CHAPTER VII
MAPPING THE GENERATION GAP IN MODERN INDIAN SOCIETY

Shashi Deshpande’s novels present a wide range of types both in respect of parents and children and their relationship varies accordingly. The parent–child relationship and their complex aspect is an important issue of concern as she mentions in her revealing interview to Gita Vishwanathan in 2003:

“But marriage is important for me and so is the family, not just marriage. But besides marriage, the parent–child relationship is also important. These are the two relationships one is generally concerned with.” (2005:224)

Filial relationship is characterized by generation gap – the difference between ‘then’ and ‘now’. This ‘then’ is represented by parents who are taken to be authoritative and dominating, always trying to uphold social norms and customs through their assertiveness. Naturally, they are presumed to be the epitome of traditionality. Children, on the other hand, represent the ‘then’. They are symbolic of modernity because of their risk taking nature, freedom loving attitude and open mindedness. Thus, being at the opposite ends of the pole because of different mindsets leads to generation gap which is often a cause of disharmony within the family.
In the words of Jasbir Jain

“Childhood experiences and memories go a long way towards moulding life attitude and personal relationships. A feeling of rejection, a traumatic memory, tension in the household, sexual discrimination, extra – marital interest on the part of a parent – all these influence future relationships.” (Jain 2003:121)

Anant in Roots and Shadows (1992) has four children. He is a failed pleader. Most of the land he depended on formerly is gone and to cultivate what remains he has to depend upon others with the result that the cultivation is no longer profitable. He requires financial help from his brothers very often. Despite that, he does not seem much concerned about Akka’s money. He is conscious of his weaknesses which have compromised his position in relation to his sons. His daughter Padmini (Mini) is neither brilliant nor beautiful but docile and a realist, rather fatalist. Though the groom chosen for her by her grand – aunt is neither intelligent, nor educated nor handsome, she does not object to her marriage. She submits gracefully to the situation as she has no option and also because she does not want to trouble her parents. She is so particular about the comfort of her father that she does not fail to serve him hot water for his bath even on the day before her wedding.

Anant’s sons present a contrast to Mini. Hemant, the eldest is married and has two sons. As he failed his first year in college, Akka (the virtual head
of the family) refused to give him more than one chance again. So he remains undergraduate and employed as a clerk. Though his job is quite suitable to his abilities, he is dissatisfied and grumbling. Even when Indu admires his handwriting, he brings in the issue of his job as if she were taunting him.

“I am both the dhobi’s donkey and the scapegoat here.” (RS 53) he says but the fact is that he blames others for his failure and shortcoming. Akka and Anant are his scapegoats.

Sumant, a graduate, married and employed does not reside at the parental home. He is jealous of his eldest brother who is living with his parents as he thinks Hemant has no expenses and no problems. He has a poor opinion of his father. Even shirsasan, the only discipline Anant permits himself is the object of his ridicule:

“Seems to be a good way of shedding worries and responsibilities.”

(RS 40)

Thus he calls his father irresponsible by implication. We wonder what sense of responsibility he shows to others in his conduct.

Sharad, the youngest of the four, is Akka’s favourite who provides him with good clothes and permits him to continue even after he failed. After getting a third class graduate degree, he wanted to enter a medical college. That is not because of genuine interest in the medical profession but simply because of money and prestige it was likely to bring in. As his father could not support
him in the pursuit he did not hesitate to blame him. It is only under pressure from Indu that he joined the hotel business and prospered.

Anant cuts a sorry figure before his sons. He cannot afford to pay taxes and repair his house and is also an easy scapegoat to them as evident from his sarcastic remark:

“Who did not give Hemant a good education? Me. Who didn’t help Sumant when he wanted some money after his marriage? Me. Who can’t give Sharad money for entering a medical college? Me.” (RS 53)

It is only when the arrogant Sharad taunts Indu, he gathers courage to rebuke him. Thus the relationship between Anant and his sons is a departure from the traditional model. As the latter are neither bright nor industrious and successful, tradition would advise them to submit to their destiny. Instead, they dare to criticize their father. And Anant, unlike a traditional father, blames himself for the situation.

Govind is a widower and journalist by profession. His job keeps him away from home and his daughter Indu is reared by the elders in the family. However, he plays an important role in her life by insisting on sending her to an English medium school. That decision gives Indu the necessary head start in life. He is “so complete a person” (RS 164) to both Naren and Jayant. Unlike the rest of the family, he married intercaste. So he does not object to it when his daughter too marries outside the community. He attends Indu’s untraditional
marriage with Jayant. He and his elder brother Anant are the only persons in the family to attend the wedding. He never compromises with his ideals and values. Despite his frequent absence from family house, he has left a lasting impression on Indu. Though his simple, considerate nature makes him misfit amid his selfish and quarrelsome kith and kin, he is a role model to Indu who follows his intellectual, moral and social values and aspires to become a freelance journalist and an honest person in life.

Madhav has a son, Sunil and two daughters, Lata and Geeta. He cares little about the education of his daughters. But Sunil’s education “was so scrupulously and harshly supervised by him, that Sunil had turned deceitful, with the connivance, of course, of his mother”. (RS163) So Sunil gets a third class B.Sc. degree while his sisters do better. Unable to find either an admission anywhere else or an employment, he pretends to be “independent minded” and opts for a course in Business Management in a private institute “where you pay so much money and at the end of a year they give you a diploma that’s not worth the paper it is printed on”. (RS 65-66) That does not, however, remove his vanity and arrogance. When he walks in the family house with his father, he leaves the latter to carry a suitcase in his both hands. Worst of all, he is parasitical by nature. As long as Akka lived, he extracted money from her to the extent he could and after her death, he challenges Indu’s right to her property with the support of Sharad. Like Sharad, he too is scolded for that by his father.
Vinayak, the youngest of the brothers, has three daughters and pays much attention to them. He is so fond of the youngest that goes to the extent of plaiting her hair and tying a bow in her sash. Women disapprove it as a deviation from the normal role of a Hindu male. A licentiate doctor in a small town, he is proud of his “bouncing, healthy girls.” (RS 57, 62) His wife, Kamala seems more concerned only about their prospects of marriage.

Finally, there is Sunanda who has five children. Her husband Vasant is an irresponsible fellow who remains mostly jobless and is recognized as a waster of money by Akka. He leaves the responsibility of the family solely to his wife. Sunanda pampers her son who has failed S.S.C. twice and rushes to the conclusion that the examiners have failed him simply because he is a Brahmin. She is foolish enough to think that all her problems can be solved with a part of Akka’s money if she gets it. Naturally her children are not likely to become independent and industrious.

There is an exceptional case of Vithal too in the novel. His father was a professional cook whose wife left him due to his physical cruelty. Then the boy was subjected to mental torture by his father until he fled and started living by scavenging. Anant found him outside a shabby restaurant and provided him shelter in his house. Old uncle discovered his literary skills and interest in learning and encouraged him. Despite all problems, he has moved on well. His case demonstrates two things. First, the meritorious ones do not sit and moan blaming others. Second, a section of parents do not know that a child needs
food, rest and above all, emotional security as well to grow and learn. Needless to say, such parents fail miserably in relation to their children and become a source of trouble not only for themselves but also their children.

_The Dark Holds No Terrors (1990)_ presents a picture of the traditional Indian household with a “sharp, clean line dividing the world of men from the world of women” (as Shashi Deshpande put it in an interview with Mukta Atrey and Viney Kirpal in May 1989). That set up leaves the running of the household to the ladies of house. So Sarita’s mother exercised power over the domestic domain.

