CHAPTER 6
The Preparation, Characterization, and Application of Pt–Ru–Sn/C Trimetallic Electro catalysts for Membraneless Fuel Cells

Abstract

In the present work, the Pt–Ru–Sn/C electrocatalysts with different atomic ratios were prepared by the ethylene glycol reduction method. XRD results revealed a face-centered cubic structure for platinum, with Ru and Sn atoms incorporated into the Pt structure. TEM analysis showed that the Pt–Ru–Sn/C nanoparticles form large agglomerates on the surface of the carbon support. EDX spectroscopy analysis indicated that the experimental composition was in agreement with the nominal composition of the catalysts. CV results showed that Pt\textsubscript{80}Ru\textsubscript{10}Sn\textsubscript{10}/C was more active in methanol electro-oxidation than in other catalysts. Addition of Sn to Pt/C and Pt–Ru/C catalysts significantly shifted the onset potential of methanol and CO oxidations toward lower potentials, thus enhancing the catalytic activity, especially in the case of the ternary Pt–Ru–Sn/C composition. CA results showed that the ternary Pt\textsubscript{80}Ru\textsubscript{10}Sn\textsubscript{10}/C catalysts gave a higher current than the binary Pt\textsubscript{80}Ru\textsubscript{20}/C, Pt\textsubscript{80}Sn\textsubscript{20}/C catalysts under steady condition. The performances of Pt\textsubscript{80}Ru\textsubscript{10}Sn\textsubscript{10}/C, Pt\textsubscript{80}Ru\textsubscript{20}/C, and Pt\textsubscript{80}Sn\textsubscript{20}/C anode catalysts were evaluated in a single membraneless methanol fuel cell at room temperature. Among the catalysts investigated, the Pt\textsubscript{80}Ru\textsubscript{10}Sn\textsubscript{10}/C exhibited the highest methanol electro-oxidation reaction activity, followed by the Pt\textsubscript{80}Ru\textsubscript{20}/C and Pt\textsubscript{80}Sn\textsubscript{20}/C. From the electrochemical tests and the single cell test, the carbon-supported Pt–Ru–Sn catalysts offer the potential to be considered as an alternative anode catalyst for membraneless methanol fuel cell.
6.1 Introduction

Fuel cells employing methanol are attractive as power sources for portable power applications. Methanol has been considered the most promising fuel, because it is more efficiently oxidized than other alcohols. However, its complete oxidation to CO$_2$ is more difficult due to the formation of CO intermediates that poison the platinum anode catalysts (Vigier et al., 2004; Neto et al., 2006; Neto et al., 2002). Thus, new catalyst materials have been one of the major topics studied on the electrooxidation of methanol (Liu et al., 2006). Therefore, alloying of platinum with other metals such as Ru, Pd, Mo, Sn, Co, Cu, Os, and Ni (Chan et al., 2004; Rivera et al., 2004; Xiong et al., 2002) have been studied as a convenient method of modifying the electrocatalytic properties of platinum in order to reduce or avoid the poisoning effect. Among all the catalyst systems, Pt–Sn/C and Pt–Ru/C catalysts have received more attention due to its high CO tolerance, which can be achieved via its electronic effects and bifunctional mechanisms (Gasteiger et al., 1993; Yajima et al., 2004) that improve the catalytic activities of electrochemical reactions. However, comparing the electrocatalytic activity of Pt–Sn/C and Pt–Ru/C catalysts, Pt–Sn/C electrocatalyst has been more active than the Pt–Ru/C electrocatalyst for methanol and ethanol oxidation at room temperature. Nevertheless, efforts are being made to improve the performance of Pt–Ru/C anode catalysts for methanol oxidation to a suitable level for commercialization.

The performance of Pt–Ru/C electrocatalysts also depends on the preparation procedures and their atomic ratios. Neto et al. (2007) investigated the electrooxidation of methanol and ethanol with Pt–Ru ternary alloy catalysts prepared via an alcohol-reduction process using ethylene glycol as the solvent and a reduction agent. Recently, Chen et al. (2014) prepared a Pt–Ru ternary alloy by the sodium borohydride (NaBH$_4$)-reduction method to compare the study of tungsten-modified Pt–Ru electrocatalysts for methanol oxidation.
Despite the controversies, recent studies have shown that the Pt–Ru–Sn/C (Cunha et al., 2011; Thepkaew et al., 2013) catalyst has a dramatic effect on its electrocatalytic activity. The enhanced activity of the ternary catalyst is due to the promoting effect of the second or third elements added to Pt. In the present study, we evaluated the catalytic activity for methanol oxidation reaction (MOR) by incorporating Sn into Pt–Ru/C catalysts in MLMFC.

6.2 Experimental

6.2.1 Materials

The metal precursors used for the preparation of electrocatalysts were H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O (from Sigma Aldrich), RuCl₃·3H₂O (from Sigma Aldrich), and SnCl₂·2H₂O (from Sigma Aldrich). Vulcan XC-72R carbon black (from Cabot Corp.,) was used as a support for the catalysts. Graphite plates (from E-TEK) were used as substrates for the catalyst to prepare the electrodes. Ethylene glycol (from Merck) was used as the solvent and reduction agent. Nafion® (DE 521, DuPont USA) dispersion was used to make the catalyst ink. Methanol (from Merck), sodium perborate (from Riedel) and H₂SO₄ (from Merck) were used as the fuel, the oxidant and as the electrolyte for electrochemical analysis, respectively. All the chemicals were of analytical grade. Pt/C (40-wt%, from E-TEK) was used as the cathode catalyst.

6.2.2 Catalyst Preparation

Carbon supported ternary Pt–Ru–Sn catalysts with different atomic ratios were synthesized by the alcohol-reduction process (Neto et al., 2007). Initially, the precursors were suspended in ethylene glycol and water (75/25 v/v), followed by the addition of carbon support. The resulting mixtures were treated in an ultrasound bath and were refluxed for 3 h under the open atmosphere. Then the solution was made alkaline (about pH 12) and heated at
140 °C for 3 h under agitation to enable the metal’s reduction. Finally, the precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with ultrapure water (Millipore MilliQ, 18 MΩ cm), and dried at 70 °C for 2 h. For comparison, the monometallic Pt/C, and bimetallic Pt–Ru/C and Pt–Sn/C catalysts were synthesized under the same conditions. The electrocatalytic mixtures and atomic ratios were Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{20}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Sn$_{20}$/C, Pt$_{100}$/C. The nominal loading of metals in the electrocatalysts was 40 %wt and rest 60 %wt was carbon.

6.3 Results and Discussions

6.3.1 Physical Characterization

6.3.1.1 X-ray Diffraction (XRD)

The XRD patterns of the prepared Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{20}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Sn$_{20}$/C and Pt$_{100}$/C catalysts are shown in Fig. 6.1.

![X-ray diffraction patterns](image)

Fig. 6.1 X-ray diffraction patterns of Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{20}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Sn$_{20}$/C, and Pt$_{100}$/C catalysts
The first peak located at around 25° in all the XRD patterns is attributable to the Vulcan XC-72R carbon support. A typical face-centered cubic (fcc) character of Pt crystal structure was clearly observed in all of the catalyst samples with the 2θ values of 39°, 47°, 67° and 82° and are indexed with planes (1 1 1), (2 0 0), (2 2 0) and (3 1 1), respectively, in all the XRD patterns. The XRD of the pure Pt displays sharp and well-defined intense peaks, which indicates the good crystallinity. The diffraction peaks of Pt80Ru20/C were shifted towards higher 2θ values relative to those of Pt100/C whereas the peaks of Pt80Sn20/C were shifted towards lower angles which reveals the formation of a solid solution, due to the incorporation of Sn into the fcc structure of Pt. It should be noticed that the ternary Pt80Ru10Sn10/C electrocatalysts shows intermediate 2θ values as compared to those of Pt80Ru20/C and Pt80Sn20/C catalyst. Hence, the 2θ angle shift of the crystalline Pt peaks reveals the formation of an alloy caused by the incorporation of Ru and Sn into the Pt structure. On the other hand, the amount of Ru or Sn alloyed with Pt is usually smaller than nominally predicted, because some of these atoms were present as amorphous oxides. Therefore, no other diffraction peaks for metallic Ru, Sn or Ru, Sn oxides were detected in the Pt–Ru–Sn/C catalysts.

The fcc lattice parameters were evaluated from the angular position of the (2 2 0) peaks. The lattice parameters of the pure Pt/C, Pt–Sn/C, Pt–Ru/C and Pt–Ru–Sn/C electrocatalysts were 0.3915, 0.3904, 0.3888 and 0.3899 nm, respectively. Since the lattice parameter of the Pt–Ru–Sn/C was larger than that of the Pt–Ru/C and smaller than that of the Pt–Sn/C, the formation of a ternary Pt–Ru–Sn/C electrocatalysts was confirmed. The difference of lattice parameters and the shift of (2 2 0) plane indicate interactions between Pt, Ru and Sn. The average particle size for Pt–Sn/C, Pt–Ru/C and Pt–Ru–Sn/C electrocatalysts were in the range of 3-5 nm was estimated using the Scherrer equation (Table 6.1) are in good agreement with the TEM images.
Table 6.1 The EDX composition, lattice parameters, and the particle size obtained for different atomic ratios of electrocatalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electro catalyst</th>
<th>Nominal Atomic ratio</th>
<th>EDX Atomic ratio</th>
<th>Lattice parameter (nm)</th>
<th>Crystallite size (nm)</th>
<th>Particle size from TEM (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt/C</td>
<td>100 - - 99 - -</td>
<td>0.3915</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Sn/C</td>
<td>80 - 20 81 - 19</td>
<td>0.3904</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Ru/C</td>
<td>80 20 - 82 18 -</td>
<td>0.3888</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Ru–Sn/C</td>
<td>80 10 10 82 9 9</td>
<td>0.3899</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The crystallite size of ternary Pt–Ru–Sn/C catalysts is smaller than that of binary Pt–Ru/C and Pt–Sn/C catalysts prepared by the same method.

6.3.1.2 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

TEM image of the Pt–Ru–Sn/C alloy catalysts and the corresponding particle size distribution histogram are presented in Fig. 6.2. From the TEM image, the average particle diameter was found to be approximately 3-5 nm, which is in fairly good agreement with the data calculated from XRD.

![Fig. 6.2 TEM image and particle size distribution of Pt–Ru–Sn/C catalyst](image)
The particle size distribution of these catalysts is shown in Table 6.1 in accordance to the TEM images.

### 6.3.1.3 Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX)

Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy is conducted by focusing the electron beam on several different selected regions of the carbon supported nanoparticles. EDX spectrums of Pt–Ru–Sn/C nanoparticles are shown in Fig. 6.3.
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**Fig. 6.3 EDX spectra of Pt–Ru–Sn/C catalysts**

The average composition of the sample was in atom ratio of Pt:Ru:Rh = 8:1:1. The EDX results of the ternary Pt–Ru–Sn/C catalysts are very close to the nominal values, which indicate that the metals were loaded onto the carbon support without obvious loss.
6.3.2 Electrochemical Characterization

6.3.2.1 Cyclic Voltammetry

Fig. 6.4a shows the cyclic voltammogram (CV) on the Pt\textsubscript{80}Ru\textsubscript{10}Sn\textsubscript{10}/C, Pt\textsubscript{80}Sn\textsubscript{20}/C, Pt\textsubscript{80}Ru\textsubscript{20}/C and Pt\textsubscript{100}/C catalysts for CO oxidation in a solution of 0.5 M H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}. Due to the strong adsorption of CO onto the Pt surface, the hydrogen adsorption-desorption of the Pt was completely blocked in the hydrogen region; indicating the presence of a saturated CO adlayer (Choi et al., 2003).

![CV curves of Pt\textsubscript{80}Ru\textsubscript{10}Sn\textsubscript{10}/C, Pt\textsubscript{80}Sn\textsubscript{20}/C, Pt\textsubscript{80}Ru\textsubscript{20}/C and Pt\textsubscript{100}/C electrocatalysts in 0.5 M H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}]

The CV curves were obtained in a half cell between 0.05 and 1.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) in the absence of methanol. The characteristic features of polycrystalline Pt, i.e. hydrogen adsorption/desorption peaks in low potential region, oxide formation/stripping wave/peak in high potential region and a flat double layer in between, are observed for all the synthesized catalysts. The voltammograms of the electrocatalysts did not display a well-defined hydrogen region between 0.05 and 0.35 V, as the catalyst’s features in this region are influenced by
their surface composition. Taking the Pt_{100}/C composition as a reference, the binary Pt_{80}Ru_{20}/C and Pt_{80}Sn_{20}/C catalysts showed a voltammetric charge similar to that of the pure Pt catalyst. However, a considerable increase in the voltammetric charge of ternary Pt_{80}Ru_{10}Sn_{10}/C catalyst was observed in the double-layer region, indicating that the addition of Sn into binary Pt–Ru/C leads to an enhanced activity for the oxidation reactions. Thus, it can be concluded that the enhanced activity of Pt_{80}Ru_{10}Sn_{10}/C for methanol electrooxidation is mainly due to an intrinsic improvement in catalytic activity.

