

CHAPTER-II

ON NON UNIQUE FIXED POINTS IN L-SPACE

(2.1) Kasahara[96] has introduced L-space, then Yeh [97] has given few fixed point theorems in L-space. It seems that the notion of metric is not necessary in the Banach contraction theorem [98] and some of its generalization.

Denote by N the set of all non-negative integers. A pair (X, \rightarrow) of a set X and a subset \rightarrow of the set $X^N \times X$ is called an L-space if the following conditions are satisfied:

(2.1.1) If $x_n = x$ in X for all n in N , then $(\{x_n\}_{n \in N}, x) \in \rightarrow$

(2.1.2) If $(\{x_n\}_{n \in N}, x) \in \rightarrow$, then $(\{x_{n_i}\}_{i \in N}, x) \in \rightarrow$,

for every subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i \in N}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$,

In what follows, we shall write $\{x_n\}_{n \in N} \rightarrow x$ or

$x_n \rightarrow x$ instead of $(\{x_n\}_{n \in N}, x) \in \rightarrow$ and read $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$ convergers to x .

[96]	Kasahara, S.	(49)
[97]	Yeh, C.C.	(118)
[98]	Banach, S.	(2)

Let (X, \rightarrow) be an L-space. It is said to be separated.

If each sequence in X converges to at most one point of X . A mapping f of X into an L-space (X', \rightarrow') is said to be continuous if $x_n \rightarrow x$ implies $f(x_{n_i}) \rightarrow' f(x)$ for some subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. By the product space of (X, \rightarrow) and (X', \rightarrow') we mean the L-space $(X \times X', \rightarrow'')$ where \rightarrow'' is defined as $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow'' (x, y)$ if and only if $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$. Let d be a non-negative extended real valued function on $X \times X$ such that

$0 < d(x, y) < \infty$ for all $x, y \in X$. The L-space (X, \rightarrow) is said to be d -complete if each sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) < \infty$ converges to at most one point of X .

We know [Kasahara, same]

Lemma K. Let (X, \rightarrow) be an L-space which is d -complete for a non negative extended real valued function d on $X \times X$. If (X, \rightarrow) is separated, Then $d(x, y) =$

$d(y, x) = 0$ implies $x = y$ for every x, y in X .

Ciric [99] has obtained the following result regarding the existence of non unique fixed points for orbitally continuous self mapping on a orbitally complete metric space.

He proved the following:

Theorem C. Let (M, d) be orbitally complete metric space and T be a orbitally continuous self mapping of X , satisfying

$$(2.1.3) \quad \min \{d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} \\ - \min \{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} \leq q d(x, y)$$

for all x, y in M and some $q \in (0, 1)$. Then for each x in M the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Taskovic [100] proved some fixed point theorem when the mapping T of a metric space (X, d) satisfies.

$$(2.1.4) \quad a_1 d(Tx, Ty) + a_2 d(x, Tx) + a_3 d(y, Ty) \\ + q \min \{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} \leq q d(x, y)$$

for each x, y in X where a_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and q are real numbers, $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > P$ and $P - a_2 \geq 0$

2.2 In what follows we shall first prove:

Theorem 1. Let (M, \rightarrow) be a separated L -space which is d -complete for a non negative real valued function d on $M \times M$ with $d(x, x) = 0$ for each x in M and T be continuous self-mapping satisfying,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2.1) \quad & \min \{d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} \\
& + a \min \{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} \\
& < a_1 d(x, Tx) + a_2 d(y, Ty) + a_3 d(x, Ty) \\
& + a_4 d(y, Tx) + a_5 d(x, T^2x) + a_6 d(y, T^2x) \\
& + a_7 d(Tx, T^2x) + a_8 d(Ty, T^2x) + a_9 d(x, y)
\end{aligned}$$

for all x, y in M and a and a_i are real numbers such that

$$(2.2.2) \quad 0 < a_i < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_1^9 a_i < 1.$$

$$(2.2.3) \quad a_1 + a_2 + 2a_3 + 2a_5 + a_6 + a_7 + a_9 < 1$$

$$(2.2.4) \quad \text{there exists } b \in M \text{ such that } d(b, Tb) < q, \\ d(x, y) < q, \quad 0 < q <$$

Then T has a fixed point and the sequence $\{T^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a fixed point.

