CHAPTER VI: LEGAL POLICIES FOR FOOD SCM AND ORGANIZED RETAIL

In the last two decades, Indian economy has undergone fundamental transformation with the rapid socio-economic, geopolitical and even cultural transformation witnessed at several institutional levels of the society. The above development has been stimulated by structural reforms, macroeconomic stabilization and concomitant disciplinary measures, effectively capitalizing on opportunities arising out of globalization, market liberalization and new institutional arrangements (e.g. the World Trade Organization [WTO] and regional treaties).

In the late 1990s, Indian economy suffered an unprecedented economic crisis but many now believe that it has not only survived but has emerged stronger and better equipped to withstand potential upheaval in the future. The Indian agro economy in particular has potential for tremendous achievements in agriculture sector and food security. Crafting legal policies and strategies to achieve sustainable food security will however require recognition that India has great diversity in many aspects, including agricultural trade and food security situations as well as in the structure of the agricultural economies.

Along with other development plans of India the issue of food security is pivotal for the sustainable growth of its economy. Although India has successfully embarked upon its journey of economic reforms over the last two decades, positioning itself as the world’s fourth largest economy by purchasing power parity (Central Intelligence Agency 2010). In the face of rapid transformation, conventional food policies and distribution strategies may be inadequate to address persistent pockets of poverty, income inequity and food insecurity. There is a need for fresh perspective, taking into account domestic changes as well as the regional impact of some countries’ rapid growth.

The above changes have transformed the economic demand for new laws and the demand for legal inputs that will support the creation of value in agricultural economic relationships. Not merely the quantity but the type of legal inputs required by the new economy is significantly different from those required by the old economy. The economic demand for law in the new economy requires support for the
much higher rates at which economic relationships now cross both firm and jurisdictional boundaries, the more rapid depreciation of legal solutions, the increased differentiation of legal problems, the reduced tolerance for legal transaction costs created by high velocity and global competition, and a greater need for integration of business and legal expertise in order to engage in the relatively constant innovative problem-solving that the new economy requires. It is argued that our legal infrastructure, policies and available set of legal resources that can be used for sound governance have not kept pace with transformation in the country’s economy.

With respect to food security and food supply management, despite the impressive gains made in food production and overall development, there are several regions in India which still have the large number of undernourished people in the world. The problem of food insecurity is quite strange and complex too. Although India does not have a deficit in food production, it is a home to one of the largest hunger stricken populations in the world. To compound this paradox, the trade indicators for India show that net exports have exceeded net imports over the last decade. In July, 2002 the Food Corporation of India (FCI) had 63.1 million tons of food grain stocks (Patnaik 2003), which means that total available stock had exceeded the total food requirements by about 20 million tonnes. This exceeded the requirements of food security by around 20 million tones and India has a population which is above 200 million people who are hungry and about 50 million on the edge of starvation (Chakravarty and Dand 2005). The problem of food supply has further indicated that between 1998 and 2006 there was hardly any change in 47 per cent of children (0-3years’ old) being underweight (Sinha, 2009).

Even major net food exporter countries suffer from food insecurity in many places. Other countries are net food importers (although relatively food secure), food-insecure countries (that do not trade in food), food-insecure importers, self-sufficient countries (that are relatively food secure) and food-secure exporters.

This chapter has examined the role of legal structure for commodity buyers, food processors and retailers in the realization of the right to food. These actors play a key role, as they connect producers to consumers, and as they transform raw commodities into edible food. But the vast majority of those who are hungry in the world today are part of the food system which is small and independent food producers or waged agricultural workers working on farms in the formal or informal
sector represent over half of the billion population of the world. Substantially out of
them are malnourished or as it is called are below poverty line (BPL) population in
India. The current study therefore has tried to address the question how the sourcing,
pricing, and wages policies of commodity buyers, food processors and retailers
impact the food availability and right to food to Indian population as envisaged in the
Constitutions of India. The study also seeks to contribute to a better understanding of
the whole system run by growers, intermediaries, facilitators and agribusiness
corporations and monitored and regulated by the States and its agencies. All the
players must understand their respective responsibilities and obligations under
national and international law.

