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<td>Colony Forming Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>Dimethyl sulphoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPSS</td>
<td>Statistical Package For Social Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>One way Analysis Of Variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMDA</td>
<td>Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>