Proof. Let x in M be arbitrary, then we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$(2.2.5) \quad x_0 = x, x_1 = Tx_0, x_2 = Tx_1, \dots, x_n = Tx_{n-1}$$

If for some n in \mathbb{N} , $x_n = x_{n+1}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and the limits of $\{x_n\}$ is a fixed point of T . Suppose that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$, for each $n \neq 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Then for $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$, by (2.2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min \{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\
& + a \min \{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_n)\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq a_1 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + a_2 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \\
&+ a_3 d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + a_4 d(x_n, x_n) \\
&+ a_5 d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + a_6 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
&+ a_7 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_8 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\
&+ a_9 d(x_{n-1}, x_n)
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\text{Min} \{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_5 + a_9) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
&+ (a_2 + a_3 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7) d(x_n, x_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or } d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{a_1 + a_3 + a_5 + a_9}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_5 - a_6 - a_7} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

Thus we have by induction

(2.2.6)

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{a_1 + a_3 + a_5 + a_9}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_5 - a_6 - a_7} \right)^n d(b, Tb)$$

for every n in \mathbb{N} and so we have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \infty$

Hence the d -completeness of M implies that the sequence $\{T^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some u in M . So by the continuity of T .

There is a subsequence $\{T^{n_i} b\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{T^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $T(T^{n_i} b) \rightarrow Tu$.

But then since $\{T(T^{n_i} b)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $\{T^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we have

$$T (T^{n_i} b) \rightarrow u$$

Therefore $Tu = u$. This completes our proof. Now we shall prove:

Theorem 2. Let (M, \rightarrow) be a L -space which is d -complete for a continuous non-negative extended real function d on $M \times M$ such that.

$$(2.2.7) \quad d(x, y) = 0 \text{ implies } x = y,$$

$$(2.2.8) \quad d(x, x) = 0 \text{ for each } x \text{ in } M.$$

If T is continuous self mapping of M satisfying (2.2.1), (2.2.2) and (2.2.3), (2.2.4) then T has a fixed point.

Proof: As in the proof of theorem 1, we can prove that (2.2.6) holds and the sequence $\{T^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some u in M and that $T(T^{n(k)} b) \rightarrow Tu$ for some subsequence $\{T^{n(k)} b\}$ of the sequence $\{T^n b\}$.

Therefore the continuity of T implies

$$d(T(T^{n(k_i)} b)) \rightarrow d(Tu, u)$$

for some subsequence $\{T^{n(k_i)} b\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{T^{n(k)} b\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$

Hence by theorem 1,

$$d(T(T^{n(k_i)} b), T^{n(k_i)} b) \rightarrow 0$$

Hence $d(u, Tu) = 0$ and Thus $Tu = u$.

2.3 Further we shall prove.

Theorem 3:

Let (M, \rightarrow) be a separated L-space which is d -complete for a non-negative real valued function d on MXM with $d(x, x) = 0$ for each x in M and T be continuous selfmapping satisfying:

(2.3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \{ (d(Tx, Ty))^2, d(x, y) d(Tx, Ty), \\ & (d(y, Ty))^2 \} \\ & + a \min \{ d(x, Tx) d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty) \\ & d(y, Tx) \} \\ & < a_1 d(x, Tx) d(y, Ty) + a_2 d(x, Ty) d(y, Tx) \\ & + a_3 d(y, T^2x) d(x, y) + a_4 d(x, T^2x) \\ & d(Ty, T^2x) + a_5 d(Tx, T^2x) d(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all x, y in M and a and a_i are real numbers such that

$$(2.3.2) \quad 0 < \sum_1^5 a_i < 1$$

and

(2.2.4) holds, then T has a fixed point and the sequence $\{T^n, b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a fixed point.

