

**ABSTRACT**

Dye Sensitized Solar Cells (DSSCs) based on nanostructured photo anode material have been the subject of vivid interest in recent years due to their high efficiency, low fabrication cost, eco-friendly, and simple processing technique. Over the past few decades, Titanium dioxide ( $\text{TiO}_2$ ) has attracted tremendous attention due to its distinctive properties with enormous potential applications. The design and growth of inorganic semiconductor nanostructures with well controlled sizes and morphologies as a photoanode in DSSCs has been the focus of intense research in recent years. The present study aims at developing  $\text{TiO}_2$  based photoanode material employing various nanostructures such as zero dimensional (0D), one dimensional (1D) and three dimensional (3D) structures and examining its morphological persuade on photovoltaic performance. Nanocomposites are being developed and utilized for superior device performance with their unique properties and can achieve synergetic functions beyond the capability of each component when treated independently. Thus we attempted to improve the properties of  $\text{TiO}_2$  photoanode by forming nanocomposites with the addition of tin dioxide ( $\text{SnO}_2$ ) which acts as an electron transport layer and also incorporated Copper (Cu) plasmons for better light harvesting phenomenon. Prototype DSSCs were fabricated using cost-effective organic dyes namely Safranin O and

Eosin Y and their efficiencies were evaluated through J-V characterization aiming for low-cost DSSCs with good efficiencies. TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and TiO<sub>2</sub>/SnO<sub>2</sub>-Cu nanocomposites were synthesized via a facile sol-gel route whereas 1D and 3D bare and composite nanorod and nanoflower morphologies were developed directly on Indium Tin Oxide (ITO) substrates without using any surfactants and additives by hydrothermal method. FESEM investigations revealed the nanoparticle morphology for bare and TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocomposites and further doping with plasmon activated SnO<sub>2</sub>, the particle sizes were reduced paving way for higher surface area which would ensure better dye absorption. Nanorod and nanoflower architecture on ITO substrates were confirmed with dimensions in nano scale. Composite layer formation over the 1D and 3D nanostructures in the case of TiO<sub>2</sub>/SnO<sub>2</sub>-Cu nanocomposite was verified in FESEM images and all the morphological analysis were additionally established using microstructural HRTEM characterization. The elemental constituents of all the synthesized samples were detected through EDAX analysis and the samples evidenced high levels of purity. The XRD pattern of TiO<sub>2</sub> confirms the formation of anatase phase and the results are in good agreement with a standard reference pattern of titanium dioxide. It was observed that after the introduction of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle in the pure TiO<sub>2</sub> system, the anatase phase was replaced with

rutile phase making the nanocomposites more suitable for photovoltaic applications. 1D and 3D bare and composite nanostructures possessed rutile phase. The average crystallite sizes were calculated using Scherrer's equation and indicated that the nanorods showcased highest crystallite size followed by nanoflowers and nanoparticles as well, and similar results were observed in nanocomposite architectures implying that morphology plays a significant role in the crystallite nucleation. XPS measurements were performed to analyze the surface composition and chemical structure of the synthesized samples and shifts could be seen in the binding energies of the as-synthesized samples owing to their variant nanostructures. BET analysis indicated that all the synthesized samples exhibited type IV isotherm patterns with distinct hysteresis loop confirming the presence of mesopores in the samples according to the IUPAC nomenclature. Among the multidimensional structures, nanoparticulate structure possessed higher surface area of about 37 m<sup>2</sup>/g than nanorods and nanoflowers, however the surface area was amplified on forming nanocomposite multidimensional structures which shows that nanocomposite architectures exhibit good surface conduction and photovoltaic performance than the bare ones due to their augmented surface area. The optical response of the as-synthesized samples was determined using UV- Diffuse Reflectance spectroscopy. The absorption edge of TiO<sub>2</sub>

sample was found below the UV region and it was also seen that Cu doping in SnO<sub>2</sub> resulted in superior absorption across the visible spectrum indicating enhanced photon absorption due to the SPR effect. Also the addition of SnO<sub>2</sub> to TiO<sub>2</sub> could have resulted in an increased probability of electron transition. From Kubelka –Munk plots, the band gap values are evaluated and it was also established that band gap narrowing occurs and therefore the probability of charge carrier generation and transportation is found to be higher in the composite architectures. This result was further confirmed by field dependent dark and photocurrent studies. In all cases, the photo currents were observed to be more compared to dark currents evidencing the photo responsive phenomenon which is essential for photovoltaic applications. Among the multidimensional TiO<sub>2</sub> nanostructures, nanoflower morphology exhibited better photoconductivity than the nanorod and nanoparticle architectures. For a fixed field of say 100 V/cm, 3D nanocomposite sample showed ~38 folds increase in photo currents and ~145 folds increase in dark currents when compared to bare nanoflower structures. A ~ 14 fold increase in photo current and ~ 12 folds increase in dark current was observed in the case when it is compared to 1D nanocomposite and similarly ~ 23 fold and ~ 18 fold enhancements on comparison with nanoparticle composite. The enriched output of the composite nanoflower structure could be attributed to light

scattering induced by the nanorods within the nanoflowers, which in turn cause the light to be multiply absorbed during the transporting process. Also upon plasmon activation on the composite samples, the surface conductivity is prone to increase as the plasmon absorption wavelength falls in the visible range. FTIR spectrum analysis confirmed the formation of metal oxide ( $\text{TiO}_2$ ) in the bare material and composite of plasmon supported  $\text{SnO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$  nanostructured samples. Twelve different prototypes of DSSCs were fabricated using bare  $\text{TiO}_2$  multidimensional nanostructures and  $\text{SnO}_2/\text{Cu}$  activated  $\text{TiO}_2$  multidimensional nanostructures using Safranin O and Eosin Y dyes. In both cases nanoflower based photoanodes showcased better performance in bare and nanocomposite form. On comparison, Safranin O dye sensitized DSSCs employing  $\text{TiO}_2/\text{SnO}_2\text{-Cu}$  nanoflower photoanode were considered to be highly efficient, exhibiting a record efficiency of 0.64% in laboratory conditions and hence emphatically establishing the status of  $\text{TiO}_2/\text{SnO}_2\text{-Cu}$  nanoflower as adept photoanode systems in DSSCs. Hence, multifaceted advantages such as wide band gap nature, high transmittance of the  $\text{SnO}_2$  and the plasmonic enhancement of the Cu were achieved in the composite film. We anticipate that the reported work would open up a promising possibility for the fabrication of high-efficiency DSSCs, using these systems.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thank **God Almighty** and *Late Mr. A. Joseph Xavier* for their countless blessings showered on me and granting me good health, ability and courage to complete this research work effectively.

I take a highly esteemed privilege to express my deep sense of gratitude and special affection first and foremost to my Guide and Research Supervisor, **Dr. J. Merline Shyla**, Academic Council Member-University of Madras, Assistant Professor of Physics, Loyola College, Chennai for motivating me to undertake research in the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology, with focus on solar energy applications and extending every possible help, guidance, constant support and cooperation in carrying out the research work successfully. The convenient working environment she has created is greatly cherished. She has been always available whenever I needed her valuable suggestions and advice and I thank her for her endless support filled with patience and enthusiasm during my whole tenure of Ph.D. I am deeply indebted to her and her family members for their profound love and affection shown to me throughout the research period and I'm proud to acknowledge the same as her blessed student.

## *Acknowledgement*

---

---

I gratefully remember and would like to express my sincere thanks to **Rev. Dr. Francis P. Xavier S.J.** Founder Director, Loyola Institute of Frontier Energy (LIFE), Loyola College and Former Director, Loyola-ICAM College of Engineering and Technology (LICET), Chennai for his motivation, vision and support towards research.

With profound respect, I offer my gratitude to our esteemed **Rev. Fr. A. M. Jayapathy Francis, S.J.** Rector, **Rev. Dr. S. Lazar, S.J** Secretary and **Rev. Dr. M. Arockiasamy Xavier, S.J.** Principal, Loyola College for providing me the opportunity to carry out this research project in this great institution. I express my sincere thanks and gratitude to **Dr. P. Sagayaraj**, Head, Department of Physics, Loyola College, for his encouragement and timely advice during the course of my study. I would like to thank all the **Teaching and Non-Teaching Staff Members** of the Department of Physics, Loyola College, Chennai for their support and cooperation. A special word of thanks to **Dr. J. Madhavan**, Associate Professor, Department of Physics, Loyola College, for the immense support and motivation he extended to me. I thank **Dr. S. Vincent**, Dean of Research, Loyola College for all the support and help extended to me.