The way she exercises her power appears far from pleasant to Sarita. She is domineering, oppressive, conservative and malicious in Sarita’s view, a view based on her own unhappy experiences. Early in her life, Sarita observes that her brother Dhruva is treated somewhat differently and given indulgence denied to her. When Dhruva dies of drowning at 7 her mother blames her for the mishap. Her mother opposes her going to a medical college and when she marries outside her caste her ties with the parental house are snapped for 15 years. Even when her mother suffering from stomach cancer dies and is cremated in Bombay, she is not informed. Thus Sarita’s mother appears an agent of the oppressive patriarchal practices to her. In her dislike for her mother, she tries to become as much unlike her mother as possible. Rejection of all that her mother defends leads her to the extent of almost rejecting herself as a woman!
“If you are a woman, I don’t want to be one.” (DHNT 163)

The traditional structure of Indian family has its own dynamics as far as mother-daughter relationship is concerned. The daughter is invariably placed at a third position in the order of priority where the son and the husband take up the first and second slots respectively. A feeling of alienation on the part of the daughter is thus quite natural. The daughter finds it difficult to relate to her mother and struggles to form her own identity—an anti-mother identity because she resents the idea of becoming like her.

According to Anna Kurian:

“The mother’s perception of Sarita as Dhruva’s killer effectively ends any identity the former may have had as the daughter of the house.” (Kurian 2006:291)

Sarita’s perception is however not objective. Her hostility is evident and rooted in the accumulated moments of rejection because of her mother’s gender-based discriminatory upbringing. The daughter, representing the new generation is shown as passing through a transitional phase where she is caught between tradition and modernity. The mother failing to realize her daughter’s mental state starts imposing several restrictions and bindings based on her whims and fancies. The case of Sarita during her phase of growing up is an instance to the point.
Jasbir Jain sees this hostility between the mother and the daughter as
“a conflict between claims and roles - Saru’s desire not to be
confined within a gender role, her need to be loved like a sibling
and to be able to communicate with others.”
(Jain 2003: 49)

Sarita’s mother’s mind is rooted in an unhappy childhood. Sarita’s
maternal grandmother, deserted by her husband, had to bring up her two
daughters at her parental home. Sarita’s mother was one of them. She grew into
a silent and docile woman with “eyes joyless arid, the expression one of
indifference.” (DHNT 143) Her childhood feelings of insecurity and
unwantedness have affected her personality and motivated her to seek power
and cling to it. Conditioned to believe that a girl child must be prepared for her
in-laws family, it is not unusual on her part to reject the ideas of female
independence, equality and education. That is what leads to her harshness
towards Sarita.

The effect of women education in the modern society makes Sarita
identify with her mother as she grows old. The modern middle class women
represented by Sarita struggle to overcome the unpleasant conflict between
imposed restrictions and their free will. On the one hand, is the traditional set
up in which they grow up and on the other hand is their modern education
which infuses within them a spirit of freedom.
Sarita’s father like a traditional father leaves the entire affairs of household, including the upbringing and education of children, to his wife. That was painful to Sarita particularly because her mother’s behaviour was so cold. Her distance from her father increases after her brother’s death. She perceives him as a weak man dominated by his wife.

That perception however, proves wrong at crucial moments when he supports Sarita’s decision to join a medical college in Bombay despite vehement opposition from his wife. He pays for her fees, books and hostel charges out of his meager salary and does not, unlike his wife, bother about its impact on the prospects of her marriage. That is a turning point in Sarita’s life and enables her to realize her aspiration of becoming a doctor.

Then, her father plays a decisive role in her life during its most critical phase. Her husband, suffering from inferiority complex, turns a sadist and subjects her to nightly sexual abuse. Strangely enough, Sarita does not seek the advice of some friend or acquaintance in the crisis but goes to her father. He listens to her patiently and advises her against running away from Manu:

“……..Talk to him. Let him know from you what’s wrong……..Don’t turn your back on things again. Turn round and look at them.” (DHNT 216)

That leads to her realization that it is wrong to hide a man’s sexual aberration from him, that she must initiate a discussion with her husband boldly in order to solve the problem.
Though critics have called him the typical Indian father — old fashioned, conservative etc., his advice appears sound and realistic. Unless a woman decides to walk out of her marriage and loses faith in the very institution of marriage itself, there is no other course left open for her under circumstances like that. So it would be better to call him a realist, a compromising man who prefers to avoid quarrel and conflict to the extent possible.

There is another character (minor one) in the novel that deserves attention— Madhav, the son— substitute to Sarita’s father. He is a student who lives with Sarita’s father, shares odd household work with him and plays carom with him. It is a very easy and warm relationship.

Madhav’s parents are living. His father is a learned priest who wants his sons to follow his footsteps and learn Sanskrit. It appears he has left family affairs entirely to his wife. He is not much communicative with his children. Madhav dare not talk or write to him even when his brother Satish is about to ruin his own life. He is ignorant of what his son Satish and daughter Mrinal think and feel. Nor does he care for the choice or opinion of his daughter about her marriage. When Satish disappears he lays the blame at the door of his wife and goes to the extent of refusing to eat anything cooked by her. He has reconciled with Madhav’s option for studying Accountancy only in the hope that his other sons or at least one of them will opt for Sanskrit. Thus despite his
learning he is far from being practical. He is insensitive in relation to others and conservative in outlook.

Madhav’s mother is more practical and communicative. She manages all the affairs of the family without bothering her husband about them. Her softness for the cine – crazy, terribly wild Satish prevents her from reporting the matter to her husband and she is blamed for it when Satish disappears.

Madhav is honest, studious and independent minded. Much against the wishes of his father, he chooses Accountancy instead of Sanskrit as it is clean and paying. He views his father with awe but feels confident enough to communicate with his mother. So he learns from his mother about Satish’s secret visits to town and his threat to run away to Bombay. When Satish disappears, his mother sends a telegram to him. He goes to his village but refuses to go to Bombay in search of Satish as his mother wants in view of his examinations. We cannot but appreciate his decision because preparing for examinations in order to build a career is more worth while than the wild goose chase after a truant, irresponsible boy.

Jaya’s father in That Long Silence (1989) like Indu’s in Roots and Shadows is broad – minded and free from the sexist bias and plays an important role in shaping the personality of her daughter. He breaks convention by marrying out of his community for love and setting up a nuclear family. Though he is a favourite of his mother yet he dare not express his independence. Unlike traditional fathers he displays his love for his daughter
and goes to the extent of even encouraging her short temper. He is also particular about her daughter’s education and provides her western education. He pulls her out of the safe circle in which the other girls had stood and makes her different from her cousins. He prides rightly in that accomplishment when he says “You are not like others, Jaya.” (TLS 136) Indeed, he has made Jaya independent and rational leading her far away from conservatism.

Jaya’s relationship with her mother, on the other hand, is not that smooth. She resents her mother’s preference for her sons. It appears to her that “She behaves as if she owns me.” (TLS 75) Domination is not the only fault she finds with her mother. The latter seems a superficial person devoid of deep feelings in her relationship with her husband. She refers to her daughter “gay and girlish, even after she was made desolate by widowhood” rather disparagingly. (TLS 45-46) Her “slapdash way”, her inability to even hem properly make her far from a “perfect mother”. (TLS 83) If that were not enough, she accuses her mother of preparing her “for none of the duties of a woman’s life.” (TLS 83)

Such an accusation is conditioned by patriarchal expectation and explains the paradox apparent here. That is, Jaya resents her sexist bias on one hand and accuses her of neglecting her duty to train her for her future role of wife and mother. However, the paradox plays an important role in Jaya’s life as
she aspires to become unlike her mother; a successful wife and mother who holds power and matters.

