

CHAPTER-V

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR TWO SYSTEMS OF
TRANSFORMATIONS IN 2-METRIC SPACE

5.1 The idea of 2-metric space have been investigated by Gahler and he introduced the concept of 2-metric space in a series of his papers [119, 120, 121] and also White [122] has developed the same idea further. Abstract properties of 2-metric space were suggested by the area function for a triangle determined by a triplet in Euclidean space, associated with a given 2-metric was a natural topology.

We need the definitions:

Definition 1. A 2- metric space is a space X with a non-negative real valued function d on $X \times X \times X$ satisfying:

| | | |
|-------|-------------|-------|
| [119] | Gahler, S. | (30) |
| [120] | Gahler, S. | (31) |
| [121] | Gahler, S. | (32) |
| [122] | White, A.G. | (116) |

$$(5.1.1) \quad d(x, y, z) \neq 0 \text{ for } x, y, z \text{ in } X,$$

$$(5.1.2) \quad d(x, y, z) = 0 \text{ if at least two of } x, y, z \\ \text{are equal,}$$

$$(5.1.3) \quad d(x, y, z) = d(x, z, y) = d(y, z, x)$$

$$(5.1.4) \quad d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, u) + d(x, u, z) + d(u, y, z).$$

Definition 2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in 2-metric space X is called convergent sequence if there is an x in X , such that

$$(5.1.5) \quad \lim_n d(x_n, x, a) = 0 \text{ for all } a \text{ in } X.$$

Definition 3. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a 2-metric space X is called ;cauchy sequence, if

$$(5.1.6) \quad \lim_{n,m} d(x_n, x_m, a) = 0 \text{ for all } a \text{ in } X.$$

Definition 4. A 2-metric space X in which every cauchy sequence is convergent to a point x , is a complete metric space.

We would like to give some references.

Andelafte [123], Borsan [124], Cho [125], [126] and
[127], Diminnie [128], [129], [130], [131], [132],
[133], Franic [134], Hsiago [135], Iseki [136], [137],

| | | |
|-------|--------------------------------------|------|
| [123] | Andelafte, E. and Freese, R. | (1) |
| [124] | Borsan, D. | (3) |
| [125] | Cho, J. | (6) |
| [126] | Cho, J. | (7) |
| [127] | Cho, J; Freese, R.U. | (8) |
| [128] | Diminnie, C; Gahler, S. and White,A. | (19) |
| [129] | Diminnie, C; Gahler, S. and White,A. | (20) |
| [130] | Diminnie, C; Gahler S. and White,A. | (21) |
| [131] | Diminnie, C; Gahler S. and White,A. | (22) |
| [132] | Diminnie, C; White,A. | (23) |
| [133] | Diminnie, C; White, A. | (24) |
| [134] | Franic, I. | (29) |
| [135] | Hsiago, C. | (37) |
| [136] | Iseki, K. | (40) |
| [137] | Iseki, K. | (41) |

[138], [139], [140], [141], Kim [142], Newton [143] and Ram [144].

Jungck's fixed point theorem [145] was proved in 1976 and improved by Conserva[146], Das-Naik [147], Fisher [148], Jungck [149], Park [150], Rhoades [151], Sessa [152] and Tiwari- Singh [153] is generally called as Jungck contraction principle. On the other hand, with a view to generalize the Banach contraction principle, Matkowski [154] proved a fixed point theorem for a system of n-transformations on a product of n-metric spaces. This result has been extended and generalized by

| | | |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------|-------|
| [138] | Iseki, K. | (42) |
| [139] | Iseki, K. | (43) |
| [140] | Iseki, K. | (44) |
| [141] | Iseki, K. | (45) |
| [142] | Kim, S.S. | (57) |
| [143] | Newton, M. | (68) |
| [144] | Ram, B. | (76) |
| [145] | Jungck, G. | (46) |
| [146] | Conserva, V. | (14) |
| [147] | Das, K.M. and Naik, K.V. | (18) |
| [148] | Fisher, B. | (26) |
| [149] | Jungck, G. | (47) |
| [150] | Park, S. | (71) |
| [151] | Rhoades, B.E.; Sessa, S; Khan, M.S. and Swaleh, M. | (85) |
| [152] | Sessa, S. | (98) |
| [153] | Tiwari, B.M.L and Sing S.L. | (114) |
| [154] | Matkowski, J. | (63) |

Czerwick [155], [156], Kominek [157], Reddy and Subrahmanyam [158], Singh and Gairola [159], and Sing-Kulshreshtha [160].

