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Fig. 4.2: Combined effect of heavy metals and phenol on bacterial decolourisation of melanoidin (sucrose-aspartic acid Maillard product, SAA) in mixed condition at different multiplication of their permissible limit (PM). PM(5): 5 times PM, PM(10): 10 times PM, PM(15): 15 times PM.

Fig. 4.3: Colony Forming Unit (CFU, cell/ml) of potential bacterial strains in SAA containing GPYM media amended with Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup> separately and in mixed conditions with and without phenol (100 ppm) at different time incubation.
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Fig. 4.6: HPLC chromatogram of SAA degradation in presence of Zn<sup>2+</sup> (a), mixed
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**Fig. 4.7**: MnP activity of potential bacterial consortium in SAA containing GPYM media amended with metals, with and without phenol. Insert figure shows purified MnP, Lane 1: Ladder, 2: standard, 3: SAA, 4: SAA + Zn$^{2+}$ (2.00 ppm), 5: SAA + Fe$^{3+}$ (2.00 ppm), 6: SAA + Mn$^{2+}$ (0.20 ppm), P: phenol, S16: SAA + Zn$^{2+}$ (2.00 ppm) + Fe$^{3+}$ (2.00 ppm) + Mn$^{2+}$ (0.20 ppm), S36: SAA + Phenol + Zn$^{2+}$ (2.00 ppm) + Fe$^{3+}$ (2.00 ppm) + Mn$^{2+}$ (0.20 ppm).

**Fig. 4.8**: Scanning Electron Micrograph (SEM) depicting the effect of heavy metals with and without phenol (100 ppm) during SAA decolourisation at a magnification 5000X. a: bacterial strains under untreated condition, b: SAA + Zn$^{2+}$ (2.00 ppm) + Fe$^{3+}$ (2.00 ppm) + Mn$^{2+}$ (0.20 ppm) (S16), c: SAA + Phenol + Zn$^{2+}$ (2.00 ppm) + Fe$^{3+}$ (2.00 ppm) + Mn$^{2+}$ (0.20 ppm) (S36), d: SAA + Zn$^{2+}$ (20.00 ppm) + Fe$^{3+}$ (20.00 ppm) + Mn$^{2+}$ (2.00 ppm) (S18), e: SAA + Phenol + Zn$^{2+}$ (20.00 ppm) + Fe$^{3+}$ (20.00 ppm) + Mn$^{2+}$ (2.00 ppm) (S38), * shows Bacillus sp. with thick cell wall.

**Fig. 5.1**: View of Post methanated distillery effluent (PMDE) in extended aeration tank (a), PMDE showing aquatic pollution at Unnao region (UP) and health hazards (b), PMDE discharge from M/S Kedia distillery Ltd (c) and PMDE prior to mixing in the river.

**Fig. 5.2**: Effect of PMDE concentrations (a), inoculum size (b), shaking speed (c) and pH (d) on PMDE decolourisation by bacterial consortium (4 : 3 : 2 : 1).

**Fig. 5.3**: Flasks showing bacterial decolorized PMDE with biomass (a) and without biomass (b) at different time interval (4 and 8 days) along with control

**Fig. 5.4**: Bacterial PMDE decolourisation at fermentor scale (a), bacterial size optimization for PMDE decolourisation (b) and view of fermentor vessel containing bacterial decolorized PMDE (c)
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**Fig. 5.6**: TLC (a) and HPLC (b) analysis of PMDE before and after bacterial degradation.
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**Fig. 5.8**: Seed germination pattern of V. faba treated with bacterial treated and untreated PMDE after 48 h.

**Fig. 5.9**: % germination and amylase activity shown by Vicia faba’s seeds treated with different concentration of bacterial treated and untreated PMDE.
Fig. 5.10 : Morphological effect of distillery sludge amendment soils at different concentrations (10–80%) on the growth of root, shoot and leaves of *P. mungo* after 60 days vs. the control.

Fig. 5.11 : Effect of distillery sludge on (a) leaf area and nodule formation and (b) dry matter in leaf, shoot and root of *P. mungo*.

Fig. 5.12 : Wheat plants (a) and mustard plants (b) growing near the PMDE and tannery effluent contaminated sites

Fig. 6.1a-b : Showing the ratio of heavy metals accumulated in roots, shoots and leaves of *P. cummunis, T. angustifolia* and *C. esculentus*

Fig 6.2a-h. TEM micrographs of metal treated *P. cummunis* (b), *T. angustifolia* (d) and *C. esculentus* (f) roots along with control (a, c and e respectively) and treated shoots of *P. cummunis* (h) along with control (g) after 56 days of metal treatment. Metal granules (arrow), thinning of cell wall (hollow arrow), loss of cell shape (star), parenchyma (P) and intercellular spaces (In).

Fig. 6.3a-f : TEM micrographs of metal treated *P. cummunis* (b), *T. angustifolia* (d) and *C. esculentus* (f) leaves along with control (a, c and e respectively) after 56 days of metal treatment. Loss of cell shape (star), decrease in intercellular spaces (In) and plastid (arrow).

