

CHAPTER 3
SOME REGULAR MATRIX
TRANSFORMATION

Some Regular Matrix Transformation

In this chapter we have introduced a new regular matrix F of Fibonacci numbers and introduced some new sequence spaces based on the newly defined regular matrix F . Also we have introduced the criterion for the regularity of a matrix whose elements are interval numbers and we have defined a regular matrix \bar{F} of interval numbers using Fibonacci numbers. We have defined some new sequence spaces based on \bar{F} . We have also studied some of their algebraic and topological properties related to these spaces.

3.1 Regular Matrix Transformation on Sequences of Real Numbers

Let X, Y be two sequence spaces and $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of real numbers a_{nk} , where $n, k \in N$. Then, A defines a matrix mapping from X into Y and we denote it by $A : X \rightarrow Y$, if for every sequence $x = (x_k) \in X$, the sequence $AX = \{A_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, the A -transform of x , is in Y ; where

$$A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k, (n \in N).$$

By (X, Y) , we denote the class of all matrices A such that $A : X \rightarrow Y$. Thus $A \in (X, Y)$ if and only if the series on the right hand side above con-

verges for each $n \in N$ and every $x \in X$ and we have $Ax \in Y$ for all $x \in X$. The matrix domain $X(A)$ of an infinite matrix A in a sequence space X is defined by

$$X(A) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : Ax \in X\},$$

which is a sequence space.

A sequence space X is called *FK* space if it is a complete linear metric space with continuous coordinates $p_n : X \rightarrow R$ ($n \in N$), where R denotes the real field and $p_n(x) = x_n$ for all $x = (x_k) \in X$ and every ($n \in N$). A *BK* space is a normed *FK* space, i.e., a *BK* space is a Banach space with continuous coordinates. The spaces c , c_0 and ℓ_∞ are *BK* spaces with $\|x\| = \sup_k |x_k|$.

For any given sequence (x_n) a new sequence (y_n) is defined as follows:

$$y_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k$$

provided in the later case y_n has a meaning. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n$ exists, the limit is called the generalized value of the sequence (x_n) by the transformation. If whenever (x_n) converges, (y_n) converges to the same value, then the transformation is said to be regular.

The following lemma (known as the Toeplitz theorem) contains necessary and sufficient conditions for regularity of a matrix.

The matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ is regular if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

(a) There exists $M > 0$ such that for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the following inequality holds:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \leq M ;$$

(b) For every $k = 1, 2, \dots \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0$.

(c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} = 1$.

Let (p_k) be a sequence of positive numbers and $P_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k$.

Then the matrix $R^p = (r_{nk}^p)$ of the Riesz mean is given by

$$r_{nk}^p = \begin{cases} \frac{p_k}{P_n} & (1 \leq k \leq n); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is known that the Riesz matrix is a Toeplitz matrix if and only if $P_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Some sequence spaces based on regular matrix of Fibonacci numbers:

Fibonacci numbers are widely studied and the formulas to derive them are well-known. Such formulas include Binet's formula,

$$f_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \right]$$

and Cassini's formula,

$$f_{n+1}f_{n-1} - f_n^2 = (-1)^n,$$

where f_n is the n^{th} Fibonacci number.

The Fibonacci numbers are the sequence of numbers $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defined by the linear recurrence equations

$$f_0 = 0 \text{ and } f_1 = 1, f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}; n \geq 2.$$

Fibonacci numbers have many interesting properties and applications in arts, sciences and architecture. Also, some basic properties of Fibonacci numbers are given as follows:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n f_k = f_{n+2} - 1; n \geq 1,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n f_k^2 = f_n f_{n+1}; n \geq 1,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{f_k} \text{ converges, } n \geq 1,$$

In [51] Kara and Basarir defined a Fibonacci matrix $F = (f_{nk})_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$ by

$$f_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_k^2}{f_n f_{n+1}} & (1 \leq k \leq n); \\ 0 & (k > n) \end{cases}$$

that is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{4}{6} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \frac{1}{15} & \frac{1}{15} & \frac{4}{15} & \frac{9}{15} & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

It is obvious that the matrix F is triangular matrix, i.e., $f_{nk} \neq 0$ for $k \leq n$ and $f_{nk} = 0$ for $k > n$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Also it follows that the method is regular.

