

ANNAMALAI  **UNIVERSITY**

Annamalai Nagar

DECLARATION

I, **C.R.SENTHILKUMAR** Research Scholar in the Department of Manufacturing Engineering declare that the work embodied in this Ph.D thesis entitled is a result of my own bonafide work carried out with my personal effort and submitted by me under the supervision of **Dr.S.Ravi**, *Professor, Department of Manufacturing Engineering* at Annamalai University, Annamalainagar. The contents of the thesis have not formed the basis for the award of any Degree/Diploma/Fellowship/ Titles in this University or any other University or similar Institutions of Higher Learning.

I declare that, I have faithfully acknowledged, given credit and referred to the researchers wherever their work have been cited in the body of the thesis. I further declare that, I have not willfully copied some other's data/work/results etc. reported in the journals, magazines, books, report dissertations, thesis, internet etc. and claim as my own work.

Date:

C.R.SENTHILKUMAR

Research candidate

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express my deep sense of gratitude to **Dr. C.Manian, Vice-Chancellor, Annamalai University**, who constantly encourages and support staffs of the University to qualify them to the highest. I express my sincere thanks to **Dr.K.Arumugam**, Registrar.

I am thankful to **Dr.C.Antony Jeyashekar**, Dean, Faculty of Engineering & Technology Annamalai University, for his support and guidance. **Dr.G.Ganesan**, Head of the Department of Manufacturing Engineering for his help and blessings.

I express my sincere thanks and gratitude to **Dr.S.Ravi, Ph.D.**, Professor, Department of Manufacturing Engineering and my research guide for unflinching support and encouragement, valuable, suggestions and guidance throughout the meticulous planning and execution that, steered this research successfully.

I am also grateful to **Prof.N.Anantharaman, Prof.S.Pugazhendhi, Prof.K.Raghukandan**, Former Heads of the Department of Manufacturing Engineering.

I record honestly my heartfelt gratitude to **Dr.V.Balasubramanian**, Professor, Department of Manufacturing Engineering for the erudite guidance rendered through my guide.

I am thankful to **Mr.A.R.Karthikeyan**, Instructor, Material Testing Laboratory, **Mr.S.Balaguru**, Sr. Foreman, Tool Maker of the Project, for helping me in conducting experiments.

I also wish to thank all the teaching and non-teaching staff member of my department for their help, moral support and encouragements, directly or indirectly. I can't forget my project students, who made the dream come true.

I am grateful to my mother, brother, sister, for their encouragement and support. All this happened because of my father's influence, **Late Mr. C. Radhakrishnan, Professor and Principal, Periyar Arts College, Cuddalore.**

Even as I submit my thesis work, I praise **Lord Nataraja** as victory and power.

ABSTRACT

Aircraft components suffer from fatigue failures, the majority of failures take place at the component welded joints. Friction Stir Welding (FSW) is a new candidate in advanced joining method, that promises cost reduction and structural efficiency compared to conventional joining processes such as riveting, bolting, TIG welding, plasma welding and laser beam welding. Nevertheless, until now FSW not yet been demonstrated as a sound quality joining process that is resistant to fatigue as for AA7075-T6 aerospace behaviour is concern

In the present work, FSW of AA7075-T6 aluminum alloy was characterised in terms of defect free, macrostructure, microstructure, hardness, tensile and weld residual stress. Cyclic load related properties such as fatigue endurance of the FSW joints were investigated and discussed. And numerical modeling were adopted to for the fatigue crack growth study, critical areas for fatigue crack initiation, propagation, and final failure of FSW joints were evaluated, and identified. FSW joints possess some amount of residual stress, and its effect on Post Weld Heat Treatment is identified.

The investigation of residual, tensile, hardness and fatigue crack growth behaviour properties of the FSW joints are based on As Welded and Post Weld Heat Treatment characterisation, such as artificial aging, solution treated and solution treated and aged of the joints. Thus the study is made to regain the base material property which is lost by FSW process.