We learn a little about Jaya’s grandmother (Ajji) too. She was a traditional type of woman who wanted to make her children and grandchildren good Brahmins. She too was dominating. She favoured her youngest son Vasu, Jaya’s father. Her other sons followed her precept and sent their children to Kannad Schools. Her cousins were, therefore brought up in traditional manner with a pronounced gender bias. Girls of the family like her cousins were taught that it was unwomanly to be angry and it was a woman’s job to cook and clean. That is why Veena and Sujata had to clean and not Jaanu and Shridhar.

Vasu, Jaya’s Appa loved his mother but had the courage to think and act independently. So instead of choosing a good Kannad girl as his mother desired, he married a Marathi girl. Then he left her soon after the marriage. He was called cruel and his wife was blamed for the decision. It was not only an act of self-assertion but a wise decision to avoid problems of adjustment. Again despite “disapproving and scornful” attitude of his mother, he sent all his three children to English School on pragmatic grounds. (TLS 90)

Vasu and his mother illustrate the fact that affection need not be based on agreement all the time. Vasu cycles three miles to the town to see his mother and goes back to his wife three miles regularly. Jaya holds that the cause of his
death. “She gave up even her single meal” (TLS 137) after his death and died in six months – that is what we learn about Ajji, Vasu’s mother.

Kusum and her parents stand apart from all of the above. What sort of parents did Kusum have?

“A father who cared for nothing but smoking and movies, who never worked a day in his life; a mother whose world centered round her youngest, the baby on her lap, while the rest of her kids ran around in wild abandon, unkempt, dirty, unfed.” (TLS 22)

With parents like that Kusum had hardly any chances. She started wearing a sari at 13, was married early and had three daughters, lived a disorderly life and became mentally deranged. At last she threw herself in a well and died of broken neck.

Only one of the many children of Kusum’s parents, Dilip, became a suave, successful man. Though he failed his Matriculation, he was able to make money and that brought him recognition and admiration from people around him. Finally he built a brand new house on the outskirts of the city “littered with overstuffed sofas, bolsters, cushions.” (TLS 23)

That success, however, was achieved at the cost of morals. He was a muscleman who scared everyone, whose trade union activity included accepting black money from the factory owners. Dilip illustrates the point that
even if one achieves material success, one cannot become a scrupulous person without proper upbringing.

In *The Binding Vine (1998)*, Priti leaves her parents in the United States and returns to India alone. Her excuse for the decision is that Robert Kennedy’s assassination became the moment of truth – She “could no longer stay in a country that could suffer such pointless violence.” (BV 39)

As a matter of fact, however, she is making a heroine of herself at sixteen by “linking a personal decision to a moment of national drama.” (BV 41)

Her mother is alcoholic, has run over a number of people sleeping on the pavement during one of her annual visits to India and become manic depressive. As life at home becomes impossible for Priti, she leaves. Priti’s case illustrates the brittle nature of parent – child relations in the affluent families of the Non Resident Indians.

Vanaa and her daughter present cases of parents failing to satisfy the demands of children. Mandira does not like her mother going to work. She feels her mother Vanaa is neglecting her and her sister Pallavi thereby. True, she has employed Hirabai to attend to them but she thinks that is no substitute for mother. To Vanaa that seems unreasonable.

Vanaa’s mother was a teacher. She too had to go out to work but Vanaa did not feel wronged. She is fond of her mother and proud of her. But
she is not entirely satisfied with her other parent – her father. She feels that her father did not love her as Urmi’s father loved Urmi.

Urmi’s father is old fashioned as evident from two major incidents. When she was a child, her mother went out leaving her with a trusted male attendant Diwakar and her father found her crying. He deemed it an offence on the part of his wife to leave the child alone with a male servant. So Urmi was sent to Ranidurg and had to remain there under the care of her grandparents. Later he feels guilty about it. Secondly, he did not like her idea of marrying Kishore initially, though he conceded soon.

With all that, it is evident that he is affectionate and cares for his daughter a lot. He regrets he did not look after her when her son Kartik was born. He trusts her too and leaves his Ranidurg house to her and Amrut. That is an act of no mean trust as with that house is linked the fate of his half–brother Bal kaka. Urmi on her part esteems her father protecting her as pragmatic and firm while her brother, Amrut warns her against over estimating him.

Toward her mother Urmila’s attitude has been full of resentment based on a misunderstanding. As she had been sent away to Ranidurg to be looked after by her grandparents, she perceived that indicative of rejection. Even as a child she expressed her hostility by preferring the old–fashioned, ill–fitting dresses made by her grandmother (Baiajji) to the expensive ones sent by her
mother. She kept the dresses sent by her mother in the cupboard until they became “too small” for her. (BV 9)

Even as a grown up woman she continues in the same vein. Her brother tries to correct her with the information that their father was a domineering person who brooked no challenge. But she pays no heed until her mother reveals the truth that the decision was her fathers who did not care to inform her mother or concede to her requests to bring the child back. That revelation smoothens the relationship between the mother and daughter.

There are two other things here worth noting. Sons and daughters are generally not permitted by tradition to address their parents by name. But Urmi calls her mother Inni (short for Yamini). That is unusual but nobody seems to take exception to that. Secondly, and similarly, unusual is her politeness as she apologizes both to her mother and her son for losing temper.

While all the above characters belong to the affluent or middle class, Shaku tai and her family represent the poor. Shaku tai works in a girls school in the Principal’s Office. She has three children – Kalpana, Sandhya and Akash. Initially, she appears to have a dislike for daughters when she exclaims: “Why does god give us daughters?” (BV 72)

Later we come to know that, in that outburst lies the agony rooted in the sad experiences about the plight of women in the society. She is aware of
the suffering women have to undergo all their lives and does not want its multiplication. Shaku tai and Kalpana belong to the lower strata of society and represent working class women. However, their relationship as mother and daughter is not different from their counterparts in the middle class families.

As a matter of fact, despite her poverty, Shaku tai is an affectionate and caring mother. She does not want to deprive her children of anything. She does not like her children going to other people’s houses to watch TV and being treated like beggars by them. So she has bought even a TV set for them. Kalpana, the eldest is her favourite among them. She likes to talk about her most of the time and permits her to buy what she calls ‘rubbish’- hair clips, bands, bangles, nail paints etc. Kalpana is given the indulgence to keep a cat too. Kalpana is smart, stubborn and secretive. She has learnt how to speak English and found a job at a shop for herself.

Though Shaku tai appreciates and pampers Kalpana, she is fearful she will invite trouble for herself if she does not keep a low profile. She shares the prevailing view that young girls provoke boys by appearing in attractive dress and make – up in public. That is the chauvinist approach which ignores women’s right to choose their own lifestyle, even their dress — something not unusual in a male dominated society. That is the first difference that makes the mother – daughter relation uneasy. Secondly, Shaku tai does not like her secretiveness about her pay. Thirdly, when her father leaves her mother for
some other woman, she blames not him but her. Thus, the mother – daughter relation is not entirely easy.

Shaku tai and Kalpana’s filial relationship is also symbolic of the conflict between tradition and modernity. Shaku tai, in her attempt to see herself in her daughter gives her all facilities which were denied to her – good education, a good job and a respectable marriage. All her dreams are shattered by her daughter’s behavior which she considers reckless and painful.