6.1 Policy for Inclusive Food and Agriculture Value Chain

Multi sector food security engagement can be significantly enhanced by
propounding the policy of synergy and value addition in the backward and forward
linkages along the food and agriculture value chain, with particular attention to small
farmers and other vulnerable groups. Such strategic interventions will help develop
inclusive food and agriculture value chains that the operational plan espouses as a
pathway to transforming India’s rural and agriculture sector, thereby achieving
sustainable food security for huge population which remains disintegrated from the
main state policy of welfare. Sound value chains policy espoused by state will allow
efficient integration of food and agriculture production, with processing and
marketing at the national and state and sub regional levels. With special emphasis on
the poor and vulnerable groups that remain excluded from greater participation in
economic activities, the food value chains will also allow small producers adequate
and fair access to inputs, markets, technologies, and information, and provide diverse
incomes and job opportunities. Development of efficient and inclusive value chains
will also enhance urban–rural linkage, allowing supply of safe and affordable food to
increasing numbers of poor urban people.

A food system in India is currently undergoing deep transformation. The
renewed interest in agriculture, both from the public and the private sector, led to
Foreign Direct Investment in agriculture rising from an average of US$ 600 million
The increase in Direct Investment is part of a larger transformation of the global supply chain in the agro food sector (World Development 2009).

Commodity buyers (wholesalers) are larger and more concentrated than previously, and they seek to respond to the requirements of their food industry clients by increased vertical coordination, tightening their control over suppliers. The processing industry is rapidly consolidating, after an initial period during the 1980s and early 1990s during which the Para-State large-scale processors were dismantled. This sector is increasingly globalized and dominated by large transnational corporations. Global retailers and fast-food chains are expanding to reach China, India, Russia, Viet Nam, and covering the southern and eastern Africa, and diversifying from processed foods to semi-processed foods and, increasingly, fresh produce.

6.2 Right to Food and Food SCM

The human right to food is established in many international treaties and other instruments, including the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948), the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), (1966) and the Convention on the Rights of the Child (1989). Thus the rights-based approach to food security has a further legal dimension in that the governments have a legal obligation progressively to enable all individuals within their borders not merely to be free from hunger but to produce or procure, in ways that are fully consistent with their human dignity, food that is adequate for an active and healthy life. Realizing the right, it requires “the availability of food in a quantity and quality sufficient to satisfy the dietary needs of individuals, free from adverse substances, and acceptable within a given culture; the accessibility of such food in ways that are sustainable and that do not interfere with the enjoyment of other human rights” (ICESCR, 1999).

Food security exists when all people, at all times, have physical, social, and economic access to sufficient safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life (FAO 1996). Ensuring food security — the availability of basic staples at affordable prices — for a large and growing population has been one of India’s biggest economic and political challenges. Since 1960s, food policies have sought to balance producer and consumer welfare by focusing on increasing wheat and rice production, support pricing, maintaining buffer stocks, and broadly distributing subsidized grain to consumers. With improved food
grain supplies, the focus is now turning to reducing the high cost of public food grain management and improving the safety net for the poor. In addition, significant new challenges have emerged as rising incomes shift demand patterns in favour of high value foods such as fruits, vegetables, milk, meat, and eggs and getting away from cereals and other staple dietary patterns of food.

Diversification of agricultural production and marketing of food products now offers the primary opportunity to strengthen lagging growth in farm output and rural employment. However, achieving diversified growth with equity also requires new measures to increase investment and provide the market institutions needed to develop India’s inefficient food processing and marketing sectors, and to ensure that the transformation to higher-value agriculture is inclusive of India’s large number of marginal and small farmers.

By far the most striking transformation occurring in Indian agriculture is the shift from a food grain oriented supply led framework which is dominated by the public sector, to a more diversified and demand driven framework with an expanding role for the private sector. Against this backdrop, the current study has examined the emerging dynamics and challenges in India’s agricultural sector, including managing improvements in food access for the poor, facilitating a private sector led transformation to more efficient agricultural markets, and effectively linking small farmers to these increasingly diverse markets.