In [51] Kara and Basarir defined the Fibonacci sequence space $X(F)$ as

$X(F) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : y = (y_k) \in X\}$, where $X = l_\infty, c, c_0$ and ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$)

where the sequence $y = (y_k)$ is the F -transform of a sequence $x = (x_k)$, i.e.,

$$y_k = F_k(x) = \frac{1}{f_k f_{k+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k f_j^2 x_j \text{ for all } k \in N.$$

It follows that $X(F)$ is the matrix domain of the triangle F .

In [51] Kara and Basarir investigated the matrix domain of F in the classical sequence spaces $X = \ell_\infty, c, c_0$ and ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$).

We define the Fibonacci matrix $F = (f_{nk})_{n,k=1}^\infty$,

$$f_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_k}{f_{n+2}-1} & (1 \leq k \leq n); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{2}{4} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \frac{1}{7} & \frac{1}{7} & \frac{2}{7} & \frac{3}{7} & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

It is obvious that the matrix F is triangular matrix, i.e., $f_{nk} \neq 0$ for $k \leq n$ and $f_{nk} = 0$ for $k > n$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Also it follows that the method is regular.

Now, we introduce the following sequence spaces based on the infinite

matrix F :

$$c(F) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : Fx \in c\}$$

$$c_0(F) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : Fx \in c_0\}$$

$$\ell_\infty(F) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : Fx \in \ell_\infty\}$$

where $Fx = \{F_n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $F_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_{nk}x_k = \frac{f_k}{f_{n+2}-1} \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_{nk}x_k$, ($n \in N$).

Theorem 3.1.1: The spaces $c(F)$, $c_0(F)$ and $\ell_\infty(F)$ are *BK* spaces with the same norm given by

$$\|x\|_{X(F)} = \|Fx\|_X = \sup_n |F_n(x)| \text{ where } X \in \{c, c_0, \ell_\infty\}.$$

Proof: By theorem 4.3.2 of Wilansky [12], [p.63] and as the matrix F is triangular, we have the result.

Remark 3.1.1: It can be easily seen that the absolute property does not hold on the spaces $c(F)$, $c_0(F)$, $\ell_\infty(F)$ i.e., $\|x\|_{X(F)} \neq \| |x| \|_{X(F)}$ for at least one sequence x in each of these spaces, where $|x| = (|x_k|)$. Thus the spaces $c(F)$, $c_0(F)$ and $\ell_\infty(F)$ are *BK* spaces of non-absolute type.

Theorem 3.1.2: The sequence spaces $c(F)$, $c_0(F)$ and $\ell_\infty(F)$ are norm isomorphic to the spaces c , c_0 and ℓ_∞ respectively i.e., $c(F) \cong c$, $c_0(F) \cong c_0$ and $\ell_\infty(F) \cong \ell_\infty$.

Proof: X denotes any of the spaces c , c_0 or ℓ_∞ and $X(F)$ be the respective one of the spaces $c(F)$, $c_0(F)$ or $\ell_\infty(F)$. Since the matrix F is

triangular, it has a unique inverse, which is also triangular (Wilansky, 1984, proposition 1.1). Therefore the linear operator $L_F : X(F) \rightarrow X$, defined by $L_F(x) = F(x)$ for all $x \in X(F)$, is bijective and is norm preserving by above norm in theorem 3.1.1. Hence $X(F) \cong X$.

Theorem 3.1.3: The inclusions $c_0(F) \subset c(F) \subset \ell_\infty(F)$ hold and are proper.

Proof: It is clear that the inclusion $c_0(F) \subset c(F) \subset \ell_\infty(F)$ hold.

Example 3.1.1: Consider the sequence $x = (x_k)$ defined by $x_k = 1$, for all $k \in N$. Then we have for every $n \in N$,

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{f_{n+2-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k = 1$$

This shows that $Fx \in c$ but not in c_0 . Thus the sequence x is in $c(F)$ but not in $c_0(F)$. Hence the inclusion $c_0(F) \subset c(F)$ strictly holds.

Again, consider the sequence $x = (x_k)$ defined by

$$x_k = \frac{(-1)^k((f_{k+2} + f_{k+1} - 1))}{f_k}, \text{ for all } k \in N.$$

Then we have for every $n \in N$,

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{f_{n+2-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k x_k = (-1)^n$$

This shows that $Fx \in \ell_\infty$ but not in c . Thus the sequence x is in $\ell_\infty(F)$ but not in $c(F)$. Hence the inclusion $c(F) \subset \ell_\infty(F)$ holds and it is strict.