The other daughter, Sandhya, is docile and helpful to her mother and does all she can to keep the home in order. Prakash is critical of his mother and defiant. He believes what other people say about Kalpana’s sad plight and holds her mother responsible for the rape of her eldest sister. He holds the silly view that the rape occurred as his mother had permitted Kalpana to get out of hand. When Shaku tai asks him to go to the hospital where Kalpana remains admitted, he goes the first day but refuses to go the next day.

Kalpana’s father who remains unnamed in the novel and appears only once before the readers, is hardly an ideal father. He has left his wife and children and lives with some other woman. So he is far from performing his duties as a father. Still, his feelings for his daughters do not seem to be mere pretension.
When he sees Urmila at the hospital, he says,

“Look at her. He should have killed her, the bastard. I wish I could get my hands on him.” To that his wife retorts: “That’s enough of your heroics” and asks “you think this is a film?” Urmila notices that he gives her a look and “has tears in his eyes.” (BV 142-143)

About his feeling for the other daughter, we find the following:

He puts his hand in his pocket and fishes out a small plastic bag.

“Take this, it’s some khau for Sandhya. He calls it ‘khau’ using the child’s word for sweets.

‘Khau’ she repeats bitterly, ‘she’s not a baby.’

‘Give it to her. She’ll eat it.” (BV 176)

Indeed, Sandhya is no longer a baby. She goes to school now. But to a loving father she remains still a baby. Readers can only wish he were dutiful too to his children. Though he belongs to the lower section of a society that remains patriarchal, he never mentions his only son, Prakash but favours his daughters only.

*A Matter of Time (1996)* records the relations between parents and children over three generations mainly involving women characters. Kalyani presents the first generation while Manorama belongs to the second. They
belong to the past but make their presence felt in the main narrative which revolves around Sumi and her daughters.

Manorama was the eldest of the four daughters of her parents. She was tall and beautiful. She was sent to a school but had to leave soon as she attained puberty. Custom in those days demanded a girl’s marriage before puberty. Still, Vithalrao’s father who had chosen her for his son did not object to her marriage. She distanced herself with her poor father’s family after marriage and her mother died a year later. So, her relations with her parents did not last long enough and seems to have been more or less formal. But we cannot brand her careless or callous. She cared for her younger brother, got him educated and married him to her daughter, Kalyani.

Manorama like most of the women of those times wanted a son but had only a daughter, Kalyani, after several miscarriages. Moreover she was not brilliant or beautiful. That disappointed Manorama. Her disappointment became deeper and deeper when after her marriage, she bore a son only once and that too was found an idiot. It reached the extreme when she was deserted and returned to her parent’s place. To the conservative woman as Manorama was, it was but a disgrace to the family. So she turned hostile to Kalyani. When Vithalrao suffered a stroke and died some time later, she blamed Kalyani for that too: “You are my enemy, you were born to make my life miserable,” she declared. (MT153)
In her bitterness for her daughter, Manorama wanted to deprive Kalyani of the opportunity to look after her father in his last days, though she could not.

But there is something far from simple in her behaviour that defies explanation. In her own last illness, she allowed no one but Kalyani to look after her. Kalyani had to experience a lot of mental torture those days because Manorama “was not only tyrannical, she became suspicious and fearful, charging Kalyani with trying to kill her as she had her father.” (MT 153)

As a matter of fact, however, Manorama was the person who ruined Kalyani’s life. Kalyani was good at Mathematics and she could have become an engineer had she not been taken out of school and got her married. At fifteen, Kalyani had received letters from a young man who watched her going to school. Though she had not responded, Manorama held her guilty for it. Much against the wishes of her father, Kalyani’s schooling was abruptly ended at that point by her mother. That shows how conservative and irrational Manorama was.

Kalyani’s relation with her father presents a contrast to it. He was a modern man in the real sense of the word and Kalyani was right in being proud of him. Though he had no son, he refused to remarry or adopt a male child. He preferred leaving his property to his daughter. He wanted to make Kalyani an engineer - “the first woman engineer in the country.” (MT 129) Obviously, he
was not happy when that dream was shattered to pieces by his wife but
submitted to her will to keep peace at home. The first rift in his married life is
caused by Manorama’s harsh treatment of Kalyani when she came back as a
deserted wife with two daughters. The shock changed him from a man of
science to a man of astrology. Soon he suffered a stroke and became helpless.
Kalyani attended him with love and care during his last days and had him
“removed from the bed and placed on the floor” before his death. (MT 153)
Besides that, we must add that Kalyani never let her daughters know about the
injustice her mother had done to her. She absolved her mother of all wrong
doing and blamed herself of timidness and dullness.

The novelist contends that Kalyani was right in “playing down
everything but her mother’s disappointment in her.” (MT 150)

To Manorama, Kalyani was not an unloved child, but the “visible
symbol of … failure to have a son” and moreover she had failed to fulfill all
the dreams she had for her daughter. (MT 151)

Thus Manorama represents the type of parents we find around us not
infrequently who expect too much from their children and thereby make a hell
not only of their own lives but also of their children. Sometimes the children
failing to live up to their expectations become depressed and commit suicide. It
speaks a lot about Kalyani’s mental strength that she survived all the trials.
Kalyani, as a mother is kind and sensitive to her children. She has got a guilt complex too as she lost her only son Madhav at VT Station while coming home to Bangalore. The boy was well grown physically though only four but mentally retarded. As he was frequently violent, Kalyani could not manage him. So she cannot be blamed for the fact that the boy was lost. Still Kalyani believes she is not a good mother. She strives hard to make her daughters Sumi and Premi happy.

Her daughter, Sumi is old enough when she tries to ride a scooter. She is a mother of three daughters by that time.

Still, Kalyani “goes in and out with a nervousness she cannot conceal”. The moment Sumi feels confident and goes out of the gate speedily, she says: “She shouldn’t have done that, she shouldn’t have gone out on the road.” (MT 34)

Such an outburst is absurd because nobody learns riding the scooter to whiz around in the front yard but it shows the extreme anxiety and concern the mother has for her daughter.

As an untoward event has ruined her married life, she does not want repetition of something similar in case of her daughter. That is why when she learns about the desertion of Sumi by her husband Gopal, she cries out ‘no, my god, not again’. (MT 12) She tries her level best to argue with and convince
Gopal so that he comes back and lives happily with Sumi. Her effort bears no fruit but it shows her real maternal feelings.

While Kalyani had a mother who made her life painful, the role is reversed in case of her daughters. The father plays the role of a cold, indifferent parent here. We hardly find a trace of tenderness in his relation with his daughters. Most of what we learn about him comes not from Sumi, but Premi. Sumi prefers not to say anything about him. Premi informs us that her father Sripati never came out of his room and spoke to anyone. Premi did not hear even a word from him until she was ten and then what he said to her for the first time was a question asking her why she was there. The first he summons her and speaks is after completion of her medical finals and that too to announce her marriage with Anil. Ever since her marriage, Premi goes to her room as a matter of formality whenever she visits her parental home but the distance between the two makes it an ordeal for her. She finds it odd that though her father speaks about her husband and father – in – law, he says nothing about Sumi and Gopal. Sripati, thus, does not represent a normal parent. A normal human being, a father or mother in his or her senses, is expected to think about the present and not the past – to think about what one has and not what one has lost all the time. True Sripati has lost a son but that is hardly a right excuse for penalizing his wife and daughters all the time. That is irrational and inhuman. His irrationality has ruined his own life and also the lives of his daughters. One
of his daughters is lucky enough that she is married in a family where people talk to each other easily, hug and touch and use words of endearment so casually. That is an alternative undoubtedly preferable but that comes out of sanity and understanding and not obsession.

