CHAPTER - 8
ELECTRON PARAMAGNETIC RESONANCE STUDY OF Gd₂O₃/NiO CORE-SHELL NANOPARTICLES

8.1 Introduction

Magnetism of small particles has generated increasing interest due to their unique physical and biological properties as well as their technological applications [1]. Bulk nickel oxide (NiO) is known to be a two-sublattice antiferromagnet with NaCl crystalline structure and Neel temperature of 523 K [2]. However, after nanostructuring into ultra small particles, the characteristics of this material become anomalous. According to Rubintsein et al. [3], electron spin resonance (ESR) signal of 60 Å NiO NPs observed at the lowest temperature. In the case of bulk NiO particle including above 100 nm particles, the ESR signal vanish due to the emergence of large molecular exchange fields. Pishko et al. [4] found that the magnetic resonance in 57Å NiO showed the two separate peaks due to the size effect and it confirms the presence of many-sublattice magnetic structure leads to the additional exchange modes of magnetic resonance.

Gadolinium oxide (Gd₂O₃) is a well-known material, has been widely used for various applications such as in magnetic [5, 6], catalytic [7], phosphor [8], nuclear [9] and optical fields [10]. Ahren et al. [11] reported that Gd₂O₃ NPs shown a high relaxivity compared with commonly used gadolinium (III) chelates, indicating that Gd₂O₃ particles are promising for future use in MRI cell tracking and bioimaging applications. Recently, water-dispersible MnO NPs were reported as a T₁ (spin-lattice relaxation time) MRI contrast agent for body organs like brain, the liver and the kidney [12]. The efficient positive contrast agent making is very often addresses, large number of paramagnetic atoms (e.g., Gd³⁺) within small volumes or confine the
contrast agents inside the matrix [13-18]. Gustafsson et al. [19] reported the Gd$_2$O$_3$ NP can serve as positive contrast agent to reduce T$_1$ relaxation time resulting in a brighter signal. Recent studies reveals, that the core/shell nanoparticles (CSNPs) can enter the cells and they act as efficient contrasting agent due to their better spin-lattice relaxation time [20, 21]. The intent of the present work is to discuss the subject of magnetic and spin relaxation studies of NiO encapsulated Gd$_2$O$_3$ CSNPs in the temperature range from 300 K to 110 K employing the electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy at a frequency of 9.43 GHz. The ESR is a powerful technique to analyse the magnetic properties and spin dynamics in a condensed matter.

8.2 Experimental Procedure

8.2.1 Materials and Method

The reagent grade of Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$.6H$_2$O (Alfa Aesar) and Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O (Alfa Aesar) were used as starting materials. Polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG) was used as solvent and double distilled water and ethanol were employed for washing.

For the synthesis of NiO and Gd$_2$O$_3$ NPs, 12 mM of Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O and 12 mM of Gd(NO$_3$)$_3$.6H$_2$O were dissolved separately in 30 ml of polyethylene glycol (PEG) under stirring in a round bottomed flask at 100 °C. The clear transparent salt solutions obtained were subsequently heated to 180 °C for 90 min. The resulting greenish brown solution of NiO and brown suspension of Gd$_2$O$_3$ were cooled to room temperature, diluted with ethanol and the NPs were separated from the solutions by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm. To remove unreacted precursor and PEG, the sediment materials were dispersed in ethanol and centrifuged at three times. The materials obtained after centrifugation were calcined at 600 °C for 2 h in air. To get the NPs of Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO core-shell, NiO shell was grown on the Gd$_2$O$_3$ core employing a two step process. Firstly, the as-prepared Gd$_2$O$_3$ core NPs was dispersed in 25 ml of PEG
under sonication for 10 min and then a solution of PEG (15 ml) containing 12 mM of Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O was injected into the dispersed nanocore system. After mixing the above solution (using a magnetic stirrer for 15 min), the mixed system was heated at 180 °C for 2 h and cooled to room temperature, washed, centrifuged and after that calcined at 600 °C for 2 h in air to get Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs.

