

LIST OF TABLES

Table.1.1. Canid species and their distribution in World	5
Table.3.1. Overall photo captures and relative abundance index (captures/100 trap nights) of golden jackal in Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011 to 2013)	38
Table.3.2. Detection probability (r), average abundance/km ² (λ), site occupancy (Ψ) and total abundance (N) with associated standard errors (\pm SE) for golden jackal based on camera trap data using the Royle-Nichols Heterogeneity model.	38
Table.4.1. Density, cluster size and group encounter rate of different prey species in the intensive study area (National Park) in 2010.	57
Table.4.2. Density, cluster size and group encounter rate of different prey species in the intensive study area (National Park) in 2011.	58
Table.4.3. Density, cluster size and group encounter rate of different prey species in the intensive study area (National Park) in 2012.	59
Table.4.4. Density, cluster size and group encounter rate of sub adults of different prey species for three years (2010- 2013) in the intensive study area (National Park).	60
Table.4.5. Density of rodents and shrew estimated during the summer and winter season in Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-2013).	62
Table.4.6. Rodent and shrew abundance estimates and statistical parameters using mark-recapture analysis in Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-2013).	65

Table.4.7.a. Species wise abundance estimates of nine rodent species and a shrew using mark-recapture analysis in Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-2013).	66
Table.4.7.b. Species wise abundance estimates of nine rodent species and a shrew using distance sampling analysis in Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-13).	66
Table.4.8. Overall photo captures and Relative Abundance Index (captures/100 trap nights) of hare and porcupine in Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011 to 2013).	68
Table.4.9. Detection probability (r), average abundance/km ² (λ), site occupancy (Ψ) and total abundance (N) with associated standard errors (\pm SE) for hare based on camera trap data using the Royle-Nichols Heterogeneity model.	68
Table.4.10. Detection probability (r), average abundance/km ² (λ), site occupancy (Ψ) and total abundance (N) with associated standard errors (\pm SE) for porcupine based on camera trap data using Royle-Nichols Heterogeneity model.	69
Table.4.11. Moisture loss in different prey/food items of jackal during the feeding trail experiment.	79
Table.4.12. Number and frequency of occurrence of each prey species in jackal scats in Sariska National Park (2010-2013).	80
Table.4.13. Correction factor as determined by fitting logistic regression to consumed prey mass per collectable scat (Q5) as a function of mean prey body mass provided per feeding experiment (Q1) and number of excreted collectable scats (Q4) as a function of mean prey body mass provided per feeding experiment (Q1).	81

Table. 4.14. Average body weight and proportion of prey consumed for each prey species of jackal in the study area.	82
Table.4.15. Proportion of biomass consumed and number of individual consumed by jackal for different prey species in the study area (2008-2012).	82
Table.4.16. Number and frequency of occurrence of each prey species in hayena scats in Sariska National Park (2010-2013).	86
Table.4.17. Number and frequency of occurrence of each prey species in jungle cat scats in Sariska National Park (2010-2013).	86
Table.4.18: Dietary overlap between Golden Jackal and Striped Hyena as shown by scat analysis in Sariska Tiger Reserve between year 2010 and 2013.	87
Table.4.19. Dietary overlap between Golden Jackal and jungle cat as shown by scat analysis in Sariska Tiger Reserve between year 2010 and 2013	88
Table.4.20. Dietary overlap between striped hyena and jungle cat as shown by scat analysis in Sariska Tiger Reserve between year 2010 and 2013	89
Table.4.21. Dietary niche breadth between Golden Jackal and Striped Hyena as shown by scat analysis of three years in Sariska Tiger Reserve	90
Table.4.22. Dietary niche breadth between Golden Jackal and jungle cat as shown by scat analysis of three years in Sariska Tiger Reserve.	90
Table.4.23. Dietary niche breadth between striped hyena and jungle cat as shown by scat analysis of three years in Sariska Tiger Reserve.	90
Table.5.1. Environment characteristics of natal and resting dens sites of jackal, and comparison with each other using ANOVA test between two den types	

- Table.5.2.** Five regional areas surveyed for dens, their area and three dominant forest type composing the area in relation to distance between dens and comparison using independent sample *t* test between regions. 119
- Table.5.3.** The top model from the list of models ranked by AICc explaining den site selection in the jackal at the micro site selection scale at Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-13). 122
- Table.5.4.** The top model from the list of models ranked by AICc explaining natal den selection in the jackal at the macro site selection scale at Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-13). 125
- Table.5.5.** Most influential model-averaged parameter estimates of top models explaining den selection by jackal at the micro site scale at Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-13). 128
- Table.5.6.** Most influential model-averaged parameter estimates of top models explaining natal den selection by jackal at the macro site scale at Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-13). 128
- Table.5.7.** Results of variables found correlated at significant level for macro site scale (3.14 km²) for jackal dens at Sariska Tiger Reserve in 2011-13 as shown by Pearson's correlation analysis (2011-2013). 130
- Table.5.8.** Density estimation for different prey classes using conventional distance sampling (CDS) and multiple covariate distance sampling (MCDS) framework and forest type as a factor covariate for modeling detection function in Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-2013). 131
- Table.5.9.** The top model selected from the list of models ranked by AICc explaining den selection in the jackal at the macro site selection scale at Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-13). 132

