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# Questionnaire for Evaluation of Coverage Overlap in Secondary Sources of Information in Social Sciences

(Questionnaire for Librarians)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I</th>
<th>Personal Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Position / Designation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Educational Qualifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Contact (email/telephone/address)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II</th>
<th>Library Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Library Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Staff Strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Nature of Collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Strength of Collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Nature of Information Resources in your library</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>III</th>
<th>Secondary Information Sources Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>How much have secondary sources of information your library have</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Print</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IV</th>
<th>Overlap study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Do you think there</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What suggestions can you give in order to control this overlap?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What do you think about the coverage overlap from the user point of view?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In what % do you think the overlap in coverage affects the library budgets or cost to library?</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think selective subscription to these resources will help libraries control the overlap?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Tick (√) secondary sources of information that is widely used by your users / popular among your users.</td>
<td>Encyclopedias</td>
<td>Dictionaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Can you quantify to what (%) extent is the overlap?</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is overlap in the coverage of secondary information sources subscribed by your library?</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes
- The table above summarizes the responses to various questions regarding the overlap in the coverage of secondary information sources subscribed by libraries.
- The data is presented in percentage format, with options ranging from 'Not at all' to '100%'.
- The questions cover aspects such as the extent of overlap, the use and popularity of secondary sources, and the potential benefits of selective subscription.
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Every day a vast amount of literature is being published in science and social science disciplines especially and it is doubling in eight years. Many journals, books, encyclopedias, bibliographies etc are being published in every field. Although primary sources are original works but when we go to secondary sources of information it goes to many copies of originals in duplicate also. In social sciences there are many secondary sources which are publishing duplicate information or overlapping each other. Libraries are purchasing many secondary sources and spending a huge amount of money on these. It is necessary to find out the most overlapping secondary sources and suggest to libraries to save money by not purchasing the duplicate materials.

Thus, as a student of Library and Information Science, I selected this topic entitled as “Overlapping in Secondary Sources of Information in Social Sciences (1995-2000): An Evaluative Study” for my thesis. The present work in the form of an evaluation is the result of a continuous and constant attempt of searching all significant literature available on the topic. There are many secondary sources in the field of social science so it is very difficult to analyze all the sources. The researcher has made her best effort to analyse all available secondary sources in the field of Social Sciences. A detailed search has been made in library journals, conference proceedings, on Internet and volumes etc. to review the literature on this topic.

The researcher has used "descriptive method" in this work. The researcher has made one questionnaire for librarians. The researcher also undertook telephonic interviews with the Librarians. The researcher also used interview method by interacting with users to find out their views about overlapping. Besides this, the content analysis method was also
used to find out the overlapping in social science secondary sources by going through their contents. The researcher visited the following libraries to make content analysis – I.C.S.S.R (Delhi), I.C.H.R.(Delhi), J.N.U.(Delhi), Maulana Azad Library, A.M.U.(Aligarh), Seminar Library Of Department of Library and Information Science, A.M.U.(Aligarh).

The researcher divided her work in the seven chapters.

**Chapter 1**- deals with Historical background of Social Sciences which describes origin, definitions, characteristics, various discipline, history and scope of the social science subject And also discussed social science in India.

**Chapter 2** – deals with Information Sources which describe its meanings and kinds of various information sources.

**Chapter 3**- deals with a brief discussion on overlapping.

**Chapter 4** – deals with statement of problem and review of literature in the field of social sciences.

**Chapter 5** – deals with research design and frame work of the study.

**Chapter 6** – deals with data analysis.

**Chapter 7**- deals with findings, conclusions and recommendations.

**Appendices** include an alphabetical list of bibliography and one questionnaire (for librarians) which are given at the end.