ABSTRACT

Death penalty retention or abolition?

At first, it should be made very clear that 86 centuries have abolished while 26 centuries have retained the capital punishment the basic problem is can we provide life?

If we cannot provide, is it right to end it? Next the presence of hardened criminal is a great problem for peaceful society. They are dangerous to peace and social understanding. There are loophole drawbacks in every system. If a criminal by mistake is executed, there is no provision of either repentance or providing life again.

Rape of minors, merciless killing, murderous tendency, are beyond any improvement. In such cases retention is proper. ‘eye for eye ‘tooth for tooth’, ‘life for life’ ‘hand for hand’ were the laws of jungle. Yet the heinous crimes revengeful murders and atrocities on women out of prejudices and revenge or thrill are beyond tolerance of common social peace loving people. Hence the capital punishment is inevitable in the present world and types of execution, drawbacks in law and order are discussed with confusions in defining terms and above all the democratic process of police, lawyers, witnesses and judges who at times are against each other. The long time consuming process also creates problems before the government, the families and the criminal himself. The present study discusses everything in details, from a third person’s point of view neither supporting not condemning the capital punishment.

It is only a sincere effort to open an impartial debate by providing a stepping stone through this work for the most controversial problems of death penalty.