### 8.2.2 Characterisation Techniques

To confirm the phase formation, crystal structure and purity of the synthesised samples, diffraction pattern of the powder samples was taken by powder X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku Miniflex-II) at room temperature. TEM micrographs were obtained using a TECHNAI G$^2$ TF20ST transmission electron microscope operating at 200 kV. Samples for TEM were prepared by placing a drop of a colloidal acetone solution of the powder sample onto a carbon coated copper grid, and the grid was dried in air. Raman spectrum of the sample was obtained at an excitation wavelength of 488 nm using a Lab-RAM HR 800 (Jobin Yvon) Raman spectrometer. Variable temperature EPR spectra were recorded using a Bruker EMX plus spectrometer operating at X-band ($\nu = 9.43$ GHz) with 100 kHz magnetic field modulation. The temperature was varied from 300 K to 110 K and the EPR spectra were recorded while warming the sample. Magnetic characterisation of the NPs was carried out using an ADE EV9 model vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) by applying a maximum field of 20 kOe.

### 8.3 Results and Discussion

#### 8.3.1 Structural Analyses

In the XRD pattern of Gd$_2$O$_3$ core NPs, as shown in Figure 8.1a, the major peaks are obtained at $2\theta = 28.50^\circ$, $33.06^\circ$, $47.44^\circ$ and $56.34^\circ$ and the corresponding (hkl) planes are (222), (400), (440) and (622) respectively. The nature and position of
the above diffraction peaks are characteristics of cubic Gd$_2$O$_3$ phase (JCPDS card No.: 43-1014). Typical XRD pattern of NiO NPs, as shown in Figure 8.1b, showing the existence of strong and sharp diffraction peaks at 20 values 37.25°, 43.30° and 63.08° and the respective crystal planes are (111), (200) and (220). These peaks matched well with those reported results (JCPDS card No.: 4-0835 & [22-24]). The Gd$_2$O$_3$ and NiO diffraction patterns are observed in the Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO core/shell nanosystem, as shown in Figure 8.1c, but its diffraction angles are slightly shifted to the higher angle from their bare one. This may be due to the strain existed in core-shell structures which are reported by Cao and Banin [25] in epitaxial growth of an InP shell on an InAs core. In the present study, shifting in the diffraction angles at higher values may be due to the very small thickness of the shell material on the core NPs. So the strain from the epitaxial growth of the shell material on the core is strongly affected and is observed as shift in the diffraction pattern of these CSNPs.

![X-ray diffraction patterns](image)

**Figure 8.1** X-ray diffraction patterns obtained for (a) Gd$_2$O$_3$, (b) NiO and (c) Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO NPs
8.3.2 Raman Studies

![Raman Spectra](image)

**Figure 8.2** Raman spectra of (a) Gd$_2$O$_3$, (b) NiO and (c) Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO NPs

In the Raman spectroscopy studies, pure Gd$_2$O$_3$ NPs clear peaks at 95, 119, 360 and 447 cm$^{-1}$, as shown in Figure 8.2a, indicate that the Gd$_2$O$_3$ NPs are in cubic phase [26]. The NiO nanoparticle (Figure 8.2b) shows broad peak at 518 cm$^{-1}$ due to Ni-O stretching mode [27]. In the case of Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs (Figure 8.2c), NiO peak only observed at 498 cm$^{-1}$ due to the presence of Ni-O stretching mode and the core material peaks are not observed, the shift in lower energy of this peak is due to the increase in the size of CSNPs and Gd$_2$O$_3$ core material peaks are found to be diminished by the mass effects of the NiO shell material [28].

8.3.3 Transmission Electron Microscopy

The TEM micrograph obtained for Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs is shown in Figure 8.3a. The average particle diameter is found to be around 35 nm and the structure of these particles is slightly elongated in shape. The core-shell structure can be clearly seen from the high magnification TEM micrograph (Figure 8.3b). It displays the darker
part of the Gd$_2$O$_3$ core material is encapsulated by the lighter part of NiO shell layer.

**Figure 8.3** (a) TEM and (b) Higher magnification (X71,000) TEM micrograph obtained for Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs

8.3.4 Magnetic Characterisations

8.3.4.1 Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM)

**Figure 8.4** Room temperature hysteresis curves obtained for (a) NiO and (b) Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs
The magnetic hysteresis for the NiO and Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs at room temperature obtained using VSM is presented in Figure 8.4. It is observed that the magnetization of the NiO NPs (Figure 8.4a) increases rapidly with applied magnetic field and is not saturated even at 20 kOe and the value of coercivity ($H_c$) is found to be 187 Oe. The NiO is a typical antiferromagnetic material in the bulk form but we observed coercivity in the present case indicates the uncompensated surface spins causing a change in the magnetic order of NiO NPs [29, 30]. In the case of NiO encapsulated Gd$_2$O$_3$ CSNPs (Figure 8.4b), it reveals that the magnetization increases rapidly without reaching saturation in a field at 16 kOe and the $H_c$ value is 85 Oe. This linear M-H behaviour is characteristics of a typical diamagnetic material. This decreased magnetic property of Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs may be due to the non-magnetic contribution of Gd$_2$O$_3$ core at room temperature. The observed value of the magnetic moment is very low and the $H_c$ value is 85 Oe for the CSNPs and this may be associated with surface spins arising from the nanoscale thickness of the NiO shell material.

### 8.3.4.2 Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) Studies

Typical first derivative EPR spectra obtained for the Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs in the temperature range of 110 K to 300 K are shown in Figure 8.5. The spectra reveal a single broad signal from 300 K to 200 K. In addition to the broad signal, a small narrow signal corresponding to a resonance field ($H_r$) of 3940 Gauss is present when the temperature is further decreased to 150 K and 110 K respectively. The appearance of additional small signal at low temperature may be due to the anisotropy present in the sample induced by the strain in the NPs which is associated with the large surface area of the NPs [31]. When the temperature is increased, this anisotropy energy is suppressed by the thermal energy and we get the homogeneous broad signal from the
temperature range of 200 K to 300 K.

![EPR spectra for Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs obtained at low temperatures of 300K-110K](image)

**Figure 8.5** EPR spectra for Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs obtained at low temperatures of 300K-110K

The peak-to-peak linewidth ($\Delta H_{pp}$) is found to increase rapidly with the increment of the temperature (Table 8.1). This is usually caused in the CSNPs by the magnetic dipole interactions at high temperatures. When the temperature is decreased, the average anisotropic field of the present sample may dominate the interparticle dipolar interaction, thus may lead to the reduction in the width producing relatively a narrow signal [32]. The $\Delta H_{pp}$ values for NiO encapsulated Gd$_2$O$_3$ NPs are larger than the reported bare Gd$_2$O$_3$ NPs at room temperature [19].

The energy between two adjacent degenerate spin energy levels, $\Delta E$, has the same behaviour of the line width. The reduction of linewidth may cause a reduction in the separate energy $\Delta E$. The value of $\Delta E$ is given by the relation $\Delta E=\hbar\nu=g\mu_B H_0$ [33].
The peak-to-peak linewidth ($\Delta H_{pp}$) and g-values are decreasing with the decrement of the temperature (Table 8.1), which depends upon two main factors namely magnetic dipole interactions among the particles and exchange interaction between the ions. Strong dipole interaction gives a large $\Delta H_{pp}$ and strong superexchange interaction produces a small $\Delta H_{pp}$ [32]. In core-shell magnetic nanosystem, interfacial exchange coupling between the core and shell region also plays as an important parameter. Ong et al. [34] reported that the exchange coupling between core and shell region is strong under low temperature conditions.