The sharp fall in the total investment, more so in the public sector investment in agriculture has been the main cause for the deceleration of agricultural growth and development (Kumar 2001). Despite marked improvement in food grain production since the 1960s, when India was heavily dependent on food aid, ensuring adequate domestic supplies and stable prices remain top priorities for Indian policymakers. Although India still periodically imports wheat, it is now a net food grain exporter that is typically among the top three world rice exporters and intermittently a significant exporter of wheat and coarse grain. India’s improved domestic food grain supply situation is reinforced by a dramatic rise in foreign exchange reserves stemming from the increased competitiveness of its nonfarm exports since the early 1990s. In contrast to 1960s and 1970s when cereal import costs exceeded foreign exchange reserves (Ganesh-Kumar, Gulati, and Cummings, 2007), the recent (April
reserves of about $250 billion far exceed the cost of any plausible grain import requirement without disrupting other trade and capital account transactions.

Despite the improvements in domestic supplies and commercial import capacity, events such as the 6.3 million tons of wheat imports required in 2006/07 and the global food price spike of 2007/08 continue to spark political pressures to continue to give food grain production top priority. The 2007/08 global price spike triggered a ban on rice exports to insulate domestic consumers from world prices, but added fuel to the rise in global rice prices. With the recent drop in prices for rice and other commodities, India is likely to resume rice exports.

### 6.3 Food Grain Management

An important take-away from the above discussion is that the problem is not food production, but food availability. While India has been food secure at the national level (Jha and Srinivasan 2004). The efficient management of public procurement, handling, and storage of food grains by the Food Corporation of India (FCI), and effective targeting of consumer subsidies on low-income groups are continuing challenges in the food grain economy. Changes in weather and price policy have driven large swings in public procurement and stocks of wheat and rice since the early 1990s. Sharp hikes in minimum support prices led to the accumulation of large surpluses far in excess of targeted food security needs during the early 2000s and now again in 2009/10 to be worked off through domestic and export subsidies, as well as storage losses. The real cost of public food grain management is growing about 9% annually, and now far exceeds annual public investment in agriculture (Landes, 2008).

Even with a vastly improved availability of food staples and burgeoning outlays on consumer subsidies, effectively targeting food subsidies on India’s large population of rural and urban poor remains a challenge. India’s national subsidized food distribution program was renamed as Targeted Public Distribution System (TPDS) in 1997/98, with a sharpened focus on targeting people living below the poverty line. Although subsidies have been increased for the poorest consumers, the TPDS is criticized for pilferage, poor delivery of services, and failure to make an
effective dent in hunger, particularly in states where the concentration of poverty is the highest. The National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS), a large program introduced in 2006, aims at enhancing livelihood security and household purchasing capacity by ensuring at least 100 days of wage work annually in rural areas. Local governance issues remain critical to the success of the NREGS and other efforts to target transfers to poor households.

6.4 Accelerating Private Investment

Accelerating private investment in marketing and processing agribusiness requires overcoming a historically risky central and state government policy climate that heavily regulates movement, storage, and marketing of farm products, as well as poor power and transport infrastructure (Landes, 2008). Recent domestic and foreign private investment activity in marketing and processing, in part associated with regulatory reform by some states, may signal the emergence of a more dynamic agribusiness sector to support agricultural diversification. The rapid expansion of India’s poultry industry since the mid-1990s, driven by private investment in integrated operations that have significantly reduced the cost of producing and marketing poultry, is an example of the potential for private sector led growth (Landes, Persaud, and Dyck, 2004). Another development is the expansion of private investment in milk processing and marketing after 2002, when regulatory reforms allowed private firms to compete with the traditional dominance of dairy cooperatives. The market share of private players in the dairy sector is expected to have overtaken the cooperatives by 2011. (Gupta, 2007)

Indian farm output has been diversifying away from cereals and towards high value crop and livestock products since the early 1990s. The share of cereals in the total value of farm output has steadily declined, while growth in high-value products, including fruits and vegetables, sugar and fiber crops, milk, meat, and eggs, has significantly outpaced that of cereals. In recent years, India has emerged as the world’s largest producer of milk, the second largest producer of fruit and vegetables, and among the top producers of poultry meat and eggs. Increasingly, it is not just food grains that drive the agricultural sector and farm incomes, but growth in a broadening range of high value products.
In contrast to cereals, where policy intervention has been extensive, the expansion of high-value crop and livestock agriculture has been led primarily by growth in consumer demand and changing preferences associated with rising incomes, urbanization, and youthful demographics. Although India's climate, soil, and water resources provide the potential to diversify output, agricultural markets, market institutions, and processing industries needed to support diversification remain severely underdeveloped because of weak public and private investment. The agricultural marketing and processing sectors are characterized by a large number of small-scale, nonintegrated, and inefficient enterprises, and relatively few large vertically or horizontally integrated firms.