Proof:

Let x in M be arbitrary, we define a sequence

$$x_0 = x, x_1 = Tx_0, x_2 = Tx_1 \text{ ---- } x_n = Tx_{n-1}$$

Now if for some n in \mathbb{N} , $x_n = x_{n+1}$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence and the limit of $\{x_n\}$ is a fixed point of T . Suppose that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \text{-----}$

Now from (2.3.1) , for $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \{d(x_n, x_{n+1})^2, d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \\ & \quad (d(x_n, x_{n+1}))^2\} \\ & + a \min \{d(x_{n+1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \\ & \quad d(x_n, x_n)\} \\ & \leq a_1 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ & + a_2 d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) d(x_n, x_n) \\ & + a_3 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ & + a_4 d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\ & + a_5 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ & \text{i.e. } \min \{(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))^2, d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ & \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_5) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1})$

$$\leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_5) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

is impossible as $a_1 + a_3 + a_5 < 1$

Thus

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_5) d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

by induction

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_5)^n d(b, T_b)$$

for every n in N and so we have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \infty$. The

rest of the proof follows from theorem 1.

2.4 Still further we shall prove:

Theorem 4. let (M, \rightarrow) be a separated L - space which is d -complete for a non-negative real valued function d on MXM with $d(x, x) = 0$ for each x in M and T be continuous selfmapping satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4.1) \quad & \min \{ (d(Tx, Ty))^3, d(x, y) d(x, Tx) \\
 & d(y, Ty), (d(y, Ty))^3 \} \\
 & + \min \{ (d(x, Ty))^3, (d(y, Tx))^3 \} \\
 & \leq \alpha d(x, y) d(x, Tx) d(y, Ty) \\
 & + \beta d(x, y) d(x, Tx) d(y, T^2 x) \\
 & + \gamma d(x, y) d(x, Tx) d(Tx, T^2 x) \\
 & + \delta d(x, y) d(x, Tx) d(Ty, T^2 x)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all x, y in M and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ and (2.2.4) holds.

Then T has a fixed point and the sequence $\{T^n b\}_{n \in N}$ converges to a fixed point.

Proof: Let x in M be arbitrary, then we define a sequence

$\{x_n\}$ by

$$(2.4.2) \quad x_0 = x, x_1 = Tx_0, x_2 = Tx_1, \dots, x_n = Tx_{n-1}.$$

If for some n , $x_n = x_{n+1}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence and the limit of $\{x_n\}$ is a fixed point of T . Suppose that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

By using the inequality (2.4.1) for $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \{ (d(x_n, x_{n+1}))^3, d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ & \quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}), (d(x_n, x_{n+1}))^3 \} \\ & + \min \{ (d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}))^3, (d(x_n, x_n))^3 \} \\ & \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ & \quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e.} \quad & \min \{ (d(x_n, x_{n+1}))^3, (d(x_{n-1}, x_n))^2 \\ & \quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \} \\ & \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \{ (d(x_{n-1}, x_n))^2 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & (d(x_{n-1}, x_n))^2 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ & \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) (d(x_{n-1}, x_n))^2 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

is impossible and we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

Proceeding in this manner.

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)^{n/2} d(b, Tb)$$

for every n in N and so we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \infty.$$

Hence the d -completeness of M implies that the sequence $\{T^n b\}_{n \in N}$ converges to some u in M . The rest of the proof follows from theorem 1.

2.5 In this section we state and prove theorem on the common fixed points of self mappings of a d -complete L -space.

Theorem 5

Let f and g be continuous mapping of a d -complete L -space X into itself and $d(x, x) = 0$ also $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for every $x, y \in X$. If f and g satisfies-

$$(2.5.1) \quad \min \{ [d(fx, gy)]^3, d(x, y) [d(x, fx) d(y, gy)] [d(y, gy)]^3 \} \\ - \min \{ [d(x, gy)]^3, [d(y, fx)]^3 \} \\ \leq \alpha d(x, y) d(x, fx) d(y, gy)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then f and g have a common fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary, we define a sequence of elements $\{x_n\}$ in X as follows

$$x_{2n+1} = f(x_{2n})$$

$$x_{2n+2} = g(x_{2n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Where all x_n are distinct, then from (2.5.1) we write.