Sumi has three daughters – Aru, Charu and Seema. Aru occupies a prominent place among them. Though only seventeen, she behaves like an adult willing to shoulder responsibilities, help the family and keep it going in good shape. Her father thought she was born an adult. Though she loves her parents and cares for them, she is unlike a traditional girl revering her parents and viewing them in awe. She addresses her mother as Sumi as if she is a dear friend. Her mother thinks she is hostile to her and blames her for Gopal’s departure:

“The sight of Premi flanked by her daughters, the hostility on Aru’s face as she said, ‘I rang her up’, had made Sumi feel suddenly vulnerable. The three of them ranged against me. Am I the enemy? Do you daughters blame me for what Gopal has done?” (MT 23)

But Sumi’s perception does not necessarily mean the whole truth.

Thus we find the following in the novel:

“Seeing her mother’s face, hollow – eyed, hair disheveled, Aru feels a pang; this is how she will look when she is old. But when Sumi
comes out of the bathroom after her bath, smoothing down the
pleats of her sari, she looks so reassuringly normal that Aru has a
sudden lift of spirits.” (MT 30)

This shows how much she cares for her mother.

Her argument with her sister Charu too reveals her real feelings:

‘Why do you call her “She”?’

‘What?’

‘Why do you call Sumi “She”?’

‘What do you mean?’

‘Can’t you say “She”? Sumi, or Ma or anything else…

‘Why do you say “She”?’

‘Hey cool it, Aru, what’s with you?’

“Just because Papa has left her, it doesn’t give you the right to be
rude to her, it doesn’t mean she is worthless…” (MT 57)

She cannot tolerate rudeness or insult to her mother under any
circumstances.
Sumi’s break down shows a reversal of roles in the mother – daughter relationship.

“Aru moves forward to steady her mother.” (MT 34)

“….. and Charu holds the sobbing Sumi close to her own body and ‘rocks her, as if she is the mother and her mother her child, until both of them are soothed into a tearless calm.’ (MT 112)

Aru fails to understand her mother’s passive acceptance of her husband Gopal’s action and not taking a stand against him.

According to Usha Bande, Aru represents the young modern generation: “In Aru’s resistance there is the younger generation’s impatience and restlessness to obtain justice.” (Bande 2005: 196)

Her feelings for her mother deepen after the latter’s sudden death. Reading the type script of the story ‘The Gardener’s Son’, she realises that her mother was not “uncaring, indifferent” to any one and she had misunderstood her. (MT 240)

Aru’s relationship with her father hardly conforms to the tradition which demands awe, reverence and uncritical submission to the father. Gopal, her father, wrote an article which was supposed to be for Brahmanism. He was attacked and beaten for it by the students. The charge was absurd as he was never proud of his caste. His father had “disclaimed him as a Brahmin out of disgust when they reveled him for marrying his brother’s widow” and “being a
Brahmin meant nothing” to him. (MT 218) Still, Gopal retracted the article. That made Aru furious who called him a coward. That shows she is outspoken and does not hesitate to criticize her elders when she deems them wrong.

Aru is emotional by nature and takes the departure of her father hard. When her mother proceeds to vacate their rented house, she does not like it. She hopes that Gopal will return and that they will be able to resume their lives. Her love for her father makes her hope that her father will return one day himself or can be persuaded to do that. It is only the realization that they cannot afford to pay the rent for that house any longer compels her to give in.

Her mother, Sumi, realizes the fact and considers it her fate but Aru does not understand it. She mistakes it for apathy on the part of her mother. She wants to reclaim her past and live with both of her parents the way a child lives in a family usually. So she goes twice to her father and tries to bring him back. During the first visit, she begins like a cool, reasonable adult asking polite questions about him but breaks down at last; unable to control herself. During the second visit she is unrestrained. She accuses him and threatens legal action against him. Both the visits end in failure as Gopal does not come back.

Now, Aru begins to think seriously about legal action against her father. She writes to her uncle Anil asking him for legal advice. Then she seeks help from Surekha, a social worker and feminist. But Gopal sticks to his resolve. Thus we find that despite her love for her parents she is demanding.
She expects them to live a normal life with their daughters and she is uncompromising in that respect. When they fail, she goes to the extent bordering hostility – quite reverse of a mild, submissive girl.

Aru’s sisters differ in their response to the changed situation. While Aru becomes secretive and cares less for her college and studies and resigns from student’s council, Charu becomes “Single minded and dogged”: “Nothing else seems to exist for her, apart from her college, her evening classes and her books when she is at home.” (MT 59) She intends to pursue her course of five years and a half at medical college with the help of her maternal aunt. Thus she seems to be a stern realist and a careerist. That Gopal has left the family does not appear important to her. She perceives it as nothing more than her parent’s hassles, as evident form the following advice to her sister: “Listen to me, Aru, let’s not get involved in their hassles let’s go on with our lives. All these things are not important.” (MT 58) Naturally she does not go to her father to persuade him and deems Aru’s attempts to do that futile.

It would be easy to conclude from the above that Charu is rather cold and callous. But when her mother gets a job and decides to go to Devagiri, she is “the first to react, suddenly and to her own surprise, bursting into tears.” (MT 229-230) Her grief becomes louder and uncontrollable when she learns that Seema has learned about the job first and going to Devagiri with her mother. She calls it “not fair.” (MT 230)
Thus we find that Charu is not insensitive or hard as she tries to show herself. Her real self concealed behind a hard shell of apparent self–centeredness is revealed after the death of her mother when she sobs and repents:

“I was selfish, I should have spent more time with her, I kept saying, “my exams, my studies” I never sat down with her, if only I had known.” (MT 241)

She makes a similar gesture when Gopal returns from the burning ground. Charu moves toward him in rain and brings him to the rest of the mourners.

The novelist has little to say about Sumi’s youngest daughter. Her mother feared most about her reaction to father’s desertion but she has proved her fears misplaced. She looks the most untouched and keeps aloof from her mother and sisters, spending most of her time with her grandmother, Kalyani. The next thing we learn about her is regarding her visit to her father. She turns down Aru’s offer to accompany her. Thus, Gopal and Seema remain unaware of each other, a gulf of distance remains between them. But there is no hint of bitterness or resentment. Seema, the youngest, therefore appears the most calm and composed in relationship with her parents.

In Small Remedies (2001), we find both types of parents and children – loving and caring as well as the indifferent ones. Madhu and her father and Adit
and his parents symbolize the first type of the relationship while Savitribai and Munni represent the second type.

Madhu was born a year after the marriage of her parents. As her mother died of tuberculosis when she was only six months old she was deprived of one of her parents by fate. Her father brought her up with the help of a servant, Babu. It was not an easy task as he was a professional, a doctor. Yet he looked after her with care and affection which gave a secure childhood to her.

There is an incident in the novel that reveals his concern and sound conduct in the upkeep of his daughter. Munni asks Madhu to gift her gold ear–rings on her birthday. When Madhu asks her how she can get the money, Munni suggests her to take it from the open drawer of her father. The plan comes to naught as the jeweler comes in the way and reports to Madhu’s father. He buys the rings and presents them to Munni himself while Madhu is directed to give some sweets instead to Munni on her birthday. Madhu’s father keeps the drawer locked since then.

As an affectionate father, he knows that the motherless child needs company. However, he does not allow her to go to movies with Munni who lives in the neighborhood next door. Instead, he accompanies her to movies. Again, it proves to be a wise decision.
The love and care Madhu receives fills her with faith in her father.