Theorem 3.1.4: The inclusions $c_0 \subset c_0(F)$, $c \subset c(F)$ and $\ell_\infty \subset \ell_\infty(F)$

hold and are proper.

Proof: As F is a regular matrix, so the inclusion $c_0 \subset c_0(F)$ and $c \subset c(F)$ are obvious.

Now, let $x = (x_k) \in \ell_\infty$. Then there is a constant $M > 0$ such that $|x_k| \leq M$ for all $k \in N$.

Thus for each $n \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n f_k |x_k| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{f_{n+2-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k = M \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $Fx \in \ell_\infty$ i.e., $x \in \ell_\infty(F)$. Thus we conclude that $\ell_\infty \subset \ell_\infty(F)$.

Example 3.1.2: Consider the sequence $x = (x_k) = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$. Then we have for every $n \in N$,

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{f_{n+2}} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k x_k = \frac{1}{f_{n+2-1}} (f_1 + f_3 + \dots + f_n)$$

which is convergent.

This shows that $Fx \in c$ but x is not in c . Thus the sequence x is in $c(F)$. Hence the inclusion $c \subset c(F)$ strictly holds. Similarly, we can show the other inclusions are strict.

3.2 Regular Matrix Transformation of Interval Numbers

In this section, we introduced the criterion for the regularity of a matrix whose elements are interval numbers and defined a regular matrix \bar{F} of interval numbers using fibonacci numbers and introduced some new sequence spaces $c_0^i(\bar{F})$, $c^i(\bar{F})$, $\ell_\infty^i(\bar{F})$ based on the newly defined regular matrix of interval numbers \bar{F} and investigated some relations related to these spaces. For any given sequence (\bar{x}_n) of interval numbers a new sequence (\bar{y}_n) is defined as follows:

$$\bar{y}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{nk} \bar{x}_k = [\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{lnk} x_{lk}, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{rnk} x_{rk}]$$

provided in the later case \bar{y}_n has a meaning. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{y}_n$ exists, the limit is called the generalized value of the sequence \bar{x}_n by the transformation. If whenever \bar{x}_n converges, \bar{y}_n converges to the same value, then the transformation is said to be regular.

Theorem 3.2.1: The matrix $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nk})$ of interval numbers is regular if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:

(a) There exists $M > 0$ such that for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the following inequalities hold:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\bar{a}_{nk}| \leq M \text{ i.e., } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{lnk}| \leq M \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{rnk}| \leq M.$$

(b) For every $k = 1, 2, \dots$ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{a}_{nk} = \theta$ where $\theta = [0, 0]$.

i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{lnk} = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{rnk} = 0$.

(c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{a}_{nk} = [1, 1]$, i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{lnk} = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{rnk} = 1$.

Proof: Suppose \bar{A} satisfies the three conditions. Let $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) = (x_{lk}, x_{rk})$ be such that $\bar{x}_k = \bar{x}_0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $x_{lk} = x_{l0}$ and $x_{rk} = x_{r0}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Obviously, by (a) $\bar{A}\bar{x} = \bar{y} = (\bar{y}_n)$ exists.

Now we have to show that $\bar{y}_n = \bar{x}_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $y_{ln} = x_{l0}$ and $y_{rn} = x_{r0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists integer N such that

$$d(\bar{x}_0, \bar{x}_k) < \varepsilon \text{ for } k > N$$

$$|x_{l0} - x_{lk}| < \varepsilon \text{ and } |x_{r0} - x_{rk}| < \varepsilon \text{ for } k > N$$

By (c), there is an integer K such that

$$|1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{lnk}| < \varepsilon \dots\dots\dots(3.2.1)$$

$$\text{and } |1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{rnk}| < \varepsilon \text{ for } n > K \dots\dots\dots(3.2.2)$$

We consider the 1st case only

Now using (a), we have for $n > N$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{l0} - y_{ln}| &= |x_{l0} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{lnk} x_{lk}| \\ &= |\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{lnk} (x_{l0} - x_{lk}) + x_{l0} (1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{lnk})| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^N + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \right) |a_{lnk}| |x_{l0} - x_{lk}| + |x_{l0}| \varepsilon$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^N |a_{lnk}| |x_{l0} - x_{lk}| + M \varepsilon + |x_{l0}| \varepsilon$$

But, by (b) there is an integer $K' > K$ such that $|a_{lnk}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{N}$ for $n > K'$.

