

# Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	1
1.1 Data envelopment analysis	3
1.2 Literature review	6
1.3 Constant returns to scale or CCR model	10
1.3.1 CCR multiplier model	10
1.3.2 CCR envelopment model	12
1.3.3 Slack adjusted CCR model	12
1.3.4 Output oriented CCR model	14
1.4 Variable returns to scale or BCC model	14
1.4.1 BCC multiplier model	15
1.4.2 BCC envelopment model	15
1.5 Alternative DEA models	16
1.5.1 Additive DEA model	16
1.5.2 Slack based model (SBM)	16
1.6 Directional distance formulation of DEA	17
1.7 Ranking of DEA	20
1.8 Summary of the thesis	21
<b>2 Aggregate directional distance formulation of DEA and with integer variables</b>	25
2.1 Introduction	27
2.2 Range directional distance model and non-radial DEA model	28
2.3 Measures of technical efficiency through aggregate directional Distance Model	30
2.3.1 Desirable properties of the ADDM	32
2.3.2 Numeral example	34
2.4 Integer directional distance model	37
2.4.1 Mixed integer ADDM	38
2.4.2 Mixed integer radial directional distance model	41
2.4.3 Results	42
2.4.4 Illustrative example	43
2.5 Conclusions	44

<b>3 Improved efficiency through directional distance formulation of DEA</b>	47
3.1 Introduction	49
3.2 Improved efficiency through directional distance formulation of DEA	50
3.2.1 The dual program of IEM	52
3.3 Desirable properties of IEM	53
3.4 Special cases of IEM	56
3.4.1 Non-oriented radial IEM	56
3.4.2 Slack based model is derived from IEM	57
3.4.3 BCC model is special case of IEM	58
3.4.4 Results	59
3.5 Numerical example	61
3.6 Application of canonical correlation	64
3.6.1 Canonical correlation analysis	65
3.6.2 An illustrative example	68
3.7 Conclusions	70
<b>4 Modified directional distance formulation of DEA to assess bankruptcy</b>	73
4.1 Introduction	75
4.2 Methodology	78
4.2.1 Modified directional distance formulation of DEA	79
4.2.2 Interpretation of bankruptcy score	82
4.2.3 An illustrative example	84
4.3 An application to IT companies operating in India	85
4.3.1 Input and output variables	86
4.3.2 Results and analysis	90
4.4 Conclusions	96
<b>5 Ranking of efficient decision making units</b>	99
5.1 Introduction	101
5.2 Ranking in DEA	102
5.2.1 Cross efficiency ranking method (CE)	102
5.2.2 Super efficiency ranking method (SE)	104
5.2.3 Gulam Reza Jahanshaloo <i>et al.</i> ranking method (JJLA)	105

5.3 Ranking efficient DMUs through virtual DMU in DEA (Proposed)	105
5.3.1 Constant returns to scale	107
5.3.2 Variable returns to scale	107
5.3.3 Ranking procedure	108
5.4 Properties of the virtual DMU	109
5.5 Numerical example	111
5.6 Nerlove-Leunberger super efficiency measure	117
5.7 Ranking of efficient DMUs by changing reference technology	119
5.7.1 Properties	121
5.7.2 Numerical example	122
5.8 Conclusions	124
<b>6 Technical efficiencies of health care system in major states of India</b>	<b>127</b>
6.1 Introduction	129
6.2 Application of DEA in healthcare performance	131
6.3 Methodology	133
6.4 Data and variables	135
6.5 Results and discussions	137
6.6 Conclusions	140
<b>7 Multistage method of measuring human development</b>	<b>143</b>
7.1 Introduction	145
7.2 Proposed model	148
7.2.1 Ranking procedure	152
7.3 Data	155
7.4 Results and discussions	156
7.4.1 Human development efficiency and their comparison	156
7.4.2 Multistage reference technology and its relevance to policy makers	158
7.5 Conclusions	162
<b>References</b>	<b>165</b>
<b>Appendix</b>	<b>177</b>