Fig. 6.4 : Morphological effect of metals, phenol at variable concentration of melanoidin (Set II, ST8 - ST13 from left to right) on *T. angustifolia* during metal accumulation at 20 (a), 40 (b), and 60 days (c) incubation

Fig. 6.5 : Percent accumulation of different heavy metals in *T. angustifolia* at 20, 40, and 60 days of treatments. ST1, heavy metals; ST2, heavy metals and phenol; ST3-7, heavy metals, melanoidin (2500 Co-Pt) and increasing concentration of phenol (100, 200, 400, 600, and 800 mg/l); ST8, heavy metals and melanoidin (2500 Co-Pt); ST9-13, heavy metals, phenol (100 mg/l) and increasing concentration of melanoidin (3000, 4000, 5500, 7000, and 8500 Co-Pt)

Fig. 6.6 : Peroxidase contents in *T. angustifolia* root in presence of metal, melanoidin, and phenol. ST1, heavy metals; ST2, heavy metals and phenol; ST3-7, heavy metals, melanoidin (2500 Co-Pt) and increasing concentration of phenol (100, 200, 400, 600, and 800 mg/l); ST8, heavy metals and melanoidin (2500 Co-Pt); ST9-13, heavy metals, phenol (100 mg/l) and increasing concentration of melanoidin (3000, 4000, 5500, 7000, and 8500 Co-Pt)

Fig. 6.7 : Catalase contents in *T. angustifolia* root in presence of metal, melanoidin, and phenol. ST1, heavy metals; ST2, heavy metals and phenol; ST3-7, heavy metals, melanoidin (2500 Co-Pt) and increasing concentration of phenol (100, 200, 400, 600, and 800 mg/l); ST8, heavy metals and melanoidin (2500 Co-Pt); ST9-13, heavy metals, phenol (100
mg/l) and increasing concentration of melanoidin (3000, 4000, 5500, 7000, and 8500 Co-Pt).

**Fig. 6.8**: Light micrograph of *T. angustifolia* root shows metal deposition (dark staining) and disruption of cortex cell (b vs a; *) and TEM micrograph shows intercellular space (➡) and nucleus size reduction (⦁) (d) in ST11 as compared to control (c) during metal accumulation in 60 days. Cortex (Ct), phloem (Ph), and xylem(X).

**Fig. 6.9**: TEM micrograph of *T. angustifolia* leaves shows gradual change and breakdown of cell (b, c, d; ↔) in presence of phenol (100 mg/l), melanoidin (5500 Co-Pt) as compared to control (a) during metal accumulation at different period [20(b), 40(c), and 60(d) days]. Arrow showing metals granules deposition.

**Fig. 6.10**: Heavy metals (mg/kg) content in different parts of *T. angustifolia* and *C. esculentus*. Note: All the values are means of ten replicates ±SD. Superscript indicate that they were significantly different at a probability level of 0.05 according to ANOVA test. a= p<0.05, ns= p>0.05.

**Fig. 6.11a-d**: TEM micrographs of root and shoot of *T. angustifolia* grown on uncontaminated (a, c) and contaminated site (b, d). Arrow shows multinucleolus formation.

**Fig. 6.12a-b**: TEM micrographs of *C. esculentus* root grown on uncontaminated (a) and contaminated site (b). Arrow shows thinning of cell wall.

**Fig. 7.1**: Schematic diagram showing biphasic treatment of PMDE for environmental safety.

**Fig. 7.2**: Periodic reduction of colour, COD, ammonia and nitrate after bacterial treatment (BT) in 5000 L bioreactor.

**Fig. 7.3**: Represents percent color reduction of PMDE at different flow rate (a), hydraulic retention time (b).
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**Fig. 7.5**: Represents to a view of PMDE at industrial scale after extended aeration (a), PMDE decolorization after bacterial treatment in 3000 L capacity reactor (b), view of constructed wetland treatment system after integration of bacterial pretreated PMDE for decolorization (c) and the view of final decolorization PMDE after bacterial and wetland plant treatment in 5000 L capacity reservoir.

**Fig. 7.6**: Represents to reduction of coloring peak in HPLC analysis at different time after bacterial treatment. (a), and after wetland plant treatment of bacterial pre-treated PMDE.
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**Fig. 7.8**: Effect of PMDE (50%; 100%), BT (50%; 100%) and WT (50%; 100%) on the growth of *V. faba* after 90 days irrigation. PMDE: post methanated distillery effluent; BT: bacterial treated PMDE; WT: wetland plant treated PMDE
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**Fig. 8.4**: Rhizosperic Slurry DNA sample amplified by 16s rRNA primers (a); Restriction pattern using HhaI endonuclease (b); Restriction pattern using Hae III endonuclease (c). S: Slurry DNA, M1: 500 bp ladder, M2: 100 bp ladder