Since 2000, there has also been rapid growth in investment in modern food wholesaling and retailing by both domestic and foreign players. Food marketing in India has traditionally been dominated by small-scale independent wholesalers and retailers with little backward integration to farmers. Although the modern retailers still account for only about 1.5% of total food sales, and “back end” investment in supply chains remains limited, the expansion of modern retailing has the potential to spark investment in marketing efficiency and processing that yields benefits to both producers and consumers.

**6.5 Challenge of Inclusive Agricultural Growth**

The progress achieved in food security and agricultural diversification is promising for Indian's agriculture segment, but perhaps the key challenge in achieving welfare gains lies in ensuring agricultural growth that is inclusive of small holders. It has been well documented that agricultural diversification generates greater employment opportunities, particularly for women, and higher incomes for farm households (Joshi, Birthal, and Minot, 2006). The area shift from cereals to vegetables, in particular, has enhanced employment opportunities in rural areas (Joshi, et al., 2005). However, the combination of a large number of small farmers, poor rural infrastructure, and fragmented and underdeveloped markets complicate establishment of efficient and equitable links between farmers and the diverse, emerging domestic markets.
Marginal and small farmers, whose average operational landholding declined from 2.2 hectares in 1970 to 1.06 hectares in 2003, continue to dominate India’s large agrarian economy. Nearly 88% of holdings are less than two hectares, with these holdings accounting for about 44% of the operated area. Fragmentation of operational holdings has expanded the bottom of the agrarian pyramid in all but a few Indian states. Small farms have proved to be more productive than large farms — they contribute about 51% of the value of farm output — owing to their intensive cultivation practices and abundance of family labor. In the case of fresh fruit and vegetables, survey results show that 52% of fruit area and 61% of vegetable area is cultivated by smallholders (Birthal, et al., 2006).

But, significant progress needs to be made in developing efficient and equitable markets for large number of small surpluses of perishable goods, and in managing the limited risk bearing capacity of small farmers. India’s agricultural markets are crowded with middlemen and commission agents who receive high fee and margins that eat into the farmer’s incomes (Mattoo, Mishra, and Narain, 2007). Part of the problem lies in lack of adequate storage and transport infrastructure and integration between growers and markets which result in large postharvest losses. Because marketing regulations have historically prevented direct links between farmers and agribusinesses, a great deal needs to be done to build integrated processing and marketing firms, and to develop contract farming models and other market institutions to link small producers with markets.

Small farmers primarily engaged in the traditional grain cultivation also typically lack incentives, capital, and expertise to venture into high value markets, and have limited ability to cope with the risks that may be associated with new enterprises. Although the potential gains from diversification are higher than for producing grains alone, measures are needed to mitigate potential price, production, and marketing risks.

Significant progress needs to be made in developing efficient and equitable legal system for markets for large number of small surpluses of perishable goods, and in managing the limited risk bearing capacity of small farmers.
6.6 Policy Challenges for food SCM

Indian agricultural policy must balance a changing food security landscape with the emerging need to diversify farms and markets towards high value commodities. On the food grain front, where the focus has been on increasing productivity, the current challenges are to reduce the cost and inefficiency of public food grain operations by the FCI, and to ensure an effective food safety net for low-income households. A key policy option is to shift responsibility for procuring, handling, and transporting operational supplies of wheat and rice to the private sector and confine the role of the FCI to holding buffer stocks (Srinivasan, Jha, and Landes, 2007). To improve the food safety net, current options include expansion of targeted rural employment and food distribution schemes such as the NREGS and school feeding programs, and the introduction of bio-metric identity cards to improve targeting the poor.

Realizing the benefits from agricultural diversification implies significant challenges for agricultural price policy. The current price policy of favoring rice and wheat cultivation with support prices set on a cost-plus basis has become a politically important source of income support in grain surplus areas, but undercuts incentives to diversify even when diversified enterprises potentially yield more income per hectare. Lower relative support levels for food grains are necessary in order to allow market forces to play a larger role in resource allocation, but it is unclear how politically feasible this will be or if suitable compensating measures can be identified. The most-discussed option has been to reduce the minimum support prices for grain, while purchasing all operational and buffer stocks required for subsidized distribution programs at prevailing market prices.

