

# CONTENTS

## CHAPTER I- INTRODUCTION

I.1.BOTANICAL DESCRIPTION OF <i>JATROPHA CURCAS</i> LINN.	1
I.2.ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF <i>J. CURCAS</i>	3
1.2.1.          Use of <i>J. curcas</i> in folk medicine	4
1.2.2.          Use of <i>J. curcas</i> as a source of bio-fuel	6
I.3. PROPAGATION METHOD	9
I.4. <i>IN VITRO</i> CULTURE	10
I.5. SOMACLONAL VARIATION	11
1.5.1.          Causes of somaclonal variation	12
1.5.1.1.          Explant source	13
1.5.1.2.          Plant growth regulators	13
1.5.1.3.          Genotype	14
<b>OBJECTIVES OF THE PRESENT STUDY</b>	<b>15</b>

## CHAPTER II: STUDIES ON CALLUS INDUCTION AND PLANT REGENERATION IN *JATROPHA CURCAS* L.

<b>II.1. REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>17</b>
II.1.1. <i>IN VITRO</i> PROPAGATION	17
II.1.2. EFFECT OF GROWTH REGULATORS	17
II.1.3. DIRECT REGENERATION	18
II.1.4. INDIRECT REGENERATION	21
II.1.5. SOMATIC EMBYOGENESIS	22
II.1.6. ROOTING	23
II.1.7. MITOTIC INDEX	24

<b>II.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>26</b>
II.2.1. PLANT MATERIALS	26
II.2.2. DIRECT REGENERATION	27
II.2.2.1. Preparation of the explants	27
II.2.2.2. Culture media	28
II.2.3. CALLUS MEDIATED INDIRECT REGENERATION	29
II.2.3.1. Preparation of the explants	29
II.2.3.2. Culture media	30
II.2.3.3. Induction of callus	30
II.2.3.4. Callus mediated shoot induction and multiplication from leaf explants	32
II.2.3.5. Microscopical examination of callus and organogenesis	33
II.2.4. INDUCTION OF NODULAR CALLUS USING THIDIAZURON	33
II.2.4.1. Microscopical examination of the nodular structures	34
II.2.5. ROOT INDUCTION	35
II.2.6. ACCLIMATIZATION	35
II.2.7. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	36
II.2.8. MITOTIC INDEX IN CALLUS CULTURES	36
II.2.8.1. Specimen preparation	36
II.2.8.2. Staining	37
II.2.8.3. Scoring of slides	37
<b>II.3. RESULTS</b>	<b>38</b>
II.3.1. DIRECT REGENERATION OF <i>J. CURCAS</i> FROM NODAL EXPLANT	38
II.3.1.1. Statistical analysis	38
II.3.2. CALLUS INDUCTION	41

II.3.2.1.	Callus induction from petiole	41
II.3.2.2.	Callus induction from leaf explants	43
II.3.2.3.	Microscopic examination of callus and organogenesis	45
II.3.3.	INDIRECT REGENERATION FROM LEAF EXPLANT OF <i>J. CURCAS</i> .	45
II.3.4.	NODULAR CALLUS FORMATION FROM LEAF DISC CULTURE USING THIDIAZURON (TDZ)	49
II.3.5.	ROOT INDUCTION	56
II.3.5.1.	Statistical analysis	56
II.3.6.	ACCLIMATIZATION AND TRANSFER OF ROOTS	59
II.3.7.	MITOTIC INDEX STUDIES IN CALLUS CULTURES OF <i>J. CURCAS</i> MAINTAINED ON DIFFERENT HORMONAL COMBINATIONS	59
<b>II. 4.</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>65</b>
II.4.1.	Hormonal combination of 1.0 mg/L BAP and 0.4 mg/L GA <sub>3</sub> was found to be the best for getting direct shoot regeneration from nodal explants	65
II.4.2.	Nodal explants were better for direct regeneration than leaf and petiole explants	66
II.4.3.	Highest frequency of callus induction was in MS medium with 1.5 mg/L BAP and 0.4 mg/L IBA	67
II.4.4.	Adenine sulphate does not have an effect on callus induction	67
II.4.5.	Leaf explants were better for callus induction than petiole explants	68
II.4.6.	Callus induced from leaf explants was heterogeneous	68
II.4.7.	Indirect regeneration occurred from leaf discs in MS medium with 0.4 mg/L IBA and 2.0 mg/L BAP combination	69

II.4.8. Thidiazuron (TDZ) induced nodular structures from leaf disc	70
II.4.9. Hormone free medium and water agar was found to be more efficient than hormone supplemented medium for root induction	71
II.4.10. Mitotic index was highest in callus induced on MS medium with 0.4 mg/L IBA and 2 mg/L BAP	72

## **CHAPTER III: EVALUATION OF VARIATION IN CALLUS AND REGENERANTS USING MOLECULAR MARKERS**

### **III.1. REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

#### **III.1.1. EVALUATION OF SOMACLONAL VARIATION**

##### **III.1.1.1. CYTOLOGICAL ANALYSIS**

##### **III.1.1.2. VARIATION ANALYSIS USING MOLECULAR MARKERS**

##### **III.1.1.2.1 Random Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphisms (RAPD)**

##### **III.1.1.2.2. Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP)**

##### **III.1.1.2.3. Simple Sequence Repeats (SSR)**

##### **III.1.1.2.4. Direct Amplification of Minisatellite DNA (DAMD)**

##### **III.1.1.3. MORPHOLOGICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS**

### **III.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **III.2.1. PLANT MATERIAL**

#### **III.2.2. DNA ISOLATION**

##### **III.2.2.1. Solutions**

##### **III.2.2.2. Preparation of buffer saturated phenol for DNA extraction**

##### **III.2.2.3. DNA isolation using CTAB**

III.2.2.4.	Amount and purity of DNA	85
III.2.2.5.	PCR Mix Composition	85
III.2.2.6.	PCR Condition	86
III.2.3.	PRIMERS FOR PCR AMPLIFICATION AND RAPD ANALYSIS	86
III.2.4.	PRIMERS FOR PCR AMPLIFICATION AND ISSR ANALYSIS	86
III.2.5.	PRIMERS FOR PCR AMPLIFICATION AND DAMD ANALYSIS	89
III.2.6.	AGAROSE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS	89
III.2.7.	DATA ANALYSIS	89
<b>III.3.</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>91</b>
III.3.1.	DNA ISOLATION	91
III.3.2.	EVALUATION OF VARIATION IN CALLUS CULTURES AND REGENERATED PLANTS OF <i>J. CURCAS</i> USING RAPD MARKERS	92
III.3.2.1.	RAPD Marker analysis in callus cultures	92
III.3.2.2.	RAPD Marker analysis in regenerated plants	98
III.3.3.	EVALUATION OF VARIATION IN CALLUS CULTURES AND REGENERATED PLANTS OF <i>J. CURCAS</i> USING ISSR MARKERS	104
III.3.3.1.	ISSR Marker analysis in callus cultures	104
III.3.3.2.	ISSR Marker analysis in regenerated plants	108
III.3.4.	EVALUATION OF VARIATION IN CALLUS AND <i>IN VITRO</i> REGENERATED PLANTS OF <i>J. CURCAS</i> USING DAMD MARKERS	113
III. 3.4. 1.	DAMD Marker analysis for callus	113

III. 3.4. 2.	DAMD Marker analysis for regenerated plants	117
III.3.5.	ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANCE OF VARIATION IN CALLUS AND REGENERANTS	121
<b>III.4.</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>123</b>
III.4.1.	Hormonal supplementation with 0.2 mg/L IBA and 2.0 mg/L BAP could induce highest variation in callus and 2.0 mg/L BAP in regenerated plantlets	123
III.4.2.	Hormonal combination 0.6 mg/L IBA AND 2.0 mg/L BAP induces lower genetic variation in callus and regenerants	123
III.4.3.	DNA sequence changes in callus did not reflect that in regenerated plants	126
III.4.4.	DNA sequence changes in the regenerated plants was found to be lower than in the callus	127
III.4.5.	DAMD analysis was found to be superior to RAPD and ISSR analysis in the detection of sequence changes induced in callus and regenerated plants	128
III.4.6.	Mitotic index and percentage of shoot response decreases with increase in variation index in callus	129

## **CHAPTER IV: PHYTOCHEMICAL STUDIES IN CALLUS, REGENERANTS AND MOTHER PLANT**

<b>IV.1.</b>	<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>131</b>
IV.1.1.	SECONDARY METABOLITES IN <i>JATROPHA CURCAS</i>	131
IV.1.1.1.	LEAF EXTRACT	131
IV.1.1.2.	ROOT EXTRACTS	132
IV.1.1.3.	LATEX AND FRUITS	133
IV.1.1.4.	STEM AND PETIOLE EXTRACTS	133
IV.1.2.	SOLVENT SYSTEM FOR EXTRACT PREPARATION	134

IV.1.3. VARIATION IN PHYTOCHEMICAL PRODUCTION THROUGH <i>IN VITRO</i> CULTURE	134
IV.1.4. VARIATION IN ANTIBACTERIAL ACIVITY IN REGENERANTS	136
<b>IV. 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>139</b>
IV. 2. 1. COLLECTION OF PLANT MATERIAL	139
IV. 2. 2. PREPARATION OF EXTRACTS	139
IV. 2. 3. PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF PLANT EXTRACTS.	139
IV. 2. 3. 1. Flavonoids	140
IV. 2. 3. 1.1. Sulphuric acid test	140
IV. 2. 3. 1. 2. Shinoda test	140
IV. 2. 3. 2. Glycosides	140
IV. 2. 3. 3. Phenolics	140
IV. 2. 3. 4. Saponins	140
IV. 2. 3. 5. Tannins	140
IV. 2. 3. 6. Sterols and Triterpenoids	141
IV. 2. 3. 6.1. Salkowski test	141
IV. 2. 3. 7. Quinines	141
IV. 2. 3. 8. Alkaloids	141
IV. 2.3.8.1. Mayer's test	141
IV. 2.3.8.2. Wagner's test	141
IV. 2.3.9. Anthraquinones	141
IV. 2. 4. ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY	142
IV. 2. 4. 1. Test organisms	142
IV. 2. 4. 2. Agar-well diffusion method for antibacterial activity assay	142
IV. 2. 4. 3. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)	143

