

APPENDICES

COMPARISON BETWEEN EXISTING AND THE PROPOSED DFL CONTROL STRATEGIES IN ANALYTICAL TERMS

APPENDIX – I

The Case of SMIB Power System:

In the existing DFL compensated model (equations 3.10 – 3.12)

$$\Delta \dot{P}_e(t) = -\frac{1}{T'_d} \Delta P_e(t) + \frac{1}{T'_d} v_f(t)$$

which can be written in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \dot{P}_e(t) &= -\frac{1}{T'_{do}} \Delta P_e(t) + \left[\left(\frac{1}{T'_{do}} - \frac{1}{T'_d} \right) \right] \Delta P_e(t) + \frac{1}{T'_d} v_f(t) \\ &= -\frac{1}{T'_{do}} \Delta P_e(t) + \frac{1}{T'_{do}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{T'_{do}}{T'_d} \right) \Delta P_e(t) + \frac{T'_{do}}{T'_d} v_f(t) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{T'_{do}} \Delta P_e(t) + \frac{1}{T'_{do}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} \right) \Delta P_e(t) + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} v_f(t) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A - I.1})$$

Comparing equation (3.24) with equation (A-I.1) it can be concluded that

$$\hat{v}_f(t) = \left[\left(1 - \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} \right) \Delta P_e(t) + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} v_f(t) \right] \quad (\text{A - I.2})$$

The following derivation verifies it.

Putting the expression for $v_f(t)$ in the R.H.S of equation (A-I.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} \right) \Delta P_e(t) + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} \left\{ k_e u_f(t) I_q(t) + T'_{do} (x_d - x'_d) I_q^2(t) \Delta \omega(t) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{x'_{ds}}{x_{ds}} T'_{do} \left(Q_e(t) + \frac{V_s^2}{x_{ds}} \right) \Delta \omega(t) - P_m \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{(x_d - x'_d)}{x'_{ds}} \Delta P_e(t) + k_e u_f(t) I_q(t) + \left(\frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} - 1 \right) k_e u_f(t) I_q(t) + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} T'_{do} (x_d - x'_d) I_q^2(t) \Delta \omega(t) \\
&\quad + T'_{do} \left(Q_e(t) + \frac{V_s^2}{x_{ds}} \right) \Delta \omega(t) - \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} P_m \\
&= k_e u_f(t) I_q(t) + \left(\frac{x_d - x'_d}{x'_{ds}} \right) (E_f(t) I_q(t) - \Delta P_e(t)) + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} \cdot T'_{do} (x_d - x'_d) I_q^2(t) \Delta \omega(t) \\
&\quad + T'_{do} \left(Q_e(t) + \frac{V_s^2}{x_{ds}} \right) \Delta \omega(t) - \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} P_m \\
&= k_e u_f(t) I_q(t) + \left(\frac{x_d - x'_d}{x'_{ds}} \right) (E_f(t) I_q(t) - P_e(t)) + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} \cdot T'_{do} (x_d - x'_d) I_q^2(t) \Delta \omega(t) \\
&\quad + T'_{do} \left(Q_e(t) + \frac{V_s^2}{x_{ds}} \right) \Delta \omega(t) - \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} P_m + \frac{(x_d - x'_d)}{x'_{ds}} P_m \\
&= k_e u_f(t) I_q(t) + \frac{(x_d - x'_d)}{x'_{ds}} (E_f(t) - E_q(t)) I_q(t) + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} \cdot T'_{do} (x_d - x'_d) I_q^2(t) \Delta \omega(t) \\
&\quad + T'_{do} \left(Q_e(t) + \frac{V_s^2}{x_{ds}} \right) \Delta \omega(t) - P_m \\
&= k_e u_f(t) I_q(t) + \frac{(x_d - x'_d)}{x'_{ds}} T'_{do} \dot{E}_q(t) I_q(t) + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} \cdot T'_{do} (x_d - x'_d) I_q^2(t) \Delta \omega(t) \\
&\quad + T'_{do} \left(Q_e(t) + \frac{V_s^2}{x_{ds}} \right) \Delta \omega(t) - P_m \\
&= k_e u_f(t) I_q(t) + T'_{do} \left[\frac{(x_d - x'_d)}{x'_{ds}} \dot{E}_q(t) I_q(t) + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} (x_d - x'_d) I_q^2(t) \Delta \omega(t) + \left(Q_e(t) + \frac{V_s^2}{x_{ds}} \right) \Delta \omega(t) \right] - P_m
\end{aligned}$$

(A-I.3)

It is to be noted that all the quantities possessing uncertainties (i.e. x_{ds} , x'_{ds} and also V_s if the infinite bus voltage is assumed to have uncertain variations) are associated with the terms within the third bracket in equation (A-I.3).

