

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No	List of Figures	Page No.
Figure 1.1	<i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv. <i>campestris</i> on YDC medium showing yellow pigmentation	5
Figure 1.2	Life cycle of the black rot pathogen <i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv <i>campestris</i> .	7
Figure 3.1.	Typical symptoms of Black rot infection of cabbage seedlings.	39
Figure 3.2.	Direct plating method	39
Figure 3.3.	Yellow, mucoid colonies of <i>X. campestris</i> pv. <i>campestris</i> on NSCA medium.	39
Figure 3.4.	Gram's Reaction	40
Figure 3.5.	KOH solubility test	40
Figure 3.6.	Kovac's oxidase test	40
Figure 3.7.	Starch hydrolysis test	41
Figure 3.8.	Gelatin hydrolysis test	41
Figure 3.9.	Lipase activity	41
Figure 3.10.	Hypersensitive response test	42
Figure 3.11.	Pathogensity test	42
Figure 3.12.	Agarose gel electrophoresis (2%) of PCR products amplified with the 16S rRNA primer set. Lanes: 1-10, <i>X. campestris</i> pv. <i>campestris</i> isolates	46
Figure 3.13.	Agarose gel electrophoresis (2%) of PCR products amplified with <i>estA</i> primer set.	46
Figure 3.14.	Agarose gel electrophoresis (2%) of PCR products amplified with <i>hrpF</i> primer set.	46
Figure 3.15.	Agarose gel electrophoresis (2%) of PCR products amplified with the ITS primer set.	47
Figure 3.16.	Multiplex PCR: DNA of infected cabbage leaves and DNA from <i>X. campestris</i> pv. <i>campestris</i> were amplified using gene specific primer for pathogen ( <i>hrpF</i> ) and ITS primers for Brassica.	47
Figure 3.17.	Gas chromatographic profile of fatty acid methyl esters of <i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv. <i>campestris</i> .	48
Figure 4.1.	Size of clones in SSH library	65
Figure 4.2.	EST classification to putative biological function based on homologies to sequences of known function detected by BLASTN searches.	65
Figure 4.3.	Temporal pattern of POX activity in resistant ( <i>Pusa mukta</i> ) and highly susceptible ( <i>NBH-boss</i> ) cultivars with and without pathogen inoculation.	69
Figure 4.4.	Differential expression of isoforms of POX in resistant ( <i>Pusa mukta</i> ) and highly susceptible ( <i>NBH-boss</i> ) cabbage cultivars	69
Figure 4.5	Temporal pattern of SOD activity in resistant (R) and highly susceptible (HS) cultivars with and without pathogen inoculation.	70

---

Figure 4.6.	Differential expression of isoforms of SOD in resistant (R) and highly susceptible (HS) cabbage cultivars with and without pathogen <i>X. campestris</i> pv. <i>campestris</i> .	70
Figure 4.7.	Temporal pattern of CAT activity in resistant (R) and highly susceptible (HS) cultivars with and without pathogen inoculation.	71
Figure 4.8.	Differential expression of isoforms of CAT in resistant (R) and highly susceptible (HS) cabbage cultivars with and without pathogen <i>X. campestris</i> pv. <i>campestris</i> .	71
Figure 4.9.	Peroxidase enzyme activity in different cabbage cultivars 12 h after inoculation (hpi) with <i>X. campestris</i> pv. <i>campestris</i> .	72
Figure 4.10.	Superoxide dismutase (SOD) enzyme activity in different cabbage cultivars 18 h after inoculation (hpi) with <i>X. campestris</i> pv. <i>campestris</i> .	73
Figure 4.11.	Catalase (CAT) enzyme activity in different cabbage cultivars 15 h after inoculation (hpi) with <i>X. campestris</i> pv. <i>campestris</i> .	74
Figure 4.12.	Semiquantitative PCR to study POX gene expression upon pathogen inoculation in highly susceptible (HS) and resistant (R).	75
Figure 4.13.	Semiquantitative PCR to study SOD gene expression upon pathogen inoculation in highly susceptible (HS) and resistant (R).	75
Figure 4.14.	Semiquantitative PCR to study CAT gene expression upon pathogen inoculation in highly susceptible (HS) and resistant (R).	75
Figure 4.15.	Quantitative real time-PCR assay for relative expression levels of POX in both resistant and highly susceptible cultivars	76
Figure 4.16.	Quantitative real time-PCR assay for relative expression levels of SOD in both resistant and highly susceptible cultivars.	76
Figure 4.17.	Quantitative real time-PCR assay for relative expression levels of CAT in both resistant and highly susceptible cultivars.	77
Figure 5.1.	Agarose gel (1%) electrophoresis of PCR products amplified with the 16S rRNA primer set.	87
Figure 5.2.	Non denaturing polyacrylamide gel (6 %) of denatured PCR products amplified with the 16S rRNA primer set.	89
Figure 5.3.	Non denaturing polyacrylamide gel (6%) electrophoresis of restriction fragments obtained by 16S rRNA PCR products digested with <i>EcoRII</i> .	90
Figure 5.4.	Dendrogram based on the RFLP analysis with <i>AlwI</i> restriction enzyme. The tree was obtained by UPGMA cluster analysis.	92
Figure 5.5	Dendrogram based on the RFLP analysis with <i>FokI</i> restriction enzyme. The tree was obtained by UPGMA cluster analysis.	92

---

Figure 5.6.	Dendrogram based on the RAPD-M13 profile analysis. The tree was obtained by UPGMA cluster analysis of the RAPD-M13 of various phytopathogens.	93
Figure 6.1.	Temporal pattern of POX activity in Resistant (R) and Highly susceptible cultivar (HS) cabbage cultivars.	109
Figure 6.2.	Temporal pattern of SOD activity in Resistant (R) and Highly susceptible cultivar (HS) cabbage cultivars.	110
Figure 6.3.	Temporal pattern of CAT activity in Resistant (R) and Highly susceptible cultivar (HS) cabbage cultivars.	111
Figure 6.4.	Temporal pattern of POX activity in Resistant (R) and Highly susceptible cultivar (HS) cabbage cultivars.	112
Figure 6.5.	Temporal pattern of SOD activity in Resistant (R) and Highly susceptible cultivar (HS) cabbage cultivars.	113
Figure 6.6.	Temporal pattern of CAT activity in Resistant (R) and Highly susceptible cultivar (HS) cabbage cultivars.	114
Figure. 6.7.	Comparison of induced gene expression pattern of Peroxidase, superoxide dismutase and 18S rRNA in cabbage cultivars.	115
Figure 6.8.	Quantitative real time-PCR assay for relative expression levels of POX in both resistant and highly susceptible cultivars.	116
Figure 6.9.	Quantitative real time-PCR assay for relative expression levels of SOD in both resistant and highly susceptible cultivars.	117
Figure 6.10.	Quantitative real time-PCR assay for relative expression levels of CAT in both resistant and highly susceptible cultivars.	118
Figure 6.11.	Classification of ESTs obtained from the SSH library of the susceptible cultivar and assignment of putative biological functions	120

---