

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1	: Summary of Demand for Fuels (Mtc), India	.. 37
2	: Personal Characteristics of Respondents	.. 123
3	: Family Characteristics of Respondents	.. 126
4	: General Value Pattern of Households	.. 127
5	: Different Energy Forms used by Households	.. 130
6	: Average Quantity of Different Energy Forms Used per Month	.. 134
7	: Average Expenditure per Month on Different Energy Forms Utilized	.. 135
8	: Purposes for which Different Energy Forms were Used	.. 139
9	: Modes of Transportation Possessed by Respondents	.. 141
10	: Purposes for which the Fuel Energy-Driven Vehicles were Used	.. 142
11	: Size of the House Occupied by Respondents	.. 143
12	: Different Recreational Equipment possessed by Respondents	.. 145
13	: Mean Hours of Use of the Recreational Equipment	.. 146
14	: Various Types of Household Appliances possessed by Respondents	.. 147
15	: Different Types of Stove Used by Respondents.	149
16	: Energy Crisis Situation faced by Respondents in the Past and Present	.. 152
17	: Awareness and Willingness of Respondents to Use Solar Cooker	.. 154
18	: Awareness and Willingness of Respondents to Use Biogas Energy	.. 156

TABLE NO.	PAGE
19 : Awareness and Willingness of Respondents to Use the New High Efficiency Stoves	.. 158
20 : Food Habits of Respondents	.. 160
21 : Opinion of Respondents Regarding Adoption of Ways to Control Energy Crisis	.. 162
22 : Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of Perception of Homemakers Regarding the Energy Crisis	.. 163
23 : Level of Perception of Homemakers Regarding Energy Crisis in Relation to Associated Variables	.. 168
24 : Mean Scores and Standard Deviations of Stress Felt by Homemakers during Energy Crisis Situation	.. 171
25 : Mean Scores on Different Types of Stress Felt	176
26 : Types of Stress Felt by Homemakers due to Energy Crisis	.. 177
27 : Types of Stress Felt by Homemakers according to Income Groups	.. 178
28 : Degree of Stress Felt by Homemakers during Energy Crisis Situations in Relation to Associated Variables	.. 180
29 : Different Types of Coping Measures adopted by Households during Fuel Crisis	.. 185
30 : Different Types of Coping Measures adopted by Households during Petrol Crisis	.. 189
31 : Different Types of Coping Measures adopted by Households during Electricity Crisis	.. 192
32 : The Number of Coping Measures adopted by Respondents during Fuel Crisis according to Associated Variables	.. 196
33 : The Number of Conservation Measures adopted by Respondents during Fuel Crisis according to Value for Economy	.. 203

TABLE NO.	PAGE
34 : The Number of Substitution/Supplementary and Adjustment Measures adopted by Respondents during Fuel Crisis according to Comfort and Convenience and Social Status Values	.. 204
35 : The Number of Coping Measures adopted by Respondents during Petrol Crisis according to Associated Variables	.. 206
36 : The Number of Conservation Measures adopted by Respondents during Petrol Crisis according to Value for Economy	.. 210
37 : The Number of Substitution/Supplementary and Adjustment Measures adopted by Respondents during Petrol Crisis according to Social Status and Comfort and Convenience Values	.. 210
38 : The Number of Coping Measures adopted by Respondents during Electricity Crisis according to Associated Variables	.. 212
39 : The Number of Conservation Measures adopted by Respondents during Electricity Crisis according to Value for Economy	.. 218
40 : The Number of Substitution/Supplementary and Adjustment Measures adopted during Electricity Crisis according to Family Values	220
41 : Percentage of Respondents adopting the Various Coping Measures during Fuel Crisis	222
42 : Percentage of Respondents adopting the Various Coping Measures during Petrol Crisis	231
43 : Percentage of Respondents adopting the Various Coping Measures during Electricity Crisis	.. 236
44 : Percentage of Respondents adopting the Different Coping Measures to meet the increased Cost of Energy Forms	.. 242
45 : Decisions taken by Families to avoid Future Consequences	.. 244

TABLE NO.	PAGE
46 : Chi-square Values Showing the Association Between the Number of Coping Measures adopted and Selected Variables	.. 247
47 : Chi-square Values showing the Association Between the Number of Conservation Measures adopted and Value for Economy	.. 250
48 : Chi-square Values showing the Association Between the Number of Substitution/Supple- mentary and Adjustment Measures adopted and Values for Comfort and Convenience and Social Status	.. 252
49 : Chi-square Values showing the Association Between Perception and Stress Felt with Selected Variables	.. 253
50 : Correlation Coefficient Values showing Relationship Between Variables	.. 255
51 : R Values showing the Relationship Between the Number of Coping Measures adopted during Each of the Energy Crisis Situations and Combined Effects of Perception of Homemakers and Stress Felt	.. 258
52 : Analysis of Variance for Perception of Energy Crisis	.. 260
53 : t-Values showing the Difference Between the Various SES Groups on Perception of Energy Crisis and Stress Felt	.. 261
54 : Analysis of Variance for Stress Felt	.. 262
55 : Analysis of Variance for Number of Coping measures adopted during Fuel Crisis	.. 265
56 : t-Values showing the Difference Between the Various SES Groups on the Number of Coping Measures adopted during Each of the Energy Crisis Situations	.. 266
57 : Analysis of Variance for Number of Coping Measures adopted during Petrol Crisis	.. 267

TABLE NO.		PAGE
58	: Analysis of Variance for Number of Coping Measures adopted during Electricity Crisis	.. 267
59	: t-Values showing the Difference Between the Various SES Groups on the Mean Number of the Different Types of Coping Measures during Each of the Energy Crisis Situations	.. 269

---