

A technical drawing of a rectangular table. The table is represented by a grid of 5 columns and 4 rows. The top and bottom edges of the table are hatched with diagonal lines. Small squares are placed at the corners and midpoints of the hatched edges, likely indicating specific details or materials. The grid lines are solid black lines.


## --LIST OF TABLES—

## List of Tables

Table Number	Title	Page No.
Table 1.1	Comparison of Relationship models in reference to History and development of KAM	14
Table 1.2	Comparison between Transaction Focus and Traditional Focus	16
Table 3.1	Skills and Qualities of Ideal Key Account Manager	56
Table 3.2	Accenture's Inputs on success factors of KAM	57
Table 3.3	Benefits and Cautions of KAM	60
Table 5.1	Hypothesis I Binomial test	70
Table 5.2	Data from Questionnaire	71
Table 5.3	Hypothesis II one sample test	71
Table 5.4	Data from Questionnaire	71
Table 5.5	Hypothesis II One Sample t test	72
Table 5.6	Hypothesis III Observed N and Expected N	72
Table 5.7	Hypothesis III Observed N and Expected N	73
Table 5.8	Chi Square Test related table Hypothesis III testing	73
Table 5.9	Hypothesis IV Observed N and Expected N	74
Table 5.10	Chi-Square test Hypothesis IV	74
Table 5.11	Observed N and Expected N for Hypothesis 5	75
Table 5.12	Observed N and Expected N for Hypothesis 5	75
Table 5.13	Chi Square test Hypothesis V	75
Table 5.14	Observed N and Expected N for Hypothesis 6	76
Table 5.15	Observed N and Expected N for Hypothesis 6	76
Table 5.16	: Chi-Square test for Hypothesis 6	76
Table 6.1	Table showing No % of companies and no of years KAM is being used	77
Table 6.2	Descriptive statistics for separating key accounts from regular accounts	78
Table 6.3	Percentage of Companies and types of business agreements with KA	82
Table 6.4	Table showing Percentage of business coming from KA	83
Table 6.5	Table showing Percentage of companies having dedicated KAM	85
Table 6.6	Table showing 'Frequency Distribution' of preferences of special offerings to key customers	87
Table 6.7	Table showing 'Descriptive statistics' of the preferences of special offerings to key customers	
Table 6.8	Table showing similarities of KAM practices	92
Table 6.9	Table Showing the Preferences of Distribution channel in KA business	93
Table 6.10	Table showing 'Frequency Distribution' of Priorities to build better Interactions with the key accounts	95

## List of Tables

Table 6.11	Table showing the ‘Descriptive Statistics’ of Priorities to build better Interactions with the key accounts	95
Table 6.12	Table showing the similarity of practices with parent companies to build better interaction levels	99
Table 6.13	Table showing ‘Frequency Distribution’ of Parameters of monitoring performance of key accounts	101
Table 6.14	Table showing ‘Descriptive Statistics’ of parameters to monitor performance of Key accounts	101
Table 6.15	Table showing level of expertise as the company’s responses	105
Table 6.16	Table showing ‘Frequency Distribution’ of Qualities Expected from KA Manager / team member or officials handling key accounts	107
Table 6.17	Table showing Descriptive statistics of Qualities Expected from KA Manager / team member or officials handling key accounts	107
Table 6.18	Table showing Frequency Distribution for Policy Initiatives to retain performing KAM officials	112
Table 6.19	Table Showing ‘Descriptive Statistics’ for Policy to retain performing KAM officials	112
Table 6.20	Table showing ‘Frequency Distribution’ of Major Challenges to handle Key Accounts effectiveness	114
Table 6.21	Table showing ‘Descriptive Statistics’ of Major Challenges to handle Key Accounts effectiveness	115
Table 6.22	Table showing Response from key accounts on information about competitors	120
Table 6.23	Table showing ‘Frequency Distribution’ of threats from competitors in key account business	123
Table 6.24	Table showing ‘Descriptive Statistics’ of threats from competitors in key account business.	124
Table 6.25	: Table showing Frequency Distribution of top four expectations from key account.	126
Table 6.26	Table showing Descriptive statistics of top four expectations from key account	127
Table 6.27	Table showing Frequency Distribution of main reasons of losing key accounts	130
Table 6.28	Table showing Descriptive statistics of main reasons of losing key accounts	130
Table 6.29	Table showing ‘Frequency Distribution’ of major implications of losing key accounts	134
Table 6.30	Table showing ‘Descriptive Statistics’ of major implications of losing KA	134
Table 6.31	Table showing ‘Frequency Distribution’ of Special strategies to generate business form competitor’s key accounts	137