IV. 2. 5. THIN LAYER CHROMATOGRAPHY (TLC)	143
IV. 2. 5. 1. Bioautographic overlay assay	143

### IV. 3. RESULTS

IV. 3. 1. VARIATION IN PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF EXTRACTS	145
IV. 3. 1.1. Variation in physical properties of extracts from callus, leaves of <i>Jatropha curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	145
IV. 3. 1.2. Variation in physical properties of extracts from petiole of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	146
IV. 3. 1.3. Variation in physical properties of extracts from stem of <i>Jatropha curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	146
IV. 3. 1.4. Variation in physical properties of extracts from root of <i>Jatropha curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	146
IV. 3. 2. PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING OF EXTRACTS	146
IV. 3. 2. 1. Phytochemical screening of extracts from callus, leaves of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	148
IV. 3. 2. 2. Phytochemical screening of extracts from petiole of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	148
IV. 3. 2. 3. Phytochemical screening of extracts from stem of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	148
IV. 3. 2. 4. Phytochemical screening of extracts from root of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated	



Plantlets	148
IV. 3. 3. 1. ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF EXTRACTS	148
IV. 3. 3. 1. Antibacterial activity of extracts from callus, leaves of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	148
IV. 3.3.2. Antimicrobial activity of extracts from petiole of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	150
IV. 3. 3.3. Antimicrobial activity of extracts from stem of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated Plantlets	151
IV. 3. 3. 4. Antimicrobial activity of extracts from root of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated Plantlets	152
IV. 3. 4. MINIMUM INHIBITORY CONCENTRATION OF EXTRACTS	152
IV. 3. 4. 1. Minimum inhibitory concentration of extracts from callus generated from leaf explants and leaves of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	153
IV. 3. 4. 2. Minimum inhibitory concentration of petiole extracts of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	154
IV. 3. 4. 3. Minimum inhibitory concentration of stem extracts of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated Plantlets	154
IV. 3. 4. 4. Minimum inhibitory concentration of root extracts of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated Plantlets	154

IV. 3. 5. THIN LAYER CHROMATOGRAPHY ANALYSIS OF EXTRACTS	155
IV. 3. 5. 1. Thin layer chromatography analysis of extracts from callus, leaves of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	155
IV. 3. 5. 2. Thin layer chromatography analysis of ethanol extracts from petiole of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	156
IV. 3. 5. 3. Thin layer chromatography analysis of thin layer chromatography analysis of ethanol extracts from stem of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerants	158
IV. 3. 5. 4. Thin layer chromatography analysis of ethanol Extracts from root of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerants	160
IV. 3. 6. BIOAUTOGRAPHIC OVERLAY ANALYSIS OF EXTRACTS	162
IV. 3. 6.1. Bioautographic overlay analysis of ethanol extracts from callus generated from leaf explants and leaves of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	162
IV. 3. 6. 2. Bioautographic overlay analysis of petiole extracts of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	162
IV. 3. 6. 3. Bioautographic overlay analysis of ethanol extracts from stem of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	163

IV. 3. 6. 4.	Bioautographic overlay analysis of root extracts of <i>J. curcas</i> mother plant and <i>in vitro</i> regenerated plantlets	163
<b>IV.4. DISCUSSION</b>		<b>164</b>
IV. 4. 1.	Ethanol extract from all plant parts tested had higher bioactivity compared to methanol and aqueous extracts	164
IV. 4. 2.	Presence of pharmaceutically important phytochemicals detected in the plant extracts	165
IV. 4. 3.	Root extract had higher bioactivity compared to leaf, petiole and stem extracts	167
IV. 4. 4.	Antibacterial activity against <i>S. aureus</i> , <i>K. pneumoniae</i> , <i>S. typhimurium</i> , <i>S. typhi</i> , <i>E. coli</i> and <i>B. cereus</i> was higher in extracts of callus and regenerated plants than from mother plants	167
IV. 4. 5.	Variation was detected in number of components present in the extracts from callus and regenerated plants	169
<b>V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>		<b>170</b>
<b>VI. APPENDIX</b>		<b>173</b>
<b>VII. REFERENCES</b>		<b>182</b>