx \\
 &\leq B \int_0^{\infty} x^{-\gamma} \Phi(|r(e^{1/x})|) dx \\
 &< \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1 and by virtue of the hypothesis.

Proof of (2.2.7)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2.8). Let  $a(x)$  be the function equal to  $a_n$  if  $n-1 \leq x < n$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , and let  $\Lambda(x) = \int_0^x a(t) dt$ . The assumption  $\sum n^{\gamma-2} \Phi(n a_n)$  implies that  $t^{\gamma-2} \Phi(t a(t))$

is integrable on the positive half line, and by virtue of Lemma 2 (  $\sigma = \gamma - 2 < -1$  )  $t^{\gamma-2} \bar{\Phi}(A(t))$  is integrable as well. But this is equivalent to the convergence of the series  $\sum n^{\gamma-2} \bar{\Phi}(A_n)$ . Hence (2.2.7)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2.8).

This proves Theorem 1.

**Remarks :** Our proof shows that the implication :  
 (2.2.5)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2.8) holds true under a less restrictive condition, namely  $a_n \geq 0$ . It is also known (Askey and Karlin [1] ) that (2.2.8)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2.5) under certain lighter conditions, namely  $0 \leq \gamma < 2$ ,  $\bar{\Phi}$  increasing, positive and convex.

## SECTION B

2.5 Heywood [2] proved the following result :

**Theorem B.** Suppose that  $f(x) = \sum_0^{\infty} a_n x^n$  for  $0 \leq x < 1$ ,

$\gamma < 1$  and that there is a positive number  $\epsilon$  such that

$a_n > \frac{-K}{n^{\gamma+\epsilon}}$  , for all sufficiently large values of  $n$ ,  $K$  being

some positive constant. Then  $(1-x)^{-\gamma} f(x) \in L(0,1)$  iff

$\sum n^{\gamma-1} |a_n|$  converges.

The object of this section is to obtain a generalization of Theorem B.

2.6 We prove the following result :

Theorem 2. Let  $f(x) = \sum_0^{\infty} a_n x^n$ ,  $0 \leq x < 1$  and  $\gamma < 1$ .

Suppose that there is a positive number  $\epsilon$  such that

$$(2.6.1) \quad a_n > \frac{-K}{n^{\gamma/p + (1-1/p)\epsilon}} \quad (0 < p \leq \infty)$$

for all sufficiently large values of  $n$ , where  $K$  is some positive constant. Then  $(1-x)^{-\gamma} (|f(x)|)^p \in L(0,1)$  iff  $\sum n^{\gamma-2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| \right)^p$  converges.

It may be remarked that in view of Lemma 6 and Abel's transformation, our Theorem includes as a special case for  $p=1$  the above theorem of Heywood.

2.7 We require the following lemmas to prove Theorem 2.

Lemma 4. (Titchmarsh [1], p. 66). If  $b$  is a constant, then

$$\frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(x+b)} \sim x^{-b}, \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 5. (Khan [2]). Let  $f(x) = \sum_0^{\infty} a_n x^n$ ,  $a_n \geq 0$ ,

$0 \leq x < 1$ ,  $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$  and  $\gamma < 1$ . Then, for  $0 < p \leq \infty$

$$\left( \int_0^1 (1-x)^{-\gamma} (f(x))^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty \text{ iff } \left( \sum_1^{\infty} n^{\gamma-2} a_n^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

**Lemma 6.** (Hardy [1], p.255). If  $c > 1$ ,  $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ ,  $a_k \geq 0$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-c} a_n^p \leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-c} (n a_n)^p$  ( $p \geq 1$ ).

**Lemma 7.** (Konyushkov [1], p.83). If  $c > 1$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $a_n \geq 0$  and  $\{n^{-j} a_n\}$  is monotonic decreasing for some  $j > 0$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-c} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^p \leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-c} (n a_n)^p.$$

**2.3 Proof of Theorem 2.** We may suppose without loss of any generality  $\frac{\gamma-1}{p} + \epsilon$  is not an integer. This will ensure the existence of the Gamma function at all relevant points. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma-1}{p} - \epsilon)}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma-1}{p} + \epsilon)} (1-x)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{p} + \epsilon} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, since

$$(1-x)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{p} + \epsilon} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma-1}{p} - \epsilon)}{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(\frac{\gamma-1}{p} - \epsilon)} x^n,$$

We have

$$(2.8.1) \quad a_n = \frac{\sqrt[n]{(n+1)^{\gamma-\epsilon}}}{\sqrt[n]{(n+1)}} \sim \frac{1}{n^{\frac{\gamma-\epsilon}{p}} + 1 + \epsilon}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

by Lemma 4. It follows from (2.8.1) that  $a_n + a_n$  is positive for all sufficiently large values of  $n$ . Since

$$P(x) + G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n + a_n) x^n$$

for  $0 \leq x < 1$ , Lemma 5 now shows that

$$(1-x)^{-\gamma} (P(x)+G(x))^p \in L(0,1), \text{ iff } \sum_{k=1}^n n^{\gamma-\epsilon} (a_k + a_k)^p$$

Converges.

But,  $(1-x)^{-\gamma} G^p(x)$  is a multiple of  $(1-x)^{\epsilon p - 1}$  and

therefore integrable in  $(0,1)$ . Moreover (2.8.1) shows that  $\sum_{k=1}^n n^{\gamma-\epsilon} (a_k)^p$  is convergent by Lemmas 6 and 7.

Therefore, it follows that

$$(1-x)^{-\gamma} (|P(x)|)^p \in L(0,1)$$

iff

$$\sum_{k=1}^n n^{\gamma-\epsilon} (a_k)^p < \infty.$$

Thus the theorem is proved.