Even though the experimental study is made in various aspects, the trend is to have a numerical aspect of study on the problem, where the specimens can't be applied for experimental testing. So based on crack growth observations and

experimental characterisation of FSW joints with fatigue load is complicated, which requires numerically expensive program.

To determine fatigue crack growth life by the finite element method the fatigue crack propagation rate with applied load must be calculated uniformly in FEA modeling of crack tip propagation. There are two approaches followed traditional method and interface element method in the traditional method, were continuum is meshed with fine finite elements at the crack tip and the node release scheme involve one element length per cycle and in the interface method the model crack growth considering the energy released whenever the new surface is formed as the crack grows along the fraying planes of the crack surface is adopted and studied.

The crack do not generally propagate with each cyclic loads, because material do not change after cyclic load therefore, in traditional methods the crack tip mesh is redefined are the crack tip node is released in each cycle and the crack propagates one element length in each cycle. But in reality crack advance take place in very small increments over many cycles. To reduce these limitations the interface element approach is used in this analysis

This model replaces to calculate the accurate results for large welded structure which cannot be subjected to experimental testing. The good correlation achieved between the experimental data and the model predictions suggests that, the fundamentals of the model are correct. The crack growth model is successful in handling the crack, causing crack coalescence, based on applied stress data of FSW joints.

Table of Contents

	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
	ABSTRACT.....	ii
	LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
	LIST OF FIGURES.....	ix
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xiv
	LIST OF NOTATIONS.....	xiv
	LIST OF NOMENCLATURE.....	xvi
Chapter 1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Friction Stir Welding	01
1.2	Advantages of Friction Stir Welding	01
1.3	Finite Element Methods (FEM)	01
1.4	About AA 7075-T6.....	01
1.5	Fatigue Crack Growth	02
1.6	Fatigue Crack Growth Behaviour of Engineering Metals.....	03
1.7	Damage Tolerant Design	05
Chapter 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Problems with Welding Aluminum Alloys.....	07
2.2	Welding Al-Zn Alloys.....	08
2.3	Friction-Stir-Welding (FSW).....	08
2.4	Microstructural Features.....	12
2.5	Theory and Mechanisms of Fatigue Failure.....	13
2.6	Intrinsic and Extrinsic Mechanisms.....	14
2.7	Crack-Growth Rates.....	16
2.8	Crack Closure Mechanisms.....	17
2.9	Numerical Analysis.....	17
2.10	Finite Element Simulation of Fatigue Crack Growth.....	19
2.11	Weld Residual Stress (WRS) and it Effect on Fatigue Life.....	24
2.12	Weld Defects and Weld Metallurgy.....	26
2.13	Materials for Aerospace Structures.....	26

2.13.1	Aluminum Alloy. (Al-7075-T6).....	26
Chapter 3	SCOPE AND OBJECTIVE	
3.1	Motivation to the Present Work.....	31
3.2	Scope and objectives of the work.....	32
Chapter 4	EXPERIMENTAL WORK	
4.1	Introduction.....	35
4.2	Evaluation of Base Metal Properties.....	36
	Flow Chart.....	37
4.3	Fabrication of Joints.....	39
4.4	Post Weld Heat Treatment	41
4.5	Specimen Preparation.....	42
Chapter 5	CHARACTERISATION OF WELDED JOINTS	
5.1	Introduction.....	49
5.2	Microstructure Analysis.....	49
5.3	Hardness Survey.....	50
5.3.1	Residual stress.....	51
5.3.2	Effect on Residual Stress on Various Position	56
5.3.3	RESIDUAL STRESS MEASURED METHOD	56
5.3.4	EFFECT OF RESIDUAL STRESS	58
5.4	Discussion	59
5.4.1	Effect on Microstructure.....	59
5.4.2	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Micro hardness.....	61
5.4.3	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Residual stress.....	62
5.5.	Summary	63
Chapter 6	TENSILE BEHAVIOUR	
6.1	Introduction.....	65
6.2	Tensile Test Results.....	65
6.2.1	Yield Strength.....	66
6.2.2	Tensile Strength.....	66
6.2.3	Ductility.....	68
6.2.4	Notch Tensile Strength.....	69

