Fig: V. Food consumption and Body weight (mg/g/body wt.) in rat of F1 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05, n=6 (mean ± SD)

Fig: VI. Food consumption and Body weight (mg/g/body wt.) in rat of F2 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05, n=6 (mean ± SD)
Fig: VII. Weight of ovary and uterus (mg/g/body wt.) in rat of F1 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05, n=6 (mean ± SD)

Fig: VIII. Weight of ovary and uterus (mg/g/body wt.) in rat of F2 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05, n=6 (mean ± SD)
Fig IX: Duration of estrous cycle in rat of F1 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05. mean ± SD, (n=6)

Fig X: Duration of estrous cycle in rat of F2 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05, mean ± SD, (n=6)
Fig. XI: Hormone concentration (pg/ng/ml) in rat of F1 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05, n=6 (mean ± SD)

Fig. XII: Hormone concentration (pg/ng/ml) in rat of F2 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05, n=6 (mean ± SD)
Fig: XIII. Showing protein, glycogen and cholesterol content in rat ovary of F1 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05. Mean ± SD, (n=6).

Fig: XIV. Protein, glycogen and cholesterol content in rat uterus of F1 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05. mean ± SD, (n=6).
Fig: XV. Protein, glycogen and cholesterol content in rat ovary of F2 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05, mean ±SD, (n=6).

Fig: XVI. Protein, glycogen and cholesterol content in rat uterus of F2 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. Bars followed by different small and capital letters are significantly different at p<0.05, n=6 (mean ±SD).
Fig I. Heavy metals (μg/g) in rat ovary of F1 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. n=3 (mean ± SD)

Fig II. Heavy metals (μg/g) in rat uterus of F1 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. n=3 (mean ± SD)
Fig III. Heavy metals (μg/g) in rat ovary of F2 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. n=3 (mean ± SD)

Fig IV. Heavy metals (μg/g) in rat uterus of F2 generation fed with different ratios of fish meal. n=3 (mean ± SD)