Munni’s statement about her father’s affair with a woman does not move her. At that age, the fact of his having a mistress does not mean anything to her. It is beyond her capacity to understand its implication but the vulgarity of the narration horrifies Madhu and she feels sick. That ends her brief friendship with Munni. Her father’s death at Bombay cuts her away and she finds herself alienated from everyone. But soon she comes to know that her father has not left her in lurch, he has left her in the care of an aunt. That aunt Leela is a loving, inspiring and a radiant personality who leaves a lasting impression on Madhu. This too demonstrates wise and caring nature of her father. Madhu and her husband Som are remarkable for their loving and caring attitude toward their son, Adit. Madhu who has lost her parents in early life showers all her affection as long as her son is alive. She is worried that he too may lose his parents one day like her and seeks an alternative in Tony and Rekha. She believes that they will look after him properly if he loses his parents. Som wants to make good money after his birth so he can bring him up decently. He was not a teetotaler before his birth but stops drinking after his death.

Thus both of them are ideal parents but it would be far from truth to think that they are free from human weaknesses. That is particularly true about Som who disturbs the peace of home when a forgotten incident of the adolescent life of Madhu is revealed to him by her. Adit who never appears in
the novel as a living person is a promising, intelligent and sensitive boy of seventeen. One day he leaves home annoyed by the quarrels of his parents and dies a terrible death. He dies in the Bombay blasts following the demolition of Babri Masjid.

The relationship between Munni and her parents stands apart from all the above, Munni is Savitri bai and Ghulam Saab’s illegitimate daughter. Savitri bai, a married Brahmin woman comes into contact with Ghulam in course of her pursuit of music, becomes intimate with him and gives birth to a child, Munni. Though the “child is a beginning, a renewal, a continuation, an assertion of immortality” as the novelist puts it. (SR 168) Munni is barely a year old when Savitri bai abandons her as she leaves her husband’s home with Ghulam Saab. Later she keeps Munni with her at Neemgaon but does not pay much attention to her.

She calls her in sharp voice and even slaps her once. As Savitri bai remains busy with her own pursuit of music, Munni feels almost ignored. She moves in the company she chooses and goes to movies alone. As case of typical indifference is narrated at length in the novel, Munni takes part in the school concert and plays jaltarang. While most of the parents are present to watch the concert, Savitribai is not there. After the concert is over, Munni walks home with Madhu and her father. Madhu’s father notices the apathy of Munni’s
mother and pities her. Later Savitribai sends her away and deliberately forgets
her. She does not bother even when Munni dies in the Bombay blast.

Ghulam Saab, her father, is gentle and kind. But as his relationship
with her mother is not socially approved, she denies he is her father. She begins
with rejecting the name given to her by her parents and chooses the name
Meenakshi instead. In her attempt to look different from her parents,
particularly her father Ghulam Ahmad, she treats her own natural good looks
with lofty disdain and tends to distort her features.

As the novelist puts it:

“She resembled her mother more than her father, but her eyes, her
light grey eyes, cat’s eyes, as they were called, unmistakably
linked her to the man she strenuously disclaimed as father.” (SR 75)

She calls Ghulam a cruel man, a kidnapper who has taken her to
Neemgaon by force and is keeping her there against her will. She hankers after
the identity her mother has left behind. Ghulam on his part, loves her daughter
deeply and when she leaves him and goes back to Pune, he starts drinking to
suppress his feeling of loss.

Savitri bai is stern, uncaring and negligent to her daughter. Munni too
reciprocates the same feelings. Her mother’s life style means disgrace to her
and she tries to cut herself from it. Her mother’s talent, her looks and her
associates are all allergic to her. An instance to the point is her refusal to attend Savitri bai’s performance at the inauguration of the new radio station at Neemgaon. “I hate music”, she declares bluntly. (SR 135)

Later she leaves her mother and goes to Pune or is sent there, marries and becomes known as Shailaja Joshi. That seems to be the goal she has been ever eager to achieve. Thus she gains an identity separate from her mother. But it is ironical that all her endeavour comes to naught in the end as the obituary published after her death refers to her as the “only daughter of Savitribai Indorekar.” (SR 225) How different that is from her wedding card which contained names of her father and grandfather, but not the name of her mother.

In Moving On (2004) we come across four generations of people. First we learn a little about Gayatri’s grandfather and her father. Gayatri’s grandfather was an orthodox wealthy landlord. As he lived in ease, he cared little about education but still Gayatri’s father got a graduate degree as it was supposed to “enhance family’s prestige and status” and expected to “increase the amount of dowry.” (MO 4) The old man had a foul tongue and was proud of his caste.

His son, Gayatri’s father was a rebel “whose actions scrupulously followed his convictions.” (MO 4)
Education made him a Gandhian who disliked “a life of lordly inactivity,” (MO 6) had no respect for his parent’s belief in rituals, feasts etc. He did not concur with their presumption of superiority of the Brahmins, refused to attach enormous importance to food and rejected their idea of purity. He went to jail which amounted to treachery on the part of a son of Roy Bahadur with the additional horror that he lived in close contact with men of all castes including Muslims. Then he married a Harijan girl – an orphan brought up as a daughter by his guru which came “like the end of the world” to his parents. (MO 5)

The conflict of ideas ended their relations. He walked out on his parents and his father disowned his son ritually and disinherited him legally. There was a complete severance as the son too “cast off even his family name.” (MO 6) But the son had a soft corner for his mother. After his release from jail, his parents decided to perform a puja for purification. Though he did not believe he had become impure and made his family too by going to jail, he acquiesced “mainly so as not to displease his mother” (MO 6) but proved adamant in his resolve to marry a Harijan girl as there could be no compromise on principles. Obviously his mother too was not happy about it but she could find no rescue for what he had done. So here is a case of severe conflict of ideas leading to complete severance of relations, a case of heterodox son’s revolt against parental orthodoxy reaching its logical conclusion. In an acquisitive
society where people often sacrifice their principles for sake of wealth,
Gayatri’s father foregoes all for his convictions.

Gayatri’s father had two children – Gayatri and Badri Narayan.
Complete severance from his parents and loss of two wives had made him a joyless man. He smiled sometimes but never laughed. He was a quiet man who spoke rarely and when he did, he spoke softly. But he did not make his home a sad or melancholy one for his children; they lived a normal life.

Though he was always available to his children, when they needed him, he was not authoritarian or interfering. Unlike their friends, Gayatri and Badri Narayan enjoyed a kind of freedom which was quite unusual in those days. That freedom made it possible for them to have a gathering of a mixed group of friends, boys and girls, at their home. Gayatri was not denied that freedom as her father was did not have a discriminating attitude in the case of his daughter. As a matter of fact, most of the gatherings were of her friends.

As a considerate father, he attaches utmost importance to the education of both his daughter and his son. He cared to leave enough money so that her son could get through medical college. When Gayatri resolved to marry RK, “a very estimable young man, with a promising future”, he could not obstruct. He knew Gayatri would be “both comfortable and happy” but he “was unhappy that Gayatri’s education be interrupted.” (MO11)
That was rather unusual in a society where parents often interrupted their daughter’s education for the sake of marriage.

Unlike the traditional type of father, he did not inspire fear in his children and did not remain unapproachable to them. Though he was an inarticulate man yet he made his son feel confident in his last days. It was his openness that made it possible for RK and Gayatri to talk to him about their desire to marry. When Badri Narayan was admitted to medical college, he celebrated the occasion by going to a movie with his daughter and son.