Table.5.10. Most influential model-averaged parameter estimates of top GLM models explaining den selection by jackal at the macro site scale at Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-13).	133
Table.6.1. Eigen values and their explained variance obtained by ENFA.	164
Table.6.2. Marginality factor describing deviance of species optimum form the mean habitat and the tolerance factor sorted by decreasing amount of explained variance, describing how specialized the species is with reference to available range of habitats in Sariska Tiger Reserve.	164
Table.6.3. Factors and algorithm used for computing habitat suitability map of jackal listing explained information and specialization.	164
Table.6.4. Variance explained by the nine ecological factors and coefficient values for the participating variables.	165
Table.6.5. Global correlation matrix obtained by ENFA.	166
Table.6.6. Species covariance matrix obtained by ENFA	166
Table.6.7. The top model from the list of models ranked by AICc explaining habitat selection by the jackal at Sariska Tiger Reserve (2010-13).	168
Table.6.8. Most influential model-averaged parameter estimates of top models explaining habitat selection by jackal at Sariska Tiger Reserve (2010-13).	170
Table.6.9. Major factors used for evaluation of Habitat Suitability and selected GLM values to ascertain the most influential factor combination used by jackal at Sariska Tiger Reserve (2010-13).	170

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure.1.1. Consensus tree of 26 canid species based on analysis of 2,001 bp of DNA sequence from mitochondrial protein coding genes (Wayne <i>et al.</i> 1997).	6
Figure.1.2. Geographical distribution of golden jackal	10
Figure.2.1. Location, administrative boundary and intensive study area in Sariska Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan.	28
Figure.3.1. locations of camera traps and effective trapping area in the study area.	34
Figure.3.2. Number of total photographs with increasing number of sampling occasions to evaluate sampling adequacy during the study period in Sariska Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan.	37
Figure.3.3. Relationship between mean abundance (λ) and relative abundance index (captures/100 trap nights) for small carnivores in Sariska.	39
Figure.4.1. locations of line transects in the intensive study area (Sariska National Park)	50
Figure.4.2. Detection probability of all prey species in the intensive study area in 2010.	55
Figure.4.3. Detection probability of all prey species in the study area in 2011.	59
Figure.4.4. Detection probability of all prey species in the study area in 2012.	60
Figure.4.5. Species wise comparison of average abundance estimates of nine rodent species and a shrew for entire study period using distance sampling analysis and mark-recapture analysis in Sariska Tiger Reserve (2011-2013).	67

- Figure.4.6.** Total number of *Zizyphus* fruits deposited at each site in fixed mesh net locations in every week during fruiting season from January to February 2011. 71
- Figure.4.7.** Figure 4.7. Average *Zizyphus* fruits deposition and total biomass of deposited fruits in grams in comparison with average fruit weight from January to February 2011. 71
- Figure.4.8.** Total number of *Zizyphus* fruit deposited at each site in fixed mesh net locations every week during the fruiting season from mid of December 2011 to February 2012. 72
- Figure.4.9.** Average *Zizyphus* fruits deposition and total biomass of deposited fruits in grams in comparison with average fruit weight from December 2011 to February 2012. 72
- Figure.4.10.** Total numbers of *Zizyphus* fruits deposited at each site in fixed mesh net locations every week during the fruiting season from January 2013 to March 2013. 73
- Figure.4.11.** Average *Zizyphus* fruits deposition and total biomass of deposited fruits in grams in comparison with average fruit weight from January 2013 and lasted till March 2013. 73
- Figure.4.12.** Sample adequacy for analysis of jackal scats during 2010-11 in Sariska Tiger Reserve. 75
- Figure.4.13.** Frequency of occurrence of different food items in Jackal scat during year 2010 – 2011 in Sariska Tiger Reserve. 75
- Figure.4.14.** Sample adequacy for analysis of jackal scats during 2011-12 in Sariska Tiger Reserve. 76

Figure.4.15. Frequency of occurrence of different food items in Jackal scat during year 2011 - 2012 in Sariska Tiger Reserve.	76
Figure.4.16. Sample adequacy for analysis of jackal scats during 2012-13 in Sariska Tiger Reserve.	77
Figure.4.17. Frequency of occurrence of different food items in Jackal scat during year 2011 - 2012 in Sariska Tiger Reserve.	77
Figure.4.18. Relationship between prey weight (kg) consumed per collectable scat with prey weight, derived from data obtained in the present study.	78
Figure.4.19. Linear regression between average prey biomass consumed per collectable scat (Y) and average weight of prey consumed.	79
Figure.4.20. Prey selection by jackal in the study area based on availability of food items and utilization through scat data in 2010-11.	83
Figure.4.21. Prey selection by jackal in the study area based on availability of food items and utilization through scat data in 2011-12.	84
Figure.4.22. Prey selection by jackal in the study area based on availability of food items and utilization through scat data in 2012-13.	84
Figure.5.1. locations of dens (resting and natal) in the intensive study area (Sariska National Park)	114
Figure.5.2. Distribution of vegetation classes in comparison to collective mean of all vegetation classes with standard error as compared to mean (0.25).	115
Figure.5.3. Distribution of various terrain types in comparison to collective mean of all terrain types with standard error as compared to mean (0.25).	116
Figure.5.4. Distribution of various substrate types in comparison to collective mean of all substrate types with standard error as compared to mean (0.17).	116

- Figure.5.5.** Temperature variation in natal and resting dens of jackal in minimum and maximum scale across different substrate types in Sariska Tiger Reserve. 117
- Figure.5.6.** Mean values of den orientation aspects observed for natal and resting dens of jackal in Sariska Tiger Reserve. 118
- Figure.5.7.** Spearman's rho correlation between cover categories (measured as, 0 = 0; 1 = 0-5 %; 2 = 5-10%10 = 45-50%) and number of jackal dens ($r_s = -0.76$, $n = 9$, $P < 0.02$). 118
- Figure.5.8.** Spearman's rho correlation between region areas (measured as contiguous areas navigable by jackals in terms of average jackal moment and habitat topography) and the average maximum distances among jackal dens in five region ($r_s = 0.89$, $n = 5$, $P < 0.04$). 119
- Figure.5.9.** Main wind current pattern in Indian Sub-continent including the study area Sariska Tiger Reserve.
(<http://www.mapsofindia.com/maps/india/southwestmonsoon.htm>) and
http://www.vagaries.in/2012_08_01_archive.html 129
- Figure.6.1.** Spatial locations of jackal, water points, villages and major roads in Sariska Tiger Reserve (2010 to 2013). 147
- Figure.6.2.** AAF curve of Sensitivity versus Specificity for the habitat model of jackal. 155
- Figure.6.3.a).** Grid values assigned with respect to distance to road in meters in Sariska Tiger Reserve. 156
- Figure.6.3.b).** Grid values assigned with respect to distance to human settlement in meters in Sariska Tiger Reserve. 156
- Figure.6.3.c).** Grid values assigned with respect to distance to water in meters in Sariska Tiger Reserve. 157

Figure.6.3.d). Grid values assigned with respect to ground bird densities (kilometer square) in Sariska Tiger Reserve.	157
Figure.6.3.e). Grid values assigned with respect to hare densities (kilometer square) in Sariska Tiger Reserve.	158
Figure.6.3.f). Grid values assigned with respect to leopard occurrence probability in Sariska Tiger Reserve (Mondal <i>et. al.</i> , 2012).	158
Figure.6.3.g). Grid values assigned with respect to rodent densities (kilometer square) in Sariska Tiger Reserve.	159
Figure.6.3.h). Grid values assigned with respect to ungulate density (kilometer square) in Sariska Tiger Reserve.	159
Figure.6.3.i). Grid values assigned with respect to dominant vegetation class (kilometer square) in Sariska Tiger Reserve.	160
Figure.6.3.j). Predicted distribution for jackal in Sariska Tiger Reserve estimated by ENFA modeling (2010-2013).	160
Figure.6.4. Graphical representation of the relationship between (a) agricultural land (b) <i>Zizyphus</i> mixed c) scrub d) <i>Butea</i> dominant forest, and jackal frequency of occurrence (2010-2013).	161
Figure.6.4. Graphical representation of the relationship between (e) <i>Acasia</i> dominant (f) <i>Bosvellia</i> dominant (g) <i>Anogesseus</i> dominant (h) water source availability, and jackal frequency of occurrence (2010-2013).	162
Figure.6.4. Graphical representation of the relationship between (i) ground birds (j) rodent (k) hare (l) ungulate availability, and jackal frequency of occurrence (2010-2013).	163