## List of Tables

Table 6.32	Table showing ‘Descriptive Statistics’ of Special strategies to generate business form competitor’s key accounts	137
Table 6.33	Table showing Involvement of support tams in business with KA	142
Table 6.34	Type of communication followed in business with Key Accounts	144
Table 6.35	Table showing Frequency Distribution of effectiveness parameters when KAM is established	146
Table 6.36	Table showing ‘Descriptive Statistics’ of effectiveness parameters when KAM is established	147
Table 6.37	Table showing ‘Frequency Distribution’ of internal limitations for effective implementation of KAM	151
Table 6.38	Table showing ‘Descriptive statistics’ of internal limitations for effective implementation of KAM	151
Table 6.39	Table showing ‘Frequency Distribution’ of external challenges for effective implementation of KAM	154
Table 6.40	: Table showing ‘Descriptive Statistics’ of external challenges for effective implementation of KAM	154
Table 6.41	Table showing ‘Frequency Distribution’ of Important Measures of KAM effectiveness in the long run	157
Table 6.42	Table showing ‘Descriptive Statistics’ of Important Measures of KAM effectiveness in the long run	158
Table 6.43	Table showing ‘Frequency Distribution’ of Major Benefits of measuring and monitoring key accounts in short term and long term	162
Table 6.44	Table showing ‘Descriptive Statistics ‘of Major Benefits of Measuring and Monitoring key accounts in short term and long term	162
Table 6.45	Table showing the own rating assessment of KAM effectiveness	168



# --LIST OF CHARTS --

## List of Charts

Chart no	Chart Title	Page no
Chart no 1.1	Porter's five determinants of Industry Profitability	5
Chart no 1.2	Pyramid showing the development of KAM practices over the years	11
Chart no 1.3	Development stages of KAM theory and practice	14
Chart no 1.4	Key account Relationship Development Model	16
Chart no 1.5	KAM and Customer relationship model	19
Chart No 2.1	KAM development for a Domain	37
Chart no 3.1	Analyze, Realize, Integrate and Align in KAM	51
Chart No 4.1	Process of Research	63
Chart no 6.1	Percentage of companies and no of years of KAM implementation	77
Chart no 6.2	Key Account Selection Matrix	81
Chart no 6.3	Companies and types of business agreements with KA's	82
Chart no 6.4	percentage of total business from key accounts	83
Chart no 6.5	Percentage of companies having dedicated KAM	84
Chart no 6.6	Chart showing similarities of KAM practices	92
Chart no 6.7	Chart showing preferences of Distribution Chanel.	93
Chart no 6.8	Showing similarity of Interaction levels	99
Chart no 6.9	Chart showing level of expertise in KAM	104
Chart no 6.10	Chart showing Percentage companies and expertise levels	105
Chart no 6.11	Chart showing level of interaction and nature of relationships in KAM	108
Chart no 6.12	key Customers attractiveness	116
Chart no 6.13	Chart showing Response from key accounts on information about competitors	120
Chart no 6.14	Chart showing Levels of Interactions	140
Chart no 6.15	Chart showing frequency of involvement of support teams	142
Chart no 6.16	Chart showing Type of communication followed in business with Key Accounts	144
Chart no 6.17	Partnership Relationship Management	159
Chart no 6.18	Requirements, criteria and assessment in KAM initiatives	167
Chart no 6.19	Own assessment of rating of KAM practices	168
Chart no 6.20	Improvement areas in effective KAM	172
Chart no 7.1	Approach of distributor evaluation Source	176
Chart no 7.2	Performance Model in KAM	191