Naturally his children loved him deeply. When Gayatri learned about his heart attack, she came and stayed with him for two months and his death left her distraught and inconsolable. Badri Narayan was also hit hard by his father’s death, though he recognized it only when he went to his hostel. He had a feeling of “blankness that seemed to swallow” him up. (MO 14) Later, he had “a great satisfaction in remembering him and our relationship, in seeing things I never did then.” (MO 13)

In the next generation, Gayatri has no children and Badri Narayan has two daughters – Manjari (Giji) and Malu. However, BK’s son Raja attends Gayatri and Badri Narayan despite his mother’s resentment and they too treat him as their own. When RK dies and Gayatri finds it hard to move into her new home Raja comes and stays with them. Then he plans two rooms on the first
floor for Badri Narayan. He remains with Gayatri and Badri Narayan when the latter’s wife dies and helps him after Gayatri’s death.

Badri Narayan found pleasure in working, thinking and loving children, specially his children, “was a constant source of delight” to him. (MO 55) His children received proper attention and a healthy environment in their early life as both of their parents had enough time for them and there were uncles, aunts and cousins too to get pleasure and emotional security as is evident from Manjari’s recollection.

Complications, however, arise when the daughters grow up. Manjari, the elder one, falls in love with a Sindhi cinematographer, Shyam. As she declares her intent to marry him, both her parents are annoyed. Badri Narayan’s objection is based on the fact that she has not completed her studies and the marriage will disrupt it. And as a matter of fact, she does not continue her studies. As her father tells her later, it is like committing suicide. He wants her to graduate and become a doctor before she marries but she is not willing to wait for five years even though she is too young. Her refusal to wait disappoints her father. Vasu’s disapproval of Manjari’s marriage with Shyam is based on different considerations. Shyam belongs to different community, his profession is disreputable and his income is not steady. Besides these, she invents other grounds like Shyam’s rudeness for her disapproval. She goes on to declare that her love for Shyam is “only physical” etc. (MO 187)
The marriage takes place at last due to the resolute stand of Manjari. As for her father, he is liberal and takes it rather easy. His attitude is well expressed in his speech to Manjari:

“It’s your life. You have to live it the way you want. As long as you’re happy.” (MO 250)

To her mother it is surrender after defeat and bitterness remains. It is a heart break too for her. Even though the parents visit her after her marriage and she too goes to them for Anand’s birth, their relations remain estranged particularly due to Vasu. Later Badri regrets he has failed to stand by her when she needed because of the opposition from his wife. However, she visits her parents before her mother’s death but Vasu goes into coma before her arrival. Again, she comes to her father when she learns about his illness (cancer) and attends him in his last days.

Badri Narayan is quite friendly with Manjari and Raja during his last days. He drinks with them on Saturday evenings something a traditional father can not even dream of. As a medical expert, he is aware of the demands of body and when he discerns Raja’s gestures, he does not disapprove of it. Instead, he hopes she will respond to it. He feels that as she has lost her husband Shyam, she need not spend the rest of her life the way a traditional widow does. Instead she should remarry and Raja will be a suitable match for the purpose.
Eventually Manjari turns down Raja’s advances but Badri Narayan’s desire expressed in his diary testifies to his sane, liberal approach.

Manjari becomes eccentric after her father’s death. She takes long bus rides without any definite purpose which appears odd to the bus conductors. In fact, that is her way to escape loneliness and sense of loss. Her initial reaction to her father bequeathing his house not to her but to Sachi is a feeling of being “cheated, betrayed done out of something that” is hers. (MO140) As Sachi ignorant of the truth, thinks that is a punishment for some commission or omission on Manjari’s part, she is embarrassed about it even more. But sooner than later Manjari overcomes that feeling. We find her resist all the threats from the underworld and protect the house in deference to his will.

Despite all her bitterness for her mother, she does not disappoint her in the critical moment. When Malu, though unmarried becomes pregnant, her mother devises a plan to save the honour of the family and Manjari cooperates with her to carry out that plan and when Malu dies of a post–natal complication after giving birth to a daughter (Sachi) she adopts her as her own to fulfill her wishes. So, she is compassionate and considerate in her dealings.

Raja, the son of B K belongs to the same generation as Manjari. But his relationship with his parents is not that smooth. He is a responsible son with a high standard of filial duty. That is why he grumbles when his sister does not visit their parents even once in a month excusing herself on the ground of being
awfully busy though she is living in the same city. He does not think it suffices on her part to ring every day.

As a teenager Raja becomes annoyed of his father’s constant disapproval of everything he does, his sarcasm and his admonition. So as soon as he graduates he leaves home on the pretext of wanting to work with a firm of architects in Bangalore and staying with Gayatri (who is left alone after the death of RK) at the same time. Raja stays with Badri Narayan and an easy, intimate relationship develops between them. At last Raja becomes sympathetic towards his father but his relation with his mother, Kamala remains strained. This is because Kamala, formerly a gentle, soft-spoken, perfect housewife has now become a nagging woman. She is always complaining about her husband as she thinks he is neglecting his duty as a father leaving his daughter Hemi unmarried. The fact, however, is that Hemi is abnormal and therefore quite incapable of shouldering the responsibilities of a married woman. First, Raja has merely to listen to his mother’s complaints against his father and then his mother starts on him too. Secondly, Raja refuses to marry the girl chosen by his mother and marries Rukku, a Tamil girl instead. That is the other reason of her disappointment and resentment.

Pawan, Anand and Sachi are the children of the next generation. Pawan is the only son of Raja while Anand is Manjari’s son. Sachi is the daughter of Manjari’s husband, Shyam born of his extra-marital relation with
Manjari’s sister, Malu. As Malu dies of a post–natal complication, Manjari has adopted her as her own daughter such a way that Sachi does not ever suspect it.

Though in her conversation with Raja, Manjari complains about the secretiveness of her own children like that of Raja’s Pawan, she has given all liberties to them. They dare criticize her when they feel it necessary. For example, Anand does not hesitate to let her know it when she uses “a word he thinks mothers shouldn’t use.” (MO 68) However, they are friendly and attach utmost importance to her health and safety. Though Manjari does not like the idea of selling the house, she leaves it to Sachi to decide conceding to her will. Sachi’s motive behind her idea of selling the house is not commercial. She thinks Badri Narayan has done wrong by leaving the house for her alone and intends to set it right by selling the house and buying a flat jointly in the names of Anand, herself and Manjari. Thus she deserves the characterisation as a good fairy done by Manjari.

To conclude, though we find merely his name mentioned in the novel sometimes, Pawan too is sympathetic and considerate towards his father. Raja is so confident about the three that when he wants to marry Manjari, he feels they will not oppose it. Eventually the marriage does not take place as Manjari does not respond to Raja’s proposal, but it shows the amount of understanding between the people of two generations.
Mukta Atrey and Viney Kirpal express the general view of the critics when they state that

“a better daughter – father equation than a daughter – mother equation” is the “usual pattern in Deshpande’s fiction” (Atrey and Kirpal 1988: 61)

Such a statement means little if the general and specific context of the equation is ignored. It is worthwhile to see what sort of son – father and son – mother equations we find in Deshpande’s novels and then to discuss the social significance of the pattern. We have discussed above the novels and it is not difficult to see that even though mothers tend to pamper their dull, lazy or parasitical sons sometimes, fathers realize the merits of their daughters in some cases. That is a healthy sign in a patriarchal society where girls are generally viewed as an unwanted burden. That mothers pamper their unworthy sons shows that limited, insufficient nature of their experience. It would not be so pleasant to staunch feminists but it is reality that most of the women are still lagging behind in education and experience. Any attempt to deny that will serve as a plea for status quo and would not improve their lot.