Using equations (3.6) and (3.7),

$$\left(Q_e(t) + \frac{V_s^2}{x_{ds}} \right) \Delta\omega(t) = E_q(t)j_q(t) \quad (\text{A - I.4})$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(x_d - x'_d)}{x'_{ds}} \dot{E}_q(t)l_q(t) + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} (x_d - x'_d)l_q^2(t)\Delta\omega(t) \\ &= (x_d - x'_d)l_q(t) \left[\frac{\dot{E}_q(t)}{x'_{ds}} + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} l_q(t)\Delta\omega(t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now, recognizing the fact that for the power system model (equations (3.1) – (3.9)) $I_d(t)$ is given by:

$$I_d = \frac{E'_q(t) - V_s \cos \delta(t)}{x'_{ds}}$$

and then differentiating the above expression of I_d and using equation (3.6),

$$\dot{I}_d(t) = \frac{\dot{E}_q(t)}{x'_{ds}} + \frac{x_{ds}}{x'_{ds}} l_q(t)\Delta\omega(t) \quad (\text{A - I.5})$$

Now, utilising equations (A-I.4) and (A-I.5) to replace the terms within the third bracket in equation (A-I.3) we have:

$$\hat{v}_f(t) = k_e u_f(t)l_q(t) + T'_{do} \left[(x_d - x'_d) \dot{I}_d(t)l_q(t) + E_q(t)j_q(t) \right] - P_m$$

which is identical to the equation (3.20) as expected can sequentially one can say that all the uncertainties in the model given by equations (3.10) – (3.12) are taken into account by the new input $\hat{v}_f(t)$. The first part of the R.H.S of equation (A-I.2) contributes to the uncertainty in the system matrix $(\mathbf{A} + \Delta\mathbf{A})$ of equation (3.17) and the second part includes the uncertainty in the input matrix $(\mathbf{B} + \Delta\mathbf{B})$ along with the uncertainties in input $v_f(t)$.

APPENDIX – II

The Case of Multi-machine System:

Applying the two-axis model (equations (3.1) – (3.9)) of synchronous generators in multimachine system the set of equations (4.5) – (4.8) are transformed into the followings:

$$P_{ei}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qi}(t)E_{qj}(t)Y_{ij}\sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) \quad (\text{A - II.1})$$

$$Q_{ei}(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^n E_{qi}(t)E_{qj}(t)Y_{ij}\cos(\delta_{ij}(t)) \quad (\text{A - II.2})$$

$$I_{di}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t)Y_{ij}\cos(\delta_{ij}(t)) = -\frac{Q_{ei}(t)}{E_{qi}(t)} \quad (\text{A - II.3})$$

$$I_{qi}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t)Y_{ij}\sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) = \frac{P_{ei}(t)}{E_{qi}(t)} \quad (\text{A - II.4})$$

while, equations (4.1) – (4.4) and equations (4.9), (4.10) are still valid for the two-axis model.

