CONCLUSION

A close observation of the data on the age of tree, rating quality of fruits, T.S.S. content, current and previous yield juxtaposed side by side, lead to infer that ELS 1, ELS 2, LS 3, LS 4 and MS 6 possessed all the qualities of high promising strains followed by strains ELS 4, ELS 8, ELS 12, LS 11, LS 22 and MS 3. However, the yield per tree was not considered here due to poor quality of the care received by trees. Mostly these trees were found growing in almost neglected conditions yet they have maintained the excellent grade of fruit quality and this is the point of attention. In addition to these excellent graded strains, there were some other strains which bore fruits of good to near excellent in quality. However, among them strains ELS 6, ELS 13, ELS 14, ELS 21, ELS 23, ELS 26, ELS 28, ELS 33, ELS 37, ELS 49, LS 6, LS 7, LS 8, LS 9, LS 23, LS 25, LS 27, LS 29, MS 9, MS 12, MS 14, MS 15, MS 22, MS 28, MS 42, MS 55, MS 56, SS 4, SS 41, SS 42 and SS 54 were promising than the rest.