Tradition gave absolute power to parents over their children, particularly to the father. Though the scriptures asked parents to bring up their children properly, their emphasis was always on rights of the parents. The parents could give them to others for adoption, could sell them, banish them
and even kill them. We find in the Ramayana, that Dasarath banished his son, Ram for fourteen years for no fault of his. Ajigarta attempted to sacrifice his son Sunapshep as an offering to the deity Varun. In the Bible, we come across a similar tale about the patriarch who attempted to sacrifice his son for Jehova. The lives were saved in both cases but the tales illustrate the traditional view that the parents had unlimited power over their children. Cases of sale and desertion were not rare, though generally people sold their children during famine or calamity and desertions occurred as they still occurred sometimes, when the letter defied social norms.

Tradition taught children to revere their parents, scriptures declare that children are born indebted to parents and they ought to be grateful to them. They should not address their parents by name or even utter their name in conversation. They were not expected to question or challenge their decisions.

Instead they had to carry out their orders. Nobody could speak ill of one’s elders, not to speak of parents. Blind adherence was norm sanctioned by the traditional for children. Needless to say, that gave utmost relief of the men of licentious character who married a large number of women and had a large number of concubines and had no qualms of conscience to look after their children. Those unfortunate ones rotted in neglect deprived of education, medical care or even basic needs in many cases. Tradition provided ample opportunities to elder brother etc. too to exploit cheat and abuse younger ones.
Whatever the real intent of the author; the Ramayana is popular with many a father nowadays who shirks from his responsibility towards his children. It is also the favoured text of the cruel and deceitful elder brothers. Such people interpret the epic as the gospel of servility to silence the younger.

While modern thought does not favour corporal punishment, tradition attaches utmost importance to it. Spare the rod, and spoil the child said the tradition. In India, traditionally it was held that children were to be looked after affectionately for first five years and treated severely for ten years and to be treated as friends on attaining the sixteenth year. Such wisdom ignored the sensitivity of the child and the adolescent. Modern pedagogy prohibits corporal punishment and teaches respect for the personality of the young. Older generations paid no attention to the needs of children. There were persons who taught in schools for years and still though that toys made little ones greedy and the young needed no games and sports for their development. Such faulty notions die hard.

True, a lot of change has taken place during the last century. Still, all is not well with the children in India. According to a UNESCO report, for 2000 there were more than 72 million children deprived of basic education belonging to the age group 5 – 14. Again, India’s Labour Commission Report, 2001 gives the number of working children more than 100 million, ten times more than the official figures available from the Census and NSS reports. Among the illiterate
working class families, children suffer a lot at the hand of the alcoholic fathers. They are subjected to malnutrition and receive medical care hardly. Generally, ignorance accompanies poverty with the result that often parents are superstitious. Whether it is a case of snake bite or some disease they turn to the magic remedies which are not always cheap as we usually thinks, but invariably useless and often fatal.

Children of the well–to–do families receive education and training their guardians deem worth while. They do receive all they need materially to make one happy. But that too is possible only if the parents are loving, attentive and wield power in the family. But when the parents are dead or powerless, they are left at the mercy of others who do not treat them with such affection and care. Home becomes a terrible place to the young ones when there are frequent quarrels in the family among the elders, the worst case being the quarrels between the parents. We find a fictionalized account of the plight of a child left at the mercy of others in *David Copperfield* while Gorky’s *My Childhood* presents a real version.

Shashi Deshpande’s novels focus mainly on middle class families. Hunger and malnutrition are not the problems there. But the children in such families often miss their parents if they are employed. Some of them are sensitive to the emotional needs of their children; some of them are careless and irresponsible. Kusum’s father in *The Binding Vine* is not employed and does not
care to do anything, while parents of Adit in *Small Remedies* and of Manjari in *Moving On* present due type who try their best to make their children happy. But sometimes they forget that money alone does not suffice to make everybody happy. When Som in *Small Remedies* make the most of the pre-marital sexual encounter of his wife with a painter, an isolated incident which she had forgotten and failed to mention to him, he destroys the peace of the home. His son leaves the house and gets killed in the blasts. Similarly BK’s son leaves his parents as his mother’s obsessive concern about her abnormal daughter Hema’s marriage becomes unbearable to him in *Moving On*. That shows even the educated, and responsible parents are not as careful in maintaining the peace and harmony at home as they ought to be.

Sometimes parents’ ambition ruins the life of the child. Educationists and psychologists attach utmost importance to aptitudes and capabilities of the child and seek to provide suitable conditions for the development of his or her potentials. Ignorant of all that, some parents attempt to make their children doctors, engineers, chartered accountants or business administrators. When they fail, they treat them harshly or humiliate them. Even if they do not move to that extreme, their neighbours do not spare them. Children who fail to live up to those expectations tend to become depressed and sometimes meet with a tragic end. Every year, we find reports in the newspapers about the students who failed and committed suicide to escape humiliation. We are also well aware of
the tragic end of sub–junior table–tennis player Biswadip Bhattacharya (14) who died on January 8th, 2007 – a victim of his father’s ambition.

Unfortunately, there is no dearth of the ambitious guardians like Biswadip’s father in middle class families.

Shashi Deshpande’s Manorama in A Matter of Time presents that type of such ambitious parents. First, she wanted a son and became disappointed when she got a daughter, Kalyani. Many men and women even today are ignorant of the scientific truth that the sex of children depends on the mixing of the chromosomes which is purely accidental. They also forget that the human race needs both sexes for its preservation and reproduction. Manorama got even more disappointed when she found that the daughter was not beautiful. When Kalyani bore a son, and that too an idiot and was deserted by her husband, her dreams were totally shattered. That made Manorama hostile to Kalyani. She blamed Kalyani for making her life miserable though the truth was quite contrary to her accusation.

In Shashi Deshpande’s novels, daughters are found to rebel against their mothers. According to Medha Sachdev, “Deshpande’s novels do not valourize motherhood. In fact, the bond between mother and daughter is perpetually under question.” (Sachdev 2011:182)

She has thus deconstructed the classical Indian myth of a loving mother, a person symbolic of care, sacrifice and forgiveness. In her novels
mothers are shown to have common human characteristics like selfishness, jealousy, possessiveness, and often even cruelty.

According to Shalmalee Palekar:

“The conflict between mother and daughter is presented by author as a conflict between tradition and modernity, a clash between freedom and dependence, of the assertion of selfhood and the need for love in relationships.” (Palekar 2005:60)

Shashi Deshpande’s novels focus mainly on mother – daughter relationship. The other aspect of filial relationship characterized by father – daughter bond finds limited portrayal. However, within the limitations, it is presented on a positive note. Even though fathers in her novels are representative of the different aspects of masculinity and patriarchy, yet they are more progressive in their ways of thinking and outlook. They are shown as going against the social norms by allowing their daughters to pursue higher studies and getting married according to their choice. They play the role of a true guide, advisor and supporter; sympathizing with their daughters. They play a significant role in the multi – dimensional development of their daughters.

To conclude, our society has not as yet reached where parents and children live in an ideal relationship pleasant to both. There are a lot of obstacles in the way. Poverty, ignorance, superstitions and gender are the main ones. But the novels of Shashi Despande present a promising glimpse of the
changes taking place around us. On one hand we find the children striving to assert themselves in different ways, and on the other we find the parents trying to grasp the reality and realize the demands of new age, the sensibilities and aspirations of new generation. There in lies the hope for the future, though a lot remains to be achieved.