Fig. 6.4b corresponds to representative CVs of methanol oxidation under acidic conditions (1.0 M CH_{3}OH and 0.5 M H_{2}SO_{4}) catalyzed by Pt_{80}Ru_{10}Sn_{10}/C, Pt_{80}Sn_{20}/C, Pt_{80}Ru_{20}/C and Pt_{100}/C catalysts.

![Fig. 6.4b CVs of Pt_{80}Ru_{10}Sn_{10}/C, Pt_{80}Sn_{20}/C, Pt_{80}Ru_{20}/C and Pt_{100}/C electrocatalysts in 1.0 M methanol + 0.5 M H_{2}SO_{4}](image)

The onset potential for the oxidation of methanol in a positive scan was a key factor for evaluating the catalyst activity (Cao et al., 2007). The onset potentials for the oxidation of methanol on the Pt_{80}Ru_{10}Sn_{10}/C (0.28 V) electrocatalysts is slightly lower than that on the
Pt$_{80}$Sn$_{20}$/C (0.32 V), Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{20}$/C (0.40 V) and Pt$_{100}$/C (0.47 V) catalysts. All the current values were normalized by the geometric surface area of the electrode used. The CV curves depict the presence of a peak in the potential range of the positive sweep and another peak in the negative sweep. The peak in the positive sweep is associated with the methanol oxidation, and the peak in the negative sweep is related to the oxidation of carbonaceous intermediate products from incomplete methanol oxidation. The peak current densities of Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Sn$_{20}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{20}$/C and Pt$_{100}$/C catalysts are 119.8, 71.6, 47.5 and 36.4 mA/cm$^2$, respectively, showing that the activity of the ternary Pt$_{50}$Ru$_{25}$Rh$_{25}$/C catalyst is a factor of ~3 times higher than that of the Pt/C catalyst. Table 6.2 summarizes the CV results of Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Sn$_{20}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{20}$/C and Pt$_{100}$/C electrocatalysts including the positive peak potentials and the corresponding peak current densities of MOR.

Table 6.2 CV results of Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Sn$_{20}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{20}$/C and Pt$_{100}$/C electrocatalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Positive peak potential (mV vs. Ag/AgCl)</th>
<th>Peak current density (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt$_{100}$/C</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$<em>{80}$Ru$</em>{20}$/C</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$<em>{80}$Sn$</em>{20}$/C</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$<em>{80}$Ru$</em>{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>119.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CV results show that pure Pt$_{100}$/C catalysts do not behave as an appropriate anode for MOR due to its poisoning by strongly adsorbed intermediates such as CO. However, the introduction of Ru and Sn promotes the electrocatalytic activity. CV for methanol oxidation reactions showed that the CO poisoning effect was largely inhibited by Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C.
electrocatalysts, indicating the ability of Sn to promote either the CO to CO₂ oxidation or a weaker adsorption of CO on the Pt₈₀Ru₁₀Sn₁₀/C catalysts.

### 6.3.2.2. Chronoamperometry

Fig. 6.5a shows the current densities measured from 0.05 to 1.2 V in 1.0 M methanol+0.5 M H₂SO₄. The currents decay with time in a parabolic style and reach an apparent steady state within 80s.

![Fig. 6.5a CA of Pt₈₀Ru₁₀Sn₁₀/C, Pt₈₀Sn₂₀/C, Pt₈₀Ru₂₀/C and Pt₁₀₀/C electrocatalysts](image)

It can be seen that the current density of methanol electrooxidation on the Pt₈₀Ru₁₀Sn₁₀/C catalyst is higher than that on the Pt₈₀Sn₂₀/C, Pt₈₀Ru₂₀/C and Pt₁₀₀/C catalyst at the same potentials. The activity change for methanol oxidation decreases in the order of Pt₈₀Ru₁₀Sn₁₀/C > Pt₈₀Sn₂₀/C > Pt₈₀Ru₂₀/C > Pt₁₀₀/C, which is in fairly good agreement with our CV results.