Following Matkowski, we write

$$(5.1.7) \quad c'_{i,k} = \begin{cases} c_{i,h} & \text{for } i \neq k, i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ 1 - c_{i,k} & \text{for } i = k \end{cases}$$

and $c_{i,k}^{s+1}$ are defined recursevely by

$$(5.1.8) \quad c_{i,h}^{s+1} = \begin{cases} c_{1,1}^s c_{i+1, k+1}^s + c_{i+1,1}^s c_{i,k+1}^s, & \text{for } i \neq k \\ c_{1,1}^s c_{i+1,k+1}^s - c_{i+1,1}^s c_{i,k+1}^s & \text{for } i = k \end{cases}$$

$$s = 1, \dots, n-1, i, k = 1, \dots, n-s.$$

In fact $[c_{i,k}^s]$ is an $(n-s) \times (n-s)$ matrix.

The following lemma is due to Matkowski;

Lemma, Let $c'_{i,k} > 0$; $i, k = 1, \dots, n$, then the system of inequalities

-
- [155] Czerwick, S. (16)
 [156] Czerwick, S. (17)
 [157] Kominek (59)
 [158] Reddy, K.B. and Subrahmanyam, P.V. (80)
 [159] Singh, S.L. and Gairola, V.C. (107)
 [160] Singh, S.L. and Kulshreshtha, C. (108)

$$(5.1.9) \sum_{k=i}^n c_{i,k} r_h < r_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

has a solution $r_i > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ iff

$$(5.1.10) c_{i,i}^s > 0, \quad s = i, \dots; \quad i = 1, \dots, n+1-s.$$

Suppose that $r_i > 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ is the solution of the system of inequality (5.1.9).

We define

$$(5.1.11) h = \max_i (r_i^{-1} \sum_{k=i}^n c_{i,k} r_k), \quad r_h > 0, \quad 0 < h < 1.$$

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be arbitrary sets.

$A = A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$ and X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n

be 2-metric spaces with metric d_i .

Let (X, d) be a metric space, we write following Nadler[161].

$$(5.1.12) cL(x) = \{ c : c \text{ is a non empty closed subset of } X \},$$

$$(5.1.13) N(\epsilon, c) = \{ x \in X : d(x, c) < \epsilon \text{ for}$$

$$c \in c \}, \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad c \in cL(x).$$

(5.1.4)

$$H(A, B) = \begin{cases} \inf \{ \epsilon > 0 : ACN(\epsilon, B) \text{ and } BCN(\epsilon, A) \} & \text{if} \\ & \text{the inf. exists otherwise } A, B \in CL(x) \end{cases}$$

The function H is called the Hausdorff distance for $CL(x)$ induced by d_i . $D(x, A)$ will denote the ordinary distance between $x \in X$ and $A \in CL(x)$.

Let (X_i, d_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be 2-metric spaces.

$H_i(A, B)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ will denote the generalized Hausdorff distance between two elements of $CL(X_i)$,

$i = 1, \dots, n$ Obtained from d_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $D_i(x, A)$ will denote the ordinary distance between $x \in X_i$,

$A = CL(x_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$

In this chapter, we present common fixed point theorem for two systems of multivalued transformations in 2-metric space.

In fact we prove:

Theorem 1. Let (X_i, d_i) , $i = 1, \dots, n$ be complete 2-metric spaces and $X = X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$.

Let there be two systems of multivalued transformation $F_i; G_i; X \rightarrow CL(X_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. If there exists non-negative numbers b, c , and $a_{i,k}$;

$i, k = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.1.15) \quad & H_i [F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n), G_i(y_1, \dots, y_n), a_i] \\
 & \leq \sum_{h=1}^n a_{i,k} \, d^h(x_h, y_h, a_h) \\
 & + b [D_i(x_i, F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n), a_i) \\
 & + D_i(y_i, G_i(y_1, \dots, y_n), a_i) \\
 & + c [D_i(x_i, G_i(y_1, \dots, y_n), a_i) \\
 & + D_i(y_i, F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n), a_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_h, y_h, a_h \in X_h$; $i, k = 1, \dots, n$ and the numbers $c_{i,k}^s$, $s = 1, \dots, n$; $i, k = 1, \dots, n+1-s$ defined in (5.1.7) and (5.1.8) satisfies (5.1.10) where b and c satisfies

$$(5.1.16) \quad 0 \leq 2b + 2c < 1 - h$$

Where h is defined in (5.1.11) and $0 < h < 1$.