In contrast to the dominant role played by the public sector in the development of India’s food grain sector, the process of diversification into high-value agriculture will largely depend on participation and investment by the private sector. The policy challenge is to shift from the role of dominant market player, to that of facilitator of private investment and efficient private markets. The pace of diversification is likely to hinge on an improved climate for private investment in agribusiness and infrastructure, including continued market-oriented reform of central and state level
regulations that impede the emergence of modern, integrated marketing and processing firms.

Meeting the challenge of fostering inclusive growth appears to be tied closely to easing restrictions on private sector participation in agricultural markets. Policymakers are increasingly focused on reforms that can help develop firm-farm linkages, including contract farming, cooperatives, and grower organizations. These activities have been restricted by state marketing regulations now being amended in some states to permit backward integration to the farm level by private agribusinesses. India’s poorly developed land rental markets are also a potential obstacle to firms and farms coming together to do business. Current laws do not adequately protect either party in land rental agreements, creating risks that minimize formation of larger operational holdings that might be more conducive to improving farm-firm linkages and on-farm investment. However, the politically sensitive legal reforms and costly improvements in land records needed to develop a more viable land rental market appear unlikely in the foreseeable future.

6.7 Contract Farming and Food SCM

Contract Farming is an agreement between the food processor, who is typically a large organized player and the farmer, whereby the farmer is contracted to plant the contractor’s crop on his land. He also agrees to harvest and deliver to the contractor a quantum of produce, based upon anticipated yield and contracted acreage at a pre-agreed price. The food processor provides inputs in terms of technology and training to the farmer, to improve the yield and quality of the produce. This results in a win-win situation that generates a steady source of income for the farmer and eliminates supply shocks and assures good quality farm inputs which are crucial for the processor. The Government of India has actively been encouraging contract farming endeavours. The National Agricultural Policy envisages that private sector participation will facilitate Food Retailing Ancillaries like Packaging Food Processors Aggregators and Logistics. In farm inputs and Contract Farming the farmer is contracted to farm on his land and the produce of an agreed yield and quality is bought by the processor at an agreed price.
6.8 Successful Contract Farming in India

A good example in this area has been Pepsi Foods’ experience with contract farming for its tomato processing plant at Hoshiarpur in Punjab. Through transfer of technology and providing good quality seeds and inputs to farmers, Pepsi was able to substantially increase both quality and quantity of tomato production in the area, so as to meet the demands of its plant. A key aspect of Pepsi’s approach was its partnership with local bodies such as the Punjab Agricultural University and Punjab Agro Industries Corporation Limited, which went a long way in getting the farmers’ buy-in and ensuring success of the venture.

6.9 Terminal Markets

A Terminal market is a central site, often in a metropolitan area, that serves as an assembly and trading place for agricultural commodities. In this there are different options for disposing off the produce. It can either be sold to the end consumer, or to the processor, or packed for export, or even stored for disposal at a future date. It thus offers different options to farmers under a single roof. Typically, terminal markets operate on a hub and spoke model where the markets form the hubs, and are linked to different collection centres (spokes) that are located close to the production centre. In Terminal Markets it is joint participation through which an investment in a one-stop modern market offering grading, sorting, electronic auctioning, quality testing, cold storage and banking facilities encouraged through contract farming and land leasing arrangements to allow accelerated technology transfer, capital inflow and assured market for crop production takes place.

The Government of India is looking to promote terminal markets, as a means of integrating domestic produce with retail chains. There are plans to set up such markets in eight cities across five states, at a cost of US$ 131 million. The cities being considered are Mumbai, Nashik, Nagpur, Chandigarh, Patna, Bhopal and Kolkata with inbuilt facilities for transport (including cold chain), settlement of payments, banking/finance, sales, e-auctions, storage, trader/processor/ warehouse, retailer/exports, cold storage, ripening chamber, etc.
6.9.1 Infrastructure

Grading, washing, packing lines, quality testing, palletisation, material handling, sorting, weighing, transport, plastic crates, parking for transporters etc. are the measures adopted for improving food supply.