If the temperature is decreased, anisotropy field of the NPs and interfacial exchange coupling between core and shell region will greatly affect the $\Delta H_{pp}$ and g-values. At higher temperatures, spin direction between the antiferromagnetic NiO shell and the non-magnetic Gd$_2$O$_3$ core are different and in this condition, interfacial exchange coupling between the core and shell regions is not too significant [35]. Sunderesan et al. [36] found that the non-magnetic metal oxide NPs show the ferromagnetic moment because of the presence of defects on the surface of the material. In the present case at low temperature, disordered spins on the Gd$_2$O$_3$ core surface coupled with interface of shell material results in the arising of net magnetic moment from 150 K to 110 K. The interfacial roughness between the core and the shell region will be larger when the core surface disorder increases. This increasing interfacial roughness leads to a greater degree of uncompensated spin at the interface [35] and hence a larger degree of unidirectional coupling between antiferromagnetic shell (NiO) and non-magnetic core (Gd$_2$O$_3$) from 150 K in Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs system occurs. The g-factor value increases at 110 K which may be due to the strong interfacial exchange coupling between the core and shell region.
Table 8.1 EPR characteristics of Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs at different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{pp}$ (Gauss)</th>
<th>g-value</th>
<th>$T_2 \times 10^{11}$ (s)</th>
<th>$T_1 \times 10^{12}$ (s)</th>
<th>Number of spin (Ns)</th>
<th>spin$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>1844</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td>1.70 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>1151</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>1.11 x 10$^{14}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1877</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>81.60</td>
<td>2.81 x 10$^{13}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>2522</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>2.88 x 10$^{13}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>2562</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>1.92 x 10$^{13}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is known that there are two relaxation processes in EPR system *viz.* spin-lattice and spin-spin relaxation. If the linewidth of the EPR spectrum is determined by spin-spin relaxation, the $\Delta H_{pp}$ will increase rapidly as the temperature decreases [37] but we get only opposite trend in our system. Therefore, it may not be associated with the spin-spin relaxation that plays a dominant role but the other mechanism, such as spin-lattice relaxation should be operative. If the involved spins are like (parallel) the magnetic exchange causes a broadening of the resonance lines, at higher temperature. On the contrary, if the magnetic exchange takes place between unlike (anti-parallel) spins a narrowing resonance lines are caused at higher temperatures. The observed broadening of the EPR peaks at higher temperatures in the present case may be attributed to the exchange interaction between the like spins. Due to the spin-lattice relaxation characteristics of Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO CSNPs, it can be used as a $T_1$ contrast agent in the MRI application [20, 21]. The Homogeneous broadening and the peak-to-peak linewidth of the EPR spectra are used to estimate the spin-spin relaxation time ($T_2$) and spin-lattice relaxation time ($T_1$) [38]:

\[
\frac{1}{T_2} = g\mu_B\Delta H/h \quad \text{......... (8.1)}
\]

\[
T_1 = \frac{1}{2\gamma^2 T_2 H_i^2} \quad \text{......... (8.2)}
\]

where, $\Delta H$ is the full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) of the absorption curve.
\[ \Delta H = \sqrt{3} \Delta H_{pp} \]
\[ \gamma \]
is the gyromagnetic ratio and \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) are calculated using Eq. (8.1) and (8.2) and the values are given in Table 8.1. The relaxation time (\( T_1 \) & \( T_2 \)) estimated from the EPR studies does not show any regular trend with the temperature.

The EPR spin number is the area under the EPR absorption curve, which is proportional to the number of unpaired spins (\( N_s \)) in the sample. The EPR spin number is evaluated from the product of square of linewidth and peak to peak height (\( \Delta H_{pp}^2 h \)) [39]. It is seen from the Table 8.1 that the lowest spin number (\( 1.92 \times 10^{13} \)) observed at 300 K and the spin number for both the temperature 250 K and 200 K are the same and is observed as \( 2.8 \times 10^3 \). A sudden increment of EPR signal intensity is observed at 150 K and 110 K. This may be associated with the uncompensated spin arising at the interface between the core and shell region because of the fact that the exchange coupling effect at very low temperature resulted in the larger \( N_s \) value as observed for the temperature below 200 K.
8.4 Conclusions

Well-crystalline Gd$_2$O$_3$, NiO and Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO (core/shell) NPs have been successfully synthesised by polyol process. The core-shell structures of the Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO NPs are analysed by XRD and Raman spectroscopic studies. The average particle size of the CSNPs was found to be < 35 nm through TEM studies. The temperature dependent EPR spectra of these magnetic core-shell nanosystems were scanned from 110 K to 300 K. When temperature below 200 K, the spectra showed an additional small peak associated with the anisotropy present in the sample induced by the strain from the NPs due to the large surface area. The g-factor and $N_s$ decreased with decreasing temperature from 300 K to 200 K and increased at very low temperatures. The results may be due to the dominance of anisotropy field and interfacial exchange coupling between the core and shell region which is usually significant at very low temperature in this NM/AFM core/shell nanosystem. Peak-to-peak line width increased with the increase of temperature indicating spin-lattice relaxation operating in these Gd$_2$O$_3$/NiO core/shell nanosystems. The electron spin relaxation time of Gd$_2$O$_3$ NPs could be changed by encapsulation of nanoscale NiO shell material.
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