Hence, if $L = \sup |x_{l0} - x_{lk}|$, we have for $n > K'$

$$|x_{l0} - y_{ln}| < N L \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{N} \right) + M \varepsilon + |x_{l0}| \varepsilon$$

$$= (L + M + |x_{l0}|) \varepsilon$$

This implies that $y_{ln} \rightarrow x_{l0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Similarly, we can prove that $y_{rn} \rightarrow x_{r0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, we get $\bar{y}_n \rightarrow \bar{x}_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Conversely, let us suppose that $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nk}) = ([a_{lnk}, a_{rnk}])$ is regular as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(a) At first we shall show that the first condition is satisfied for (a_{lnk}) .

It is obvious (see [63], Theorem 1.3.2, p. 5). Similar conclusion can be drawn for (a_{rnk}) .

(b) For every $i > 1$ we define a sequence $(\bar{e}_k^{(i)}) = \begin{cases} [1, 1] & (k = i); \\ [0, 0] & (k \neq i); \end{cases}$

Then we have, $y_{ln}^{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{lnk} \bar{e}_{lk}^{(i)} = a_{lni}$

and $y_{rn}^{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{rnk} \bar{e}_{lk}^{(i)} = a_{rni}$.

As \bar{A} is regular and for all i , $\bar{e}_k^{(i)} \rightarrow [0, 0]$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\bar{a}_{ni} \rightarrow \theta$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all i .

(c) Consider the sequence $(\bar{x}_k) = \{[1, 1], [1, 1], [1, 1], \dots, [1, 1], \dots\}$. So, it is obvious that $y_{ln} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{lnk} x_{lk} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{lnk} \rightarrow 1$ and $y_{rn} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{rnk} x_{lk} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{rnk} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $x_{lk} \rightarrow 1$ and $x_{rk} \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof.

Some sequence spaces on regular matrix of interval numbers based on Fibonacci numbers:

Now we define the Fibonacci matrix $\bar{F} = (\bar{f}_{nk})_{n,k=1}^{\infty} = ([f_{lnk}, f_{rnk}])_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$, by

$$f_{lnk} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_k^2}{f_n f_{n+1}} & (1 \leq k \leq n); \\ 0 & (k > n) \end{cases}$$

$$f_{rnk} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_k}{f_{n+2} - 1} & (1 \leq k \leq n); \\ 0 & (k > n) \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} [1, 1] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & \dots \\ \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] & \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & \dots \\ \left[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4}\right] & \left[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4}\right] & \left[\frac{4}{6}, \frac{2}{4}\right] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & \dots \\ \left[\frac{1}{15}, \frac{1}{7}\right] & \left[\frac{1}{15}, \frac{1}{7}\right] & \left[\frac{4}{15}, \frac{2}{7}\right] & \left[\frac{9}{15}, \frac{3}{7}\right] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & \dots \\ \left[\frac{1}{40}, \frac{1}{12}\right] & \left[\frac{1}{40}, \frac{1}{12}\right] & \left[\frac{4}{40}, \frac{2}{12}\right] & \left[\frac{9}{40}, \frac{3}{12}\right] & \left[\frac{25}{40}, \frac{5}{12}\right] & [0, 0] & [0, 0] & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

It is obvious that the matrix \overline{F} is a triangular matrix of interval numbers and by the theorem 3.2.1, \overline{F} is regular.

We have introduced the following sequence spaces based on the infinite matrix \overline{F} :

$$c^i(\overline{F}) = \{\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k) \in \omega^i : \overline{F}\overline{x} \in c^i\}$$

$$c_0^i(\overline{F}) = \{\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k) \in \omega^i : \overline{F}\overline{x} \in c_0^i\}$$

$$\ell_\infty^i(\overline{F}) = \{\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k) \in \omega^i : \overline{F}\overline{x} \in \ell_\infty^i\}$$

where $\overline{F}\overline{x} = \left\{ \left(\overline{F}_n(\overline{x}) \right) \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\overline{F}_n(\overline{x}) = [\sum_{k=1}^\infty f_{lnk}x_{lk}, \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_{rnk}x_{rk}]$ ($n \in N$).

Theorem 3.2.2: $(c_0^i(\overline{F}), \overline{d})$, $(c^i(\overline{F}), \overline{d})$ are complete metric spaces with the metric defined by $\overline{d}(\overline{x}_k, \overline{y}_k) = \sup_k \max \{|x_{lk} - y_{lk}|, |x_{rk} - y_{rk}|\}$.

Proof: It can be established using standard technique.

Theorem 3.2.3: $c_0^i(\overline{F})$ and $c^i(\overline{F})$ are normed interval spaces with the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup_k \max \{|x_{lk}|, |x_{rk}|\}$$

Proof: Let $\mu^i = c_0^i(\overline{F})$ (or $c^i(\overline{F})$) and $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in \mu^i$

$N_1.$ Since $\|\overline{x}\|_{\mu^i} = \sup_k \max \{|x_{lk}|, |x_{rk}|\}$, then we have $\|\overline{x}\|_{\mu^i} > 0 \forall \overline{x} \in \mu^i - \{\theta\}$.

$N_2.$ $\|\overline{x}\|_{\mu^i} = 0 \iff \sup_k \max \{|x_{lk}|, |x_{rk}|\} = 0 \iff \overline{x} = \theta$, where $\theta = [0, 0]$.

$$\begin{aligned} N_3. \|\overline{x} + \overline{y}\|_{\mu^i} &= \sup_k \max \{|x_{lk} + y_{lk}|, |x_{rk} + y_{rk}|\} \\ &\leq \sup_k \max \{|x_{lk}| + |y_{lk}|, |x_{rk}| + |y_{rk}|\} \\ &\leq \sup_k \max \{|x_{lk}|, |x_{rk}|\} + \sup_k \max \{|y_{lk}|, |y_{rk}|\} \\ &= \|\overline{x}\|_{\mu^i} + \|\overline{y}\|_{\mu^i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_4. \|\alpha \overline{x}\|_{\mu^i} &= \sup_k \max \{|\alpha x_{lk}|, |\alpha x_{rk}|\} \\ &= |\alpha| \sup_k \max \{|x_{lk}|, |x_{rk}|\} \\ &= |\alpha| \|\overline{x}\|_{\mu^i} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|\overline{x}\|_{\mu^i}$ is a norm on μ^i .

Theorem 3.2.4: The spaces $c_0^i(\overline{F})$ and $c^i(\overline{F})$ are solid.

Proof: We consider only $c_0^i(\overline{F})$.

Now, let $\|\overline{y}_k\| \leq \|\overline{x}_k\|$, for all $k \in N$ and for some $\overline{x} \in c_0^i(\overline{F})$. Then we have, $\overline{d}(\overline{y}_k, \theta) \leq \overline{d}(\overline{x}_k, \theta)$, that is $\{|y_{lk} - 0|, |y_{rk} - 0|\} \leq \{|x_{lk} - 0|, |x_{rk} - 0|\}$.

Thus we have $y_{lk} \leq x_{lk}$ and $y_{rk} \leq x_{rk}$, i.e., $\overline{y} \leq \overline{x}$.

So, clearly $\overline{y} \in c_0^i(\overline{F})$. Hence $c_0^i(\overline{F})$ is solid.

For the space $c^i(\overline{F})$, the result can be proved similarly.

Theorem 3.2.5: The spaces $c_0^i(\overline{F})$ and $c^i(\overline{F})$ are sequence algebra.

Proof: We prove that $c_0^i(\overline{F})$ is a sequence algebra.

Let $(\overline{x}_k), (\overline{y}_k) \in c_0^i(\overline{F})$.

Then, $\lim_k \overline{x}_k = \theta$ and $\lim_k \overline{y}_k = \theta$, where $\theta = [0, 0]$.

Then we have, $\lim_k (\overline{x}_k \overline{y}_k) = \theta$.

Thus $(\overline{x}_k \overline{y}_k) \in c_0^i(\overline{F})$. Hence $c_0^i(\overline{F})$ is a sequence algebra.

For the space $c^i(\overline{F})$, the result can be proved similarly.

Result 3.2.1: The spaces $c_0^i(\overline{F})$ and $c^i(\overline{F})$ are not convergence free in general.

Proof: Here, we give a counter example.

Example 3.2.1: Let, $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k)$ and $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_k)$ be two sequences of interval numbers.

Now let, $\bar{x}_k = \left[\frac{1}{k}, \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \right]$

and $\bar{y}_k = \left[(-1)^k, 2 + \frac{1}{k} \right]$ for all $k \in N$.

Then $(\bar{x}_k) \in c^i(\bar{F})$ and $c_0^i(\bar{F})$ but $(\bar{y}_k) \notin c^i(\bar{F})$ and $c_0^i(\bar{F})$.

Hence the spaces $c^i(\bar{F})$ and $c_0^i(\bar{F})$ are not convergence free in general.

Theorem 3.2.6: The inclusions $c_0^i(\bar{F}) \subset c^i(\bar{F}) \subset \ell_\infty^i(\bar{F})$ hold and are strict.

Proof: Clearly, the inclusion $c_0^i(\bar{F}) \subset c^i(\bar{F}) \subset \ell_\infty^i(\bar{F})$ hold. The inclusions are strict from the following example.

Example 3.2.2: Consider the sequence $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) = ([1, 1])$, for all $k \in N$. Then we have

$$\bar{F}_n(\bar{x}) \rightarrow [1, 1] \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This shows that $\bar{F}\bar{x} \in c^i(\bar{F})$ but not in $c_0^i(\bar{F})$. Hence the inclusion $c_0^i(\bar{F}) \subset c^i(\bar{F})$ strictly holds.

Again, consider the sequence,

$$\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) = \left[(-1)^k \left(\frac{f_k f_{k+1} + f_k f_{k-1}}{f_k^2} \right), (-1)^k \left(\frac{f_{k+2} + f_{k+1} - 2}{f_k} \right) \right]$$

for all $k \in N$. Then we have for every n ,

$$\bar{F}_n(\bar{x}) = [(-1)^n, (-1)^n].$$

This shows that $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \ell_\infty^i(\bar{F})$, but not in $c^i(\bar{F})$. Hence the inclusion $c^i(\bar{F}) \subset \ell_\infty^i(\bar{F})$ strictly holds.

Theorem 3.2.7: The inclusions $c_0^i \subset c^i(\bar{F})$, $c^i \subset c^i(\bar{F})$ and $\ell_\infty^i \subset \ell_\infty^i(\bar{F})$ hold and are strict.

Proof: As \bar{F} is a regular matrix, so the inclusion $c_0^i \subset c^i(\bar{F})$ and $c^i \subset c^i(\bar{F})$ are obvious.

Now let $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) \in \ell_\infty^i$, then there is a constant $M > 0$ such that $|\bar{x}_k| < M$, i.e., $|x_{lk}| < M$ and $|x_{rk}| < M$, for all $k \in N$.

Thus for each $n \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{F}_n(\bar{x})| &= |[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{lnk}x_{lk}, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{rnk}x_{rk}]| = \max \{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{lnk} |x_{lk}|, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{rnk} |x_{rk}| \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{lnk} \cdot M, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{rnk} \cdot M \} = M, \text{ which shows that } \bar{F} \bar{x} \in \ell_\infty^i, \\ \text{i.e.; } \bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) &\in \ell_\infty^i(\bar{F}). \text{ Thus we conclude that } \ell_\infty^i \subset \ell_\infty^i(\bar{F}). \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.2.3: Consider the sequence $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_k) = ([x_{lk}, x_{rk}])$

$$= ([1, 1], [0, 0], [1, 1], [0, 0], \dots),$$

then we have for every $n \in N$,

$$\bar{F}_n(\bar{x}) = [\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{lnk}x_{lk}, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{rnk}x_{rk}] = \left[\frac{1}{f_{n+2-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k x_{lk}, \frac{1}{f_n \cdot f_{n+1}} \sum_{k=1}^n f_k x_{lk} \right]$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{f_{n+2}-1} (f_1 + f_3 + f_5 + \dots), \frac{1}{f_n \cdot f_{n+1}} (f_1^2 + f_3^2 + f_5^2 + \dots) \right]$$

which is convergent.

This shows that $\overline{F} \bar{x} \in c^i$ but \bar{x} not in c^i . Thus the sequence \bar{x} is in $c^i(\overline{F})$. Hence the inclusion $c^i \subset c^i(\overline{F})$ strictly holds.

Similarly, we can show the other inclusions are strict.