Chronoamperometric analysis usually indicates the rate of decay of the current density with time, which is directly linked with anti-poisoning ability. To make the data more
meaningful, the chronoamperometric current is plotted against $t^{-1/2}$ (Fig. 6.5b). The linear part of the curve confirms both the electrocatalytic activity of the catalysts and the poisoning of the active surface under continuous operation conditions.

Fig. 6.5b Plot of current density vs. $t^{1/2}$, for Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C electrocatalysts

6.3.3 Single Cell Performance

A single cell performance was tested using Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Sn$_{20}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{20}$/C and Pt$_{100}$/C electrocatalysts as the anode. Polarization curves and power densities are shown in Fig. 6.6.

Fig. 6.6 Polarization and power density curves of Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Sn$_{20}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{20}$/C and Pt$_{100}$/C electrocatalysts
For each catalyst, the open-circuit voltages (OCV) were different, as would be expected in onset potentials. The OCVs of Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Sn$_{20}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{20}$/C, are higher than that of Pt$_{100}$/C, 0.54 V, and the order of OCV is exactly same as the onset potentials. The OCV of Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C is the highest value of 0.89 V, which is approximately 0.35 V higher than that of Pt$_{100}$/C. This indicates that Pt$_{100}$/C is more rapidly poisoned by CO than any other alloy catalyst and that the oxidation of adsorbed CO is enhanced by the second or third metal. In the case of Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C the overall performance is superior to that of the bimetallic electrocatalysts. The maximum power densities obtained for Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Sn$_{20}$/C, Pt$_{80}$Ru$_{20}$/C and Pt$_{100}$/C are 39.7, 35.1, 29.2 and 6.8 mW cm$^{-2}$, respectively (Table 6.3). We conclude that the substitution of a small amount of Sn for Ru aids in cleaning surfaces poisoned by CO and provides additional reaction sites for methanol oxidation.

Table 6.3 Summary of performance of single fuel cell tests using (2 mg cm$^{-2}$ catalyst loading, 40 wt% catalyst on carbon)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anode catalysts</th>
<th>Open circuit Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Maximum power density (mW cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Maximum current density (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt$_{100}$/C</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>49.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$<em>{80}$Ru$</em>{20}$/C</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>150.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$<em>{80}$Sn$</em>{20}$/C</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>198.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$<em>{80}$Ru$</em>{10}$Sn$_{10}$/C</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>247.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In membraneless fuel cells, pure Pt/C catalyst does not behave as a very good anode for methanol electro-oxidation due to its poisoning by strongly adsorbed intermediates such as CO (Vigier et al., 2004). The binary and ternary electrocatalysts performed better than Pt/C for methanol oxidation. On the other hand, addition of Sn to Pt (Pt–Sn/C) had a little effect, whereas addition of Sn to Pt–Ru greatly enhanced the electrocatalytic activity.
As mentioned in our earlier studies that (Gowdhamaamoorthi et al., 2014; Ponmani et al., 2014), the performance of the developed membraneless fuel cell enhanced profoundly if the concentration of oxidant in cathodic stream is 10 times larger, and the current density is also increased approximately ten times.

6.4 Conclusions

In this work, the study of methanol oxidation on carbon-supported Pt–Ru–Sn ternary nanoparticles has revealed details concerning the activity and stability of the catalysts in membraneless fuel cells. The maximum activity for methanol oxidation was found for the Pt_{80}Ru_{10}Sn_{10}/C than the Pt_{80}Sn_{20}/C, Pt_{80}Ru_{20}/C and Pt_{100}/C. The significantly enhanced catalytic activity for methanol oxidation can be attributed to the high dispersion of ternary catalysts and to Sn acting as a promotion agent. XRD results show the homogenous alloy structure of Pt, Ru and Sn. The TEM images indicated an average size of Pt_{80}Ru_{10}Sn_{10}/C nanoparticles of 3-5 nm. The atom ratio of Pt, Ru and Sn from EDX analyses is close agreement with the original precursor concentration. The composition of ternary Pt_{80}Ru_{10}Sn_{10}/C nanoparticles can be conveniently controlled by adjusting the initial metal salt solution and preparation conditions. The electrochemical experiments showed that the Pt_{80}Ru_{10}Sn_{10}/C nanoparticles have higher catalytic activity than that of the other catalysts. We expect that the MLMFC may be a promising candidate for practical fuel cells to establish a clean and sustainable energy future. Further work is necessary to characterize the catalysts using different surface analysis techniques and to conduct tests of these electrocatalysts in microfluidic membraneless fuel cells.
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