Differentiating equation (A-II.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P}_{ei}(t) = & \dot{E}_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t)Y_{ij}\sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) + E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{E}_{qj}(t)Y_{ij}\sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) \\ & + E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t)Y_{ij}\cos(\delta_{ij}(t))(\dot{\delta}_i(t) - \dot{\delta}_j(t)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \dot{E}_{qi}(t)l_{qi}(t) + E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{E}_{qj}(t)Y_{ij} \sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) \\
&\quad + E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t)Y_{ij} \cos(\delta_{ij}(t)) (\Delta\omega_i(t) - \Delta\omega_j(t)) \\
&= [\dot{E}_{qi}(t) + (x_{di} - x'_{di})j_{di}(t)]l_{qi}(t) + E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{E}_{qj}(t)Y_{ij} \sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) - Q_{ei}(t)\Delta\omega_i(t) \\
&\quad - E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t)Y_{ij} \cos(\delta_{ij}(t))\Delta\omega_j(t) \\
&= \frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} [E_{fi}(t) - E_{qi}(t)]l_{qi}(t) + (x_{di} - x'_{di})j_{di}(t)l_{qi}(t) - Q_{ei}(t)\Delta\omega_i(t) \\
&\quad + E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{E}_{qj}(t)Y_{ij} \sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) - E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t)Y_{ij} \cos(\delta_{ij}(t))\Delta\omega_j(t) \\
&= \frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} [k_{ei}u_{fi}(t)l_{qi}(t) - E_{qi}(t)l_{qi}(t)] + (x_{di} - x'_{di})j_{di}(t)l_{qi}(t) - Q_{ei}(t)\Delta\omega_i(t) \\
&\quad + E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{E}_{qj}(t)Y_{ij} \sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) - E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t)Y_{ij} \cos(\delta_{ij}(t))\Delta\omega_j(t) \\
&= -\frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} P_{ei}(t) + \frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} [k_{ei}u_{fi}(t)l_{qi}(t) + T'_{do_i}(x_{di} - x'_{di})j_{di}(t)l_{qi}(t)] \\
&\quad + \left\{ -Q_{ei}(t)\Delta\omega_i(t) + E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{E}_{qj}(t)Y_{ij} \sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) - E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t)Y_{ij} \cos(\delta_{ij}(t))\Delta\omega_j(t) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

(A - II.5)

The last two terms written the 2nd bracket represent the interactions effects of the remote machines dynamics. All other terms in equation (A-II.5) are locally available to the *i*th machine.

Now, comparing equation (A-II.5) with the expression of $\dot{v}_f(t)$ it can be concluded that if the 2nd bracketed term of equation (A-II.5) is equal to $E_{qi}(t) \dot{I}_{qi}(t)$ the equation (A-II.5) takes the form

$$\Delta \dot{P}_{ei}(t) = -\frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} \Delta P_{ei}(t) + \frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} \hat{v}_{fi}(t)$$

and the proposed DFL control law is applicable for the multi-machine power system to obtain totally interaction free linear model for the *i*th ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) machine.

The verification is given below:

Using equation (A-II.4) for $I_{qi}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{qi}(t) \dot{I}_{qi}(t) &= E_{qi}(t) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \dot{E}_{qj}(t) Y_{ij} \sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t) Y_{ij} \cos(\delta_{ij}(t)) (\Delta \omega_i(t) - \Delta \omega_j(t)) \right] \\ &= E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{E}_{qj}(t) Y_{ij} \sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) + E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t) Y_{ij} \cos(\delta_{ij}(t)) \Delta \omega_i(t) \\ &\quad - E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t) Y_{ij} \cos(\delta_{ij}(t)) \Delta \omega_j(t) \\ &= E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n \dot{E}_{qj}(t) Y_{ij} \sin(\delta_{ij}(t)) - Q_{ei}(t) \Delta \omega_i(t) - E_{qi}(t) \sum_{j=1}^n E_{qj}(t) Y_{ij} \cos(\delta_{ij}(t)) \Delta \omega_j(t) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, equation (A-II.5) boils down to

$$\dot{P}_{ei}(t) = -\frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} P_{ei}(t) + \frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} \left[k_{ei} u_{fi}(t) I_{qi}(t) + T'_{do_i} \left\{ (x_{di} - x'_{di}) \dot{I}_{di}(t) I_{qi}(t) + E_{qi}(t) \dot{I}_{qi}(t) \right\} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \Delta \dot{P}_{ei}(t) &= -\frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} \Delta P_{ei}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} \left[k_{ei} u_{fi}(t) I_{qi}(t) + T'_{do_i} \left\{ (x_{di} - x'_{di}) \dot{I}_{di}(t) I_{qi}(t) + E_{qi}(t) \dot{I}_{qi}(t) \right\} - P_{mi} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or, } \Delta \dot{P}_{ei}(t) = -\frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} \Delta P_{ei}(t) + \frac{1}{T'_{do_i}} \hat{v}_{fi}(t)$$

So, it can be concluded that $\hat{v}_{fi}(t)$ incorporates all the effects of nonlinearities and the dynamic interactions with other machines in the system with the i th machine.