

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>PREFACE</b>	ii-vi
<b>CHAPTER ONE</b>	
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 The Need for a Proper Understanding and Analysis of the Notion of Entailment	1
1.2 Arguments	3
1.2.1 Deductive and Inductive Arguments	5
1.2.1.1 Deductive Argument	5
1.2.1.2 Inductive Argument	7
1.2.1.3 Induction and Deduction Compared	10
1.3 The Notion of Validity	12
1.3.1 Semantic Validity	13
1.3.2 Syntactic Validity	14
NOTES AND REFERENCES	16

## CHAPTER TWO

### RUSSELL'S THEORY OF ENTAILMENT AS MATERIAL IMPLICATION

	Page
2.1 The Nature of Material Implication	17
2.2 Russell's Definition of Entailment in Terms of Material Implication	22
2.3.1 Examination of Russell's Definition of Entailment as Material Implication	27
2.3.2 Lewis' Criticisms of Russell's Theory of Material Implication	39
2.3.3 A defense of Russell's Position	41
2.3.3.1 I. M. Copi's Attempt to Defend Russell	43
2.3.3.2 Peter Suber Favours Material Implication	44
2.3.3.3 Johnson's Solution to the Paradoxes of Material Implication	45
2.3.3.3.1 Further Elucidation of Johnson's Solution to the Paradoxes on the Basis of the Difference Between Hypothesis and Assertion	46
NOTES AND REFERENCES	53

## **CHAPTER THREE**

### **RUSSELL'S THEORY OF ENTAILMENT AS FORMAL IMPLICATION**

	Page
3.1 Foreword	57
3.2 What Is Formal Implication?	58
3.3 Evaluation of Russell's Theory of Entailment as Formal Implication	63
NOTES AND REFERENCES	68

## **CHAPTER FOUR**

### **LEWIS' THEORY OF ENTAILMENT AS STRICT IMPLICATION**

4.1 Foreword	70
4.2 Analysis of the Notions of Necessity, Possibility And Impossibility	71
4.2.1 Interdefinibility of the Notions of Necessity and Possibility	71
4.2.2 Necessity	72
<i>A Special Note on the Use of Dot Notation</i>	74
4.2.3 Impossibility	76

	Page
4.2.4 Possibility	77
4.2.5 True, False and Contingent Propositions	79
4.3 Definition of Entailment as Strict Implication	80
4.3.1 The Nature of Strict Implication	80
4.3.2 Lewis' Definition of Strict Implication in Terms of Necessity	81
4.3.3 How Lewis' Definition of Entailment as Strict Implication Averts the Paradoxes of Material Implication	83
4.3.4 Lewis' View that Strict Implication is an Appropriate Model for Entailment and Inference	84
4.4 Evaluation of Lewis' Theory of Strict Implication	86
4.4.1 Nature of The Paradoxes of Strict Implication	86
4.4.2 Lewis' Defense of the Paradoxes of Strict Implication	87
4.4.3 Consideration of Some Objections to Lewis' Theory	91
4.4.3.1 Pranab Kumar Sen's objections to Lewis' Theory	91
4.4.3.2 Bronstein and Tarter's Criticisms of Lewis' Theory	95
4.4.3.3 Nelson's objections to Lewis' Theory of Strict Implication	96
4.4.3.4 Duncan Jones on the Paradoxes of Strict Implication	97
4.4.3.5 P.F. Strawson's Criticism of Lewis' Theory	97

	Page
4.4.3.6 Anderson And Belnap’s Criticisms of Lewis’ Proof of The Paradoxes of Strict Implication	98
4.4.4 A Defense of Lewis’ Position	98
4.4.4.1 Consideration of Strawson’s Criticisms of Lewis’ View	98
4.4.4.2 Consideration of Anderson and Belnap’s Criticisms of Lewis’ Theory	99
4.5 Strawson’s Notion of Entailment	99
4.5.1 Strawson’s Definition of Entailment in Terms of Inconsistency	99
4.5. 2 Strawson’s Definition of Entailment in Terms of Logical Necessity	101
4.5.3 Paradoxical Consequences of the Above two Definitions of Entailment and Strawson’s Solution	102
4.5.4 Another Solution Proposed by Strawson: Entailment-Statements are Not Necessary Statements	105
4.5.4.1 Examination of Strawson’s View	109
4.5.5 Strawson’s Revised Definition f Entailment	109
4.5.5.1 Merits of Strawson’s Revised Definitionof Entailment	113
4.5.5.2 An appraisal of Strawson’s revised definition of entailment	114
NOTES AND REFERENCES	118

## CHAPTER FIVE

### RELEVANCE LOGIC

	Page
5.1 Origin of Relevance Logic	124
5.2 Nature of Relevance Entailment	127
5.3 Further Explication of the Nature of Relevance	133
5.3.1 The Variable Sharing as a Condition for Entailment	134
5.3.1.1 Criticisms of the Variable Sharing Condition	136
5.3.2 Derivational Utility as a Condition for Entailment	137
<i>A Special Note on Fitch Style Natural Deduction</i>	140
5.3.2.1 How Far is the Derivational Utility Condition Tenable?	152
5.3.2.2 A Possible Solution to the Objections to Derivational Utility	153
5.4 E. J. Nelson on Entailment	156
5.5 Baylis on Entailment	158
5.6 Douglas Walton's Relatedness Conditional	159
5.7 Trudy Govier's Theory of Propositional Relevance	161
5.8 Uses of Relevant Logic	165
5.9 Is Relevance as a Necessary Condition for Entailment and Validity?	171
5.9.1 Criticisms of Anderson and Belnap's theory	171

	Page
5.9.1.1 Consideration of the Variable Sharing and the Derivational Utility Criterion	171
5.9.1.2 Further Criticisms of Anderson and Belnap's Theory	173
5.9.2 Objections to Nelson's Theory	183
5.9.3 Examination of Walton's View	185
5.10 A Recent Theory of Entailment : Intuitionistic Relevant Logic	186
5.10.1 Neil Tennant's Core Logic	186
5.10.2 Neil Tennant's Notion of Entailment and Validity	189
5.10.3 How Neil Tennant Avoids Lewis' Paradox in his Core Logic	190
5.10.3.1 Disjunctive Syllogism	190
5.10.3.2 Cut Rule or (Unrestricted) Transitivity of Deduction (UTD)	191
5.10.4 Advantages of Core Logic	195
5.10.5 Observations on Neil Tennant's Core Logic	196
<b>NOTES AND REFERENCES</b>	197
<b>CONCLUDING REMARKS</b>	209
Proposal for a New Definition of Entailment	214
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	217