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dimensions of the socially responsive trajectories in the art of the nineteen-forties and fifties in Bengal
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- The 1953 article in the periodical "Marg"
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- Santiniketan — the process of concretisation of a 'poet's dream', and the defining of its ideals
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- Benodebehari Mukherjee
- Ramkinkar Baij
- Nandalal Bose and a couple of other artists in Santiniketan

**Epilogue**

**Bibliography**
I wish to make no competitive claim for the importance of Neizvestny’s art. Obviously I consider his art important, or I would not have spent a year thinking and writing about it. Yet during that year I have come to see that the arranging of artists in a hierarchy of merit is an idle and essentially dilettante process. What matters are the needs which art answers.