6.9.2 Collection Centre

Collection and aggregation of produce, advisory on inputs, prices, etc. and settlement of payment are some measures developed for efficient food supply chain in the terminal markets.

6.10 Conclusions

The UN Report (Special Rapporteur on the right to food, Prof. Olivier De Schutter, presents on March 5th, 2010 in Geneva) on ‘Agribusiness and the right to food’states:"Issues related to global food chains and the role of private agribusiness actors in global food security have insufficiently been addressed since the 2008 global food crisis”. The report examines the role of commodity buyers, food processors and retailers in the realization of the right to food.

The above mentioned UN Report makes the following recommendations:
The States should improve the protection of agricultural workers by:
(a) ratifying all ILO Conventions relevant for the agro food sector, including Convention No. 99 (1851) on Minimum Wage Fixing Machinery in Agriculture; Convention No. 129 (1969) on Labour Inspection in Agriculture; Convention 184 (2001) on Safety and Health in Agriculture; Convention No. 110 (1958) on Conditions of Employment of Plantation Workers; and Convention 141 (1975) on Organizations of Rural Workers and their Role in Economic and Social Development; and
(b) ensuring that their legislation sets a minimum wage corresponding at least to a “living wage” as required by international human rights standards and defined according to the criteria identified in this report;
(c) Monitor compliance with labour legislation by
   (i) devoting appropriate resources for an effective functioning of labour inspectorates in agriculture, in order to genuinely meet the requirements of the ILO Labour Inspection Convention; and
(ii) taking the requisite measures, legislative or otherwise, to reduce to the fullest extent possible the number of workers outside the formal economy to ensure that agricultural workers are progressively protected by the same social security schemes applicable to other industries.

Among others, the reform should include the establishment of a scheme making the registration of agricultural workers compulsory and to combat the circumvention of legal requirements by outsourcing, at a minimum by the compulsory registration of labour providers; proactively engage in public policies aimed at expanding the choices of smallholders to sell their products on local or global markets at a decent price by:

(i) Strengthening local and national markets and supporting continued diversification of channels of trading and distribution;

(ii) Supporting the establishment of farmers' cooperatives and other producer organizations;

(iii) Establishing or defending flexible and efficient producer marketing boards under government authority but with the strong participation of producers in their governance;

(iv) Using their public procurement systems to support small farmers; and

(v) Promoting and scaling up fair trade systems;

(vi) Reinforce the bargaining power of smallholders and equalize their relationships with the agribusiness sector by:

(a) Prohibiting practices that constitute an undue exercise of buyer power; and

(b) Combating excessive concentration in the food chain, or abuses of dominant positions acquired by certain actors.

This requires having in place competition regimes sensitive to excessive buyer power in the agro food sector, and devising competition authorities with mechanisms that allow for affected suppliers to bring complaints without fear of reprisal by dominant buyers;

The public authorities should re-engage in public regulation of global food chains by:

(i) Guaranteeing that the standards developed by the private sector do not have unintended negative side effects on the realization of the right to food;
(ii) Considering the potential of, and strengthening, alternative decentralized certifying schemes, such as those qualifying products relative to specific cultural/geographical regions;

(iii) Engaging more broadly in the development of standards through international cooperation.

Private actors of the agribusiness sector should refrain from practices that constitute an undue exercise of buyer power, as identified by the states in which they operate in their relationships with workers, they should use their influence on suppliers to ensure that wages and working conditions improve, rather than degrade, as a result of their suppliers joining global value chains, by seeking to conclude international framework agreements with global unions, guided by the principles stated above by considering unilateral undertakings to monitor compliance with ILO standards in the supply chain, while supporting their suppliers in achieving compliance; and engaging in chain-wide learning to assure that participation in the chain is profitable for all involved, including small-scale producers. In their relationships with smallholders the agro firm should involve smallholders in the elaboration of and compliance with food safety, labour or environmental standards and facilitate their access to global supply chains; and at a minimum, should ensure that the imposition of private standards do not exclude smallholders from certified food chains (by assessing the impact of private standards on the right to food). Further they should negotiate contract farming arrangements that respect the right to food of smallholders and the criteria of social justice outlined earlier. The firms must promote fair trade through increased shelf space and by running information campaigns highlighting the unique importance and contribution of fair trade.
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