## *Acknowledgement*

---

---

I am immensely grateful to my Doctoral Committee Member, **Dr. G. Anbalagan**, Professor, Department of Nuclear Physics, University of Madras for his keen interest and continued support towards my research work.

I am very thankful to **Rev Dr. John Pragasam**, Director, Loyola Institute of Frontier Energy (LIFE), Loyola College, for providing me with a wonderful atmosphere with required instrumentation and other facilities to conduct my research work. My sincere thanks are due to all the faculty members and students of LIFE for their timely help and support.

I would like to sincerely acknowledge **Loyola College-Times of India Major Research Grants** (6LCTOI14LIF002) for extending financial support as a Junior Research Fellow (JRF) from August 2016 onwards. I also acknowledge with gratitude, the valuable suggestions and guidance offered at anytime by **Dr. D. Arun kumar**, Post Doctoral Researcher, Plasma Nanotechnology Research Centre, Nagoya University, Japan.

I also extend my heartfelt thanks and gratitude to my research colleagues at Energy NanoTechnology Centre (ENTeC), **Mrs. W. Jothi Jeyarani, Mrs. Neena Bachan, Mr. Tenzin Tenkyong, Mr. P. Naveen Kumar, Ms. J. Sahaya Selva Mary, Mr. B. Praveen, Mr. K. Pugazhendhi and Ms. J. Sharmila** for having made the work atmosphere very friendly to me and for their countless help during my course of work. I can happily



## *Acknowledgement*

---

---

mention here that ENTeC is another family to me where my stay has been unforgettable with lots of sharing and love. They were always so helpful and provided me with their assistance throughout my period of research.

I place on my record my sincere thanks to **Dr. K. Manimegalai**, Former Principal In-Charge and Assistant Professor of Physics, Ethiraj College for Women, Chennai for being my mentor during my post graduation. She is the one who kindled research interest in me and provided me an opportunity to accomplish my MSc project at Indian Institute of Science (IISc) Bangalore. My special thanks to my School Tution Master **Mr. R. Thomas Reginold** for rightly guiding me to chose Physics as my major subject at the undergraduate level and his constant trust on me.

I am extremely grateful to **Prof. Dr. S. Balakumar**, Director, National Centre for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, University of Madras for the help he extended to me in performing HRTEM and XPS analysis of the samples. I thank the Department of Chemical Engineering, IIT Madras; Nano Centre, SRM University and Department of Nuclear Physics, University of Madras for providing the necessary characterization facilities. I extend my thanks to Chemistry Research Centre, Bangalore Institute of Technology for conducting the BET analysis of my samples.

## *Acknowledgement*

---

---

I specially acknowledge and extend my love to my husband **Mr. N. Balamurugan** for his understanding, support, affection and care and for being there with me at all times. I owe a special debt of gratitude to my **Mother** who helped a lot in bringing up my daughter and to my **Father** and **Brother** for their patience and motivation in pursuing my dream and accommodating me throughout my course of study. I would like to take this opportunity to thank my **in-laws** for their love and constant affection shown to me. Last but not the least, I thank my wonderful daughter **B. Nhethraa** for her endless love and support, though she missed me a lot, and her understanding during my research period.

I am grateful and thankful to all who helped me directly or indirectly in this research work and hence I place on record my sincere thanks to one and all associated with this study.

**V. CHANDRAKALA**

**Contents**

<i>Abstract</i>	(i)
<i>Acknowledgement</i>	(vi)
<i>Contents</i>	(xi)
<i>List of Figures</i>	(xvii)
<i>List of Tables</i>	(xxviii)
<i>Abbreviations and Symbols</i>	(xxxix)
<b>Chapter I – INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Current Energy Scenario	2
1.2 Energy Sources	4
1.2.1 Renewable Energy	5
1.2.2 Types of Renewable Energy Sources	6
1.3 Photovoltaics	11
1.3.1 First Generation Solar Cells	12
1.3.2 Second Generation Solar Cells	13
1.3.3 Third Generation Solar Cells	14
1.4 Dye Sensitized Solar Cells (DSSCs)	15
1.4.1 Structure of the Dye Sensitized Solar Cell	16

---

---

1.4.2 DSSC Components	18
1.4.3 The Operating Mechanism of DSSC	27
1.4.4 Advantages	29
1.5 Literature Review	31
1.6 Objectives of the Present Work	36
<b>Chapter II - MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	46
2.1 Titanium dioxide (TiO <sub>2</sub> )	47
2.1.1 Properties of TiO <sub>2</sub>	48
2.1.2 Applications	50
2.1.3 Specific application in DSSCs - TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	52
2.2 Tin dioxide - (SnO <sub>2</sub> )	53
2.2.1 Properties of SnO <sub>2</sub>	54
2.2.2 Applications	55
2.2.3 Specific application in DSSC - SnO <sub>2</sub> nanostructure	57
2.3 Copper- (Cu)	58
2.3.1 Properties	59
2.3.2 Advantages	60
2.3.4 Copper in DSSCs	62
2. 4 Dyes	64
2.4.1 Classification of Dyes	64
2.5 Sol-Gel	71
2.5.1 Advantages of the Sol-Gel Technique	73
2.5.2 Synthesis procedures used in the present study	74
2.6 Hydrothermal	78
2.6.1 Advantages	79

---

---

2.6.2 Synthesis Procedures employed in the present study	80
2.7 Spin Coating	82
2.7.1 Advantages of other coating techniques	85
2.7.2 Synthesis of one and three dimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> / SnO <sub>2</sub> -Cu Nanocomposite architectures	85
2.8 Doctor Blade Technique	86
2.9 DSSC Fabrication	88
2.9.1 Preparation of TiO <sub>2</sub> and TiO <sub>2</sub> /SnO <sub>2</sub> -Cu nanocomposite paste by Doctor Blade method	88
2.9.2 One and three dimensional based bare and composite photoanode preparation	89
2.9.3 DSSC Assembly	90
<b>CHAPTER III - CHARACTERIZATION TECHNIQUES</b>	<b>97</b>
3.1 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) with EDAX Analysis	98
3.1.1 Advantages	101
3.1.2 Energy Dispersive X-ray Analysis (EDAX)	102
3.2 High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM)	105
3.2.1 Principle and Working	105
3.2.2 Phase Contrast in HRTEM	106
3.2.3 Contrast Transfer Theory	107
3.2.4 Advantages	108
3.2.5 Selected Area Diffraction (SAED)	108
3.3 X-ray Diffraction Analysis (XRD)	109
3.3.1 Applications	112
3.4 X-ray Photoelectron Microscopy (XPS)	113
3.4.1 Instrumentation	114

---

---

3.4.2 Chemical States and Shifts	116
3.4.3 XPS Spectrum	117
3.4.4 Applications	118
3.5 Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET) Analysis	119
3.5.1 BET theory	121
3.6 UV-Vis Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (UV-DRS)	125
3.6.1 Applications	129
3.7 Field Dependent Dark and Photocurrent Studies	130
3.7.1 Conductivity	130
3.8 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR)	135
3.8.1 Principle	136
3.8.2 Instrumentation	136
3.8.3 Applications	137
3.9 I-V Characteristics	139
3.9.1 Solar Cell Parameters	140
<b>Chapter IV- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>149</b>
4.1 Summary of the Work	150
4.2 Multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	153
4.2.1 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)	154
4.2.2 Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDAX) Analysis	159
4.2.3 High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM)	160
4.2.4 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis	163
4.2.5 X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)	166
4.2.6 Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET) Analysis	169
4.2.7 UV-Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (UV-DRS)	172
4.2.8 Field Dependent Dark and Photocurrent Studies	176
4.2.9 Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy	180

---

---

4.3 Bare and Plasmon (Cu) activated SnO <sub>2</sub> Nanostructures	183
4.3.1 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)	183
4.3.2 Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDAX) Analysis	185
4.3.3 High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM)	187
4.3.4 X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis	188
4.3.5 X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)	191
4.3.6 Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET) Analysis	193
4.3.7 UV-Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (UV-DRS)	195
4.3.8 Field Dependent Dark and Photocurrent Studies	201
4.3.9 Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy	205
4.4 - TiO <sub>2</sub> - SnO <sub>2</sub> /Cu nanoparticle composite structures	209
4.4.1 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)	209
4.4.2 Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDAX) Analysis	212
4.4.3 High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM)	213
4.4.4 X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis	215
4.4.5 X-Ray Photo Electron Spectroscopy (XPS)	218
4.4.6 Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET) Analysis	221
4.4.7 UV-Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (UV-DRS)	224
4.4.8 Field Dependent Dark and Photocurrent Studies	228
4.4.9 Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy	233
4.5 1D TiO <sub>2</sub> NR-SnO <sub>2</sub> /Cu Nanocomposite Architecture	236
4.5.1 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)	236
4.5.2 Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDAX) Analysis	238
4.5.3 High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM)	238
4.5.4 X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis	240
4.5.5 X-Ray Photo Electron Spectroscopy (XPS)	242
4.5.6 Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET) Analysis	245
4.5.7 UV-Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (UV-DRS)	246

---

---

---

4.5.8 Field Dependent Dark and Photocurrent Studies	248
4.5.9 Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy	252
4.6 3D TiO <sub>2</sub> NF- SnO <sub>2</sub> /Cu Nanocomposite Architecture	254
4.6.1 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)	254
4.6.2 Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDAX) Analysis	256
4.6.3 High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM)	257
4.6.4 X-ray Diffraction (XRD)	258
4.6.5 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)	260
4.6.6 Brunauer Emmett Teller (BET) Analysis	262
4.6.7 UV-Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy (UV-DRS)	263
4.6.8 Field Dependent Dark and Photocurrent Studies	266
4.6.9 Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy	271
4.7 DSSC Characterization	273
4.7.1 Safranine O based DSSC	274
4.7.2 Eosin Y based DSSC	284
<b>Chapter V- CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS</b>	305
5.1 Conclusions	306
5.2 Future Directions	313

**Bibliography****Publications and Papers presented**



<b>Figure</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No</b>
1.1	Energy Consumption in India	3
1.2	Renewable Potential in India	6
1.3	Types of Renewable Energy Sources	6
1.4	Installed Solar Power Capacity in India	10
1.5	Generations of Photovoltaics	12
1.6	Schematic diagram of DSSC	17
1.7	Working process of DSSC	28
1.8	Applications of DSSCs	31
2.1	Crystalline structures of titanium dioxide (a) anatase, (b) rutile, (c) brookite	48
2.2	Crystal Structure of SnO <sub>2</sub>	54
2.3	FCC Crystal structure of Copper	59
2.4	Chemical structure of Safranin O	67

## *List of Figures*

---

2.5	Chemical structure of Eosin Y	70
2.6	Outline of Sol-gel synthesis	73
2.7	Schematic representation of sol-gel process	73
2.8	Synthesis of TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	75
2.9	Synthesis of Bare and Plasmon assisted SnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	76
2.10	TiO <sub>2</sub> /SnO <sub>2</sub> -Cu nanocomposite by Sol-gel route	77
2.11	Schematic representation of Hydrothermal Apparatus	79
2.12	Flowchart of one and three dimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	82
2.13	Schematic of Spin Coating	84
2.14	Schematic of doctor blade technique	88
2.15	Sample photograph of prototype DSSC fabricated and tested in the lab	90
3.1	Overview of the FESEM system	99
3.2	Schematic of FESEM Operation	100

## *List of Figures*

---

---

3.3	Imaging and Diffraction Pattern of TEM	104
3.4	Experimental set up of HRTEM imaging	105
3.5	Schematic of diffraction of X-rays from atomic planes	110
3.6	Schematic representation of XPS	115
3.7	Sample Survey Spectrum of XPS	118
3.8	Adsorption of Gas molecules	120
3.9	Schematic of BET Instrumentation	122
3.10	Types of Isotherms	124
3.11	Schematic representation of reflection mechanisms	126
3.12	Integrating Spheres for measuring Reflectance and Transmittance	127
3.13	Circuit Diagram for Field Dependent Dark and Photocurrent Studies	135
3.14	Block Diagram Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	138
3.15	Typical I-V curve of a Solar Cell	140

3.16	Energy level diagram of a DSSC: (a) equilibrium in dark, (b) open circuit under illumination and (c) short-circuit under illumination	140
3.17	Equivalent Circuit of DSSC	144
4.1	FESEM images of TNP	155
4.2	a)Top and b)magnified view of FESEM images of TNR	155
4.3	a)Top and b)magnified view of FESEM images of TNF	156
4.4	EDAX spectra of a) TNP b) TNR c) TNF	159
4.5	HRTEM patterns of a) TNP b) TNR c) TNF corresponding SAED patterns (inset)	162
4.6	XRD patterns of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	165
4.7	XPS a) Survey Spectra b) Ti 2P and c) O 1s spectra of TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	168
4.8	Nitrogen adsorption – desorption isotherms of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	170
4.9	Pore size distributions of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub>	171

	nanostructures	
4.10	Absorption spectra of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	174
4.11	UV-Diffuse Reflectance spectra of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	175
4.12	Kubelka-Munk plots of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	175
4.13	Field dependent dark and photo current studies of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	176
4.14	Comparative analysis of field dependent photo conductivity of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	178
4.15	Comparative analysis of field dependent dark conductivity of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	179
4.16	FTIR Spectra of Multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	181
4.17	FESEM micrographs of a) Bare and b) 5 wt% c) 1 wt% and d) 0.5wt% of Cu doped SnO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	184
4.18	EDAX analysis of a) Bare and b) 5 wt% c) 1 wt% and d) 0.5wt% of Cu doped SnO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	186

4.19	HRTEM patterns of a) SnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and b) SCB and corresponding SAED patterns (inset)	188
4.20	XRD patterns of Bare and Cu doped Samples	189
4.21	Fig 4.21 XPS spectra of pure and Cu activated SnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles a) Survey spectra b) Sn 3d c) O1s and d) Cu 2P	191
4.22	a) Nitrogen absorption – desorption isotherms of bare and plasmon activated samples	194
4.22	b) Pore size distributions of bare and plasmon activated samples	195
4.23	Absorbance Spectra of bare and plasmon activated SnO <sub>2</sub> samples	197
4.24	UV-Diffuse Reflectance Spectra of bare and plasmon activated SnO <sub>2</sub> samples	198
4.25	Kubelka-Munk plot of bare and plasmon activated SnO <sub>2</sub> samples	200
4.26	Field dependent dark and photo current studies of bare and plasmon activated nanostructures	202

## *List of Figures*

---

---

4.27	Comparative study of field dependent photo conductivity of bare and plasmon activated samples	204
4.28	Comparative study of field dependent dark conductivity of bare and plasmon activated samples	204
4.29	FTIR spectra of bare and plasmon activated samples	206
4.30	FESEM micrographs of a) TSC A, b) TSC B and c) TSC C samples	210
4.31	EDAX analysis of a) TSC A, b) TSC B and c) TSC C samples	212
4.32	HRTEM & SAED pattern (inset) of TSC B sample	214
4.33	XRD patterns of a) TSC A, b) TSC B and c) TSC C samples	215
4.34	XPS spectra of TSC B nanocomposite a) Survey spectra b) Ti 2p c) Sn 3d and d) O 1s (inset Cu 2P)	220
4.35	BET isotherms of a) TSC A, b) TSC B and c) TSC C samples	222
4.36	Pore size distributions of a) TSC A, b) TSC B and c)	223

	TSC C samples	
4.37	Absorption spectra of TSC samples	226
4.38	Reflection spectra of TSC samples	226
4.39	Kubelka - Munk plots of TSC samples	227
4.40	Field dependent dark and photocurrent studies of TSC samples	231
4.41	Comparative analysis of field dependent photo currents of TSC samples	232
4.42	Comparative analysis of field dependent dark currents of TSC samples	232
4.43	FT-IR spectra of TSC samples	233
4.44	a) Top and b) Magnified Images of TRC and c) EDAX image of TRC	237
4.45	HRTEM image and SAED pattern (inset) of TRC sample	239
4.46	XRD pattern of TRC sample	240
4.47	XPS spectra of TRC nanocomposite structures a) Survey	243



	spectrum b) Ti 2p c) Sn 3d and d) O1s (inset Cu 2P)	
4.48	a) BET isotherm and b) Pore size distribution of TRC Sample	245
4.49	a) UV-DRS absorbance b) Reflectance and c) Kubelka - Munk plot of TRC sample	247
4.50	Field dependent dark and photocurrent studies of TRC sample	249
4.51	Comparative analysis of field dependent photo currents of bare and nanocomposite samples	250
4.52	Comparative analysis of field dependent dark currents of bare and nanocomposite samples	251
4.53	FT-IR Spectrum of TRC sample	252
4.54	a) Top and b) Magnified images of TFC sample and c) EDAX spectrum of TFC	255
4.55	HRTEM and SAED pattern (inset) of TFC sample	257
4.56	XRD analysis of TFC sample	259

4.57	XPS spectra of TRC nanocomposite structures a) Survey spectrum b) Ti 2p c) Sn 3d and d) O 1s (inset Cu 2P)	260
4.58	a) BET isotherm and b) Pore size distribution of TFC sample	263
4.59	a) UV-DRS absorbance b) Reflectance and c) Kubelka - Munk plot of TFC sample	264
4.60	Field dependent dark and photocurrent studies of TFC sample	268
4.61	a) Overall analysis of field dependent photo currents of bare and nanocomposite samples b) Comparative analysis of bare and nanocomposite samples for a fixed field of 100 V/cm	269
4.62	a) Overall analysis of field dependent dark currents of bare and nanocomposite samples b) Comparative analysis of bare and nanocomposite samples for a fixed field of 100 V/cm	269
4.63	a) Schema for the proposed DSSC mechanism with photoconductivity enhancement in nanostructured	271

	composite photoanodes.	
4.63	b) FTIR spectra of TFC sample	272
4.64	UV-DRS Absorption spectrum of different concentrations of Safranin O dye	276
4.65	J-V characteristic curves of S3 sensitized DSSCs using bare multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	279
4.66	J-V characteristic curves of S3 sensitized DSSCs using nanocomposite multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> structures	281
4.67	UV-DRS Absorption spectra of different concentrations of Eosin Y dye	286
4.68	J-V characteristic curves of E3 sensitized DSSCs using bare multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	288
4.69	J-V characteristic curves of E3 sensitized DSSCs using nanocomposite multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> structures	290
4.70	Comparison of J <sub>sc</sub> and V <sub>oc</sub> of S3 and E3 sensitized DSSCs using bare and plasmon activated nanocomposite multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> architectures	297

<b>Table</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No</b>
2.1	Structural Properties of TiO <sub>2</sub>	49
2.2	Properties of Titanium dioxide	49
2.3	Properties of SnO <sub>2</sub>	55
2.4	Properties of Copper	59
2.5	Properties of Safranin O	68
2.6	Properties of Eosin Y	69
4.1	Sample name with Sample Code	153
4.2	Elemental analysis of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	160
4.3	Structural parameters of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures from XRD analysis.	166
4.4	Textural properties of multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures from nitrogen absorption analysis.	172
4.5	Textural properties of bare and doped SnO <sub>2</sub> samples	185

4.6	Elemental analysis of bare and plasmon doped SnO <sub>2</sub> nanostructures	187
4.7	Parameters Calculated from XRD analysis	190
4.8	Surface properties of bare and plasmon activated samples	194
4.9	Optical Properties of the bare and plasmon activated samples	201
4.10	Electrical Conducting Properties of the bare and plasmon activated samples	203
4.11	Comparative investigation of plasmon assisted SnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticle over counter part	208
4.12	Particle Size analysis of TSC samples	211
4.13	Elemental analysis of TSC nanostructures	213
4.14	Structural Parameters of TSC samples	218
4.15	Textural Parameters of TSC samples	223
4.16	Comparative investigation of TSC samples over TiO <sub>2</sub> counter part	230

4.17	Comparative investigation of Structural, Textural and Optical Properties of the bare and nanocomposite architectures	265
4.18	Comparative investigation of Surface Conducting Properties of the bare and nanocomposite architectures	268
4.19	Different concentration of dye samples with sample codes	274
4.20	Device performance of S3 sensitized DSSCs with bare and nanocomposite multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> architectures	278
4.21	Device performance of E3 sensitized DSSCs with bare and nanocomposite multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> architectures	289
4.22	Comparative Device performance of S3 and E3 sensitized DSSCs with bare and nanocomposite multidimensional TiO <sub>2</sub> architectures	297

**LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

$\Omega$	ohm
$\mu\text{A}$	microamperes
$\text{mA}$	milliamperes
$h\nu$	photon energy
$\eta$	conversion efficiency
$\lambda$	wavelength of the X-ray beam
$\alpha$	Absorption Coefficient
$\beta$	Full width half maximum
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	degree celsius
$\mu$	mobility of charge carriers
$\theta$	angle of incidence of X-ray beam
$\sigma$	electrical conductivity
BET	Brunauett-Emmett-Teller
BJH	Barrett-Joyner-Halenda
Cu	Copper
CdS	Cadmium Sulphide
CdSe	Cadmium Selenide

---

---

*List of Symbols and Abbreviations*

---

---

D	Crystallite Size
D.C	Direct Current
DSSC	Dye Sensitized Solar Cell
E <sub>g</sub>	Optical Band gap
eV	electron volt
E1	Eosin Y (0.1mM)
E2	Eosin Y (0.3mM)
E3	Eosin Y (0.5mM)
F	Farad
FTO	Fluorine doped tin oxide
ff	Fill factor
FT-IR	Fourier Transform Infrared
g/mol	gram/mol
gcm <sup>-3</sup>	gram per centimeter cube
h	Plank constant
HOMO	Highest occupied molecular orbital
HRSEM	High Resolution Scanning Electron Microscope
I	Current
I/I <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Iodine and tri-iodide



---

---

### *List of Symbols and Abbreviations*

---

---

$I_{\max}$	Maximum Current
ITO	Indium tin oxide
IR	Infra red
$I_{\text{sc}}$	Short circuit current
K	Kelvin
k	Boltzmann Constant
kV	kilovolt
kWh	Kilowatt hour
LUMO	Lowest unoccupied molecular orbital
m	metre
mM	millimolar
$\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$	Niobium Pentoxide
$\text{NH}_3$	Ammonia
nm	nanometer
$P_{\text{dia}}$	BJH average pore diameter
$P_{\max}$	Maximum Power
ppm	Parts per million
Pt	platinum
$R_{\text{sh}}$	Shunt Resistance

---

---

*List of Symbols and Abbreviations*

---

---

$S_{BET}$	BET Surface area
SNP	SnO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles
SCA	SnO <sub>2</sub> /Cu (0.5 mol %)
SCB	SnO <sub>2</sub> /Cu (1 mol %)
SCC	SnO <sub>2</sub> /Cu (5 mol %)
Si	Silicon
SnO <sub>2</sub>	Tin dioxide
S1	Safranin O (0.1mM)
S2	Safranin O (0.3mM)
S3	Safranin O (0.5mM)
TCO	Transparent Conducting Oxide substrate
TiO <sub>2</sub>	Titanium dioxide
TSC A	TiO <sub>2</sub> (75%)- SnO <sub>2</sub> (25%)/Cu
TSC B	TiO <sub>2</sub> (50%)- SnO <sub>2</sub> (50%)/Cu
TSC C	TiO <sub>2</sub> (25%)- SnO <sub>2</sub> (75%)/Cu
TNP	TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles
TNR	TiO <sub>2</sub> nanorods
TNF	TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoflowers
TRC	TiO <sub>2</sub> nanorod- SnO <sub>2</sub> / Cu composite

## *List of Symbols and Abbreviations*

---

---

TFC	TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoflower- SnO <sub>2</sub> / Cu composite
Triton X-100	Polyoxyethylene (10) octylphenyl ether
UV	Ultra Violet
UV-DRS	Ultra Violet- Diffuse Reflectance Spectroscopy
V	Voltage
V <sub>max</sub>	Maximum Voltage
V <sub>oc</sub>	Open circuit voltage
W	Watt
WO <sub>3</sub>	Tungsten trioxide
XRD	X-ray diffraction
ZnO	Zinc Oxide
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	Zirconium dioxide