Then there exists an \bar{x}_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$\bar{x}_i \in F_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) \cap G_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proof: Choose $x_i^0 \in X_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and let a sequence $\{x_i^m\}$ in X_i such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{2m+1} &= F_i(x_1^{2m}, \dots, x_n^{2m}, a_i) \\ x_i^{2m+2} &= G_i(x_1^{2m+1}, \dots, x_n^{2m+1}, a_i) \\ d_i(x_i^{2m+1}, x_i^{2m+2}, a_i) &< e \max \{ F_i(x_1^{2m}, \dots, x_n^{2m}, a_i) \\ &\quad G_i(x_1^{2m+1}, \dots, x_n^{2m+1}, a_i) \} \end{aligned}$$

$m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ for some $\epsilon > 1$.

From (5.1.7), (5.1.8), (5.1.10), (5.1.11) and the lemma we may choose a system of positive number r_1, \dots, r_n such that

$$\sum_{h=1}^n c_{i,h} r_h < \epsilon r_i; \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We may assume (From the homogeneity of the system) that

$$d_i(x_i^0, x_i^1, a_i) < r_i \text{ and } r_i > 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

Then from the hypothesis, we write,

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(x_i^1, x_i^2, a_i) &< e \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i,k} r_k \\ &\quad + e(b+c) [d_i(x_i^0, x_i^1, a_i) \\ &\quad + d_i(x_i^1, x_i^2, a_i)] \\ &< e(h+b+c) r_i + e(b+c) d_i(x_i^0, x_i^1, a_i), \end{aligned}$$

Now, as observed by Czerwick [161A], h exists and $0 < h < 1$, also $0 \leq 2b + 2c + h < 1$, therefore

$$(5.1.17) \quad 0 \leq 2eb + 2ec + eh < 1$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(x_i^1, x_i^2, a_i) &\leq \frac{e(h+b+c)}{1-e(b+c)} r_i \\ &= q r_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$0 < q = \frac{e(h+b+c)}{1-e(b+c)} < 1$$

follows from (5.1.17)

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(x_i^2, x_i^3, a_i) &< e H_i [F_i(x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2), \\ &\quad G_i(x_1^1, \dots, x_n^1), a_i] \\ &\leq e \sum_{h=1}^n a_{i,k} d_h(x_h^2, x_h^1, ah) \\ &\quad + eb [D_i(x_i^2, F_i(x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2), a_i) \\ &\quad + D_i(x_i^1, G_i(x_1^1, \dots, x_n^1), a_i)] \\ &\quad + ec [D_i(x_i^2, G_i(x_1^1, \dots, x_n^1), a_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + D_i \{x_i^1, F_i(x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2), a_i\} \\
& \leq e q \sum_{n=1}^n a_{i,h} r_h + e(b+c) q r_i \\
& \quad + e(b+c) d_i(x_i^2, x_i^3, a_i)
\end{aligned}$$

So that

$$d_i(x_i^2, x_i^3, a_i) \leq q^2 r_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

Inductively

$$d_i(x_i^m, x_i^{m+1}, a_i) \leq q^m r_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus

$\{x_i^m\}$ is a cauchy sequence and

$$x_i^m \rightarrow U_i \in X_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_i [x_i, F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n), a_i] \\
& \leq d_i(x_i, x_i^{2m+2}, a_i) \\
& + D_i [F_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n), x_i^{2m+2}, a_i] \\
& + D_i [x_1, F_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n), x_i^{2m+2}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq d_i (\bar{x}_i, x_i^{2m+2}, a_i) \\
&+ H_i [F_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n), G_i(x_1^{2m+1}, \dots, x_n^{2m+1}), a_i] \\
&+ H_i [\bar{x}_i, F_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n), G_i(x_1^{2m+1}, \dots, x_n^{2m+1})] \\
&\leq d_i (\bar{x}_i, x_i^{2m+2}, a_i) \\
&+ \sum_{h=1}^n a_{i,h} d_h(x_h, x_h^{2m+1}, a_h) \\
&+ b [D_i \{ \bar{x}_i, F_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n), a_i \} \\
&+ d_i (x_i^{2m+1}, x_i^{2m+2}, a_i)] \\
&+ c [d_i (\bar{x}_i, x_i^{2m+2}, a_i) \\
&+ D_i \{ x_i^{2m+1}, F_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n), a_i \}] \\
&+ D_i \{ \bar{x}_i, F_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n), x_i^{2m+2} \}
\end{aligned}$$

Making $m \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\bar{x}_i \in F_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n), i = 1, \dots, n$$

Since,

$$F_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n) \text{ is closed, } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Similarly

$$\bar{x}_i \in G_i(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n), i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus the proof is complete.

Remark. For metric space we arrive at the result of Nadler, Reich, Iseki.

*