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Fig. 2.3. Monthly variation in litterfall in (a) BF, (b) PBF and (c) PF. Vertical lines represent SE. Other details, as given in Fig. 2.2.

Fig. 2.4. Seasonal distribution of different component of total litterfall in (a) BF, (b) PBF and (C) PF. Other details, as given in Fig. 2.2.

Fig. 3.1. Rainfed agroecosystem with a three year rotational cropping pattern in Hariyali sacred landscape.
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