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \{ [d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})]^3, d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) [d(x_{2n}, fx_{2n}) \\ & \quad d(x_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})], [d(x_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})]^3 \} \\ & - \min \{ [d(x_{2n}, gx_{2n+1})]^3, [d(x_{2n+1}, fx_{2n})]^3 \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \alpha d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n}, fx_{2n}) d(x_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1})$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \{ [d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})]^3, d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ & \quad d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})], [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})]^3 \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \alpha d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})$$

Since $\alpha < 1$,

$$[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]^2 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})$$

$$\leq \alpha d [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})]^2 d [x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}]$$

is impossible, thus we have

(2.5.2)

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \sqrt{\alpha} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$$

Similarly we have

$$(2.5.3) \quad d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \sqrt{\alpha} d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})$$

From (2.5.2) and (2.5.3) we have,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha^{n/2} d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\text{Hence } \sum d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \infty$$

By the d -completeness of X , $\{x_n\}$ converges to some point of X i.e. $\lim x_n = u$. Since X is an L -space, a subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ also converges to u .

By the continuity of f , we have $\lim f(x_{2n}) = f(u)$.

Again $\{f(x_{2n})\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$,

$$\text{Hence } \lim f(x_{2n}) = u$$

By the uniqueness of limit, we have $f(u) = u$,

Similarly $g(u) = u$

Therefore u is a fixed point of f and g .

Now we shall prove fixed point theorem in L -space involving four points of the space. In fact we prove.

Theorem 6:

Let f be continuous mapping of a d -complete L -space X into itself and $d(x, x) = 0$ also $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for every $x, y \in X$. If f satisfies

(2.5.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_1 d(fu_1, fu_2) d(fu_3, fu_4) \\
& + a_2 d(u_1, u_2) d(fu_1, fu_2) \\
& + a_3 [d(u_1, fu_3)]^2 \\
& + \alpha \min \{d(u_1, fu_3) d(u_2, fu_4), \\
& \quad d(u_1, fu_4) d(u_2, fu_3)\} \\
& \leq \beta d(u_1, fu_3) d(u_2, fu_4)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in X$, a_i ($i=1,2,3$); α and β are real numbers such that

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 > \beta, \quad \beta - a_3 \geq 0, \quad \beta \in (0, 1)$$

Then f has a fixed point.

Proof: Let $x, y \in X$. Define $u_1 = fy$, $u_2 = fx$, $u_3 = x$, $u_4 = y$ then from (2.5.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_1 d(f^2y, f^2x) d(fx, fy) + a_2 d(fy, fx) d(f^2y, f^2x) \\
& + a_3 [d(fy, fx)]^2 \\
& + \alpha \min \{d(fy, fx) d(fx, fy), d(fy, fy) d(fx, fx)\} \\
& \leq \beta d(fy, fx) d(fx, fy)
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5.5) \quad & a_1 d(f^2x, f^2y) d(fx, fy) + a_2 d(fy, fx) d(f^2y, f^2x) \\
& + a_3 [d(fx, fy)]^2 \leq \beta d(fy, fx) d(fx, fy)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary, we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by (2.5.6) $x_0 = x$, $x_1 = fx_0$, $x_2 = fx_1$, ..., $x_n = fx_{n-1}$

If for some n , $x_n = x_{n+1}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence and the limit of $\{x_n\}$ is a fixed point of f . Suppose $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n_0 = 0, 1, 2$, by (2.5.5) for $x = x_{n-1}$, $y = x_n$, we have

$$a_1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq a_1 d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + a_2 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a_3 [d(x_n, x_{n-1})]^2$$

$$\leq \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$\text{i.e. } d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\beta - a_3}{a_1 + a_2} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

Proceeding in this manner, we get

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{\beta - a_3}{a_1 + a_2} \right)^n d(x, fx)$$

Hence $\sum d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \infty$. By d -completeness of X , $\{x_n\}$ converges to some point of X , i.e. $\lim x_n = u$. Since X is an L -space, a subsequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ also converges to u . By the continuity of f , we have

$$f(x_{2n}) = f(u),$$

Again $\{f(x_{2n})\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$

Hence $\lim f(x_{2n}) = u$. By the uniqueness of limit we have $f(u) = u$.

This complete the proof.

Remarks:

(1) Theorem 1 generalizes the result of Taskovic (which is for metric space) to L-space and we have used nine mappings in place of five mappings used by Taskovic. Theorem 3 also generalize the result of Pachpatte (metric-space) to L-space and also using nine mapping in place of five used by Pachpatte[101]. Theorem 4 is more general result proved up till now, where product of three functions is used for the first time in L-space. In theorem 5 we have used common fixed point for mapping of type used in theorem. In theorem 6, we extend the result of Pachpatte for four points in L-space.

*