	6.2.5	Joint Efficiency.....	70
6.3		Discussion	70
	6.3.1	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on strength.....	70
	6.3.2	EFFECT of Post Weld Heat Treatment on ductility.....	73
	6.3.3	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Notch Tensile Properties.....	74
	6.3.4	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Joint Efficiency.....	77
6.4		Detailed Discussion.....	77
6.5		Summary.....	78
Chapter 7		FATIGUE BEHAVIOUR	
7.1		Introduction.....	81
7.2		Fatigue Behaviour.....	83
	7.2.1.	Fatigue Test Results.....	84
	7.2.2.	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Fatigue Strength.....	87
	7.2.3	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Fatigue Notch Factor.....	93
7.3		Fatigue Crack Growth Behaviour.....	94
	7.3.1.	Fatigue Crack Growth Test Results.....	96
	7.3.2	Fatigue Crack Initiation and Propagation Lives.....	102
	7.3.3	SEM Fractography.....	104
	7.3.4	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Fatigue Crack Growth Behaviour	106
7.4		Discussion.....	111
7.5		Summary.....	116
Chapter 8		NUMERICAL ANALYSIS	
8.1		Introduction.....	119
8.2		Finite Element Programming.....	120
	8.2.1	Element Type.....	120
	8.2.2	Equation Solver.....	121
	8.2.3	Symmetrical Analysis.....	122
	8.2.4	Material Properties	122
	8.2.5	Meshing.....	123
	8.2.6	Crack-tip Propagation.....	124
	8.2.7	Load Step Increment.....	124
8.3		Traditional FEA	125

8.4	Overload Analysis.....	129
8.5	Interface Element Technique.....	131
8.6	Traditional And New Approach.....	136
8.7	Theoretical Formulation for The Interface Element Method.....	137
8.8	Finite Element Method for Interface Element Technique.....	141
8.9	Modeling Crack-Tip Singularity in FEA	143
8.10	Extraction of Stress Intensity Factor SIF.....	149
8.11	Overall Methodology Followed for Fatigue Life Calculation.....	149
8.12	Results And Discussions.....	152
8.13	Convergence.....	155
8.14	Validation.....	156
8.15	Conclusion.....	157
Chapter 9	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	159
	Future Scope of this Study.....	163
	References.....	165
	List of Publications.....	171
	Copy of Published Papers.....	

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Caption	Page
4.1	Chemical Composition (Wt %) of Base Metal	38
4.2	Mechanical Properties of Base Metal	38
4.3	Welding Conditions And Process Parameters for FSW Process	40
5.1	Residual Stress Vs Distance from Weld Centre	57
6.1	Transverse Tensile Properties of FS Welded AA7075-T6 Joints	66
7.1	Fatigue Properties of FS Welded AA7075-T6 Joints	88
7.2	Fatigue Crack Growth Parameters of FS Welded AA7075-T6 Joints	100
8.1	Material Properties of The Weld Material Used for Finite Element Analysis	129
8.2	Traditional FEA Results for Crack Propagation at Constant Amplitude Loading	129
8.3	Interface Material Properties	153

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Caption	Page
1.1	Schematic of Constant Amplitude Load Cycles, Where The Crack-Tip Stress Intensity Factor, K , Is Plotted Against Time	3
1.2	Schematic of Typical FCG Data. Plotted As Log (Da/Dn) Vs. Log (ΔK), The FCG Behaviour Is Divided Into Three Regions (Threshold, Paris, And Unstable FCG Regions).	5
1.3	Schematic of ΔK Plotted Against K_{max} , Used To Demonstrate The Philosophy of Damage Tolerant Design	6
2.1	FSW Depicting A Plunged And Rotating Tool Traversing The Work Piece.	9
2.2	Cross-Section View of The FSW Regions	12
2.3	Comparison of (A) Faceted Stage-I And (B) Striated Stage-II Crack Paths	15
2.4	Schematic Illustration of Mutual Competition Between Intrinsic Mechanisms of Damage/Crack Advance, And Extrinsic Mechanisms of Crack-Tip Shielding Involved In Crack Growth.	16
2.5	Crack Closure Levels for Long Cracks in Commercial AA-7xxx Alloys	16
2.6	Weld Residual Stress Plot in a Friction Stir Welded Al-2195 Specimen.	25
4.1	Work Plan	37
4.2	Tensile Test Specimen (For Base Metal)	38
4.3	Fractograph of Tensile Tested Specimen (Base Metal)	39
4.4	Microstructure of AA7075-T6 Base Alloy	39
4.5	Square Butt Joint Configuration	40
4.5a	Tool Geometry	40
4.6	Friction Stir Welding Machine (FSW) Machine Used in This Investigation	41
4.7	Friction Stir Welded Joints of AA7075-T6	41
4.8	Dimensions of Various Test Specimens	44
4.9	Photographs of Tensile Specimens	44
4.10	Photographs of Fatigue Specimens	44

No.	Caption	Page
4.11	Photographs of Smooth Fatigue Tested Specimen.	46
4.12	Photographs of Notched Fatigue Specimen Before and After Testing.	46
4.12a	Centre Cracked Fatigue Specimens (Before Testing)	46
4.12b	Centre cracked fatigue specimens (after testing)	47
5.1	Various Region in Transverse Specimen of As Welded Specimen	50
5.2	Hardness measurement	51
5.3	Hardness profile across the section mid section	52
5.4	Optical micrographs of AS Welded (AW) joint	53
5.5	Optical micrographs of Artificially Aged (AA) joint	54
5.6	Optical micrographs of Solution Treated (ST) joint	55
5.7	Optical micrographs of Solution Treated & Artificially aged(STA)joint	55
5.8	Various position of residual stress	56
5.9	As welded longitudinal & transverse residual stress profiles	57
5.10	Before and after PWHT, longitudinal & transverse residual stress profiles	58
6.1	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Yield Strength	67
6.2	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Ultimate Tensile Strength	67
6.3	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Elongation	69
6.4	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Reduction in Cross Sectional Area	69
6.5	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Notch Tensile Strength	71
6.6	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Notch Strength Ratio	71
6.7	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Joint Efficiency	72
6.8	Tensile Fracture surfaces of Un notched Specimen	75
6.9	Tensile Fracture surfaces of Notched Specimen	76

No.	Caption	Page
7.1	S-N Behaviour of FS Welded AA7075-T6 Joints	85
7.2	Effect of Notches On Fatigue Life	86
7.3	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Fatigue Behaviour of FS Welded AA7075-T6 Joints	89-90
7.3a	Fracture surfaces of Un notched Fatigue Specimen	91
7.3b	Fracture surfaces of Notched Fatigue Specimen	92
7.4	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Fatigue Crack Growth of FS Welded AA7075-T6 Alloy Joints	97-99
7.5	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Stress Intensity Factor Vs Fatigue Crack Growth Rate of FS Welded AA7075-T6 Alloy Joints	99
7.6	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Fatigue Crack Growth of FS Welded AA7075-T6 Joints.	100-102
7.7	Effect of Post Weld Heat Treatment on Fatigue Crack Initiation Life of FS Welded AA7075-T6 Joint	103
7.8	Relationship between Intial SIF Range and Final Failure Life.	104
7.9	Fracture Surface Appearance of Fatigue Crack Growth Specimens At Low Magnification.	107
7.10	SEM Fractograph of Fatigue Crack Initiation (FCI) Regions.	108
7.11	SEM Fractograph of Fatigue Crack Propagation (FCP) Regions.	109
7.12	SEM Fractograph of Final Failure (FF) Regions	110
8.1	Bilinear Plasticity Model	123
8.2	Geometry And Size of The FE Model In Traditional FEA	125
8.3	Sequential Loading Cycles At A Frequency of 2 Hz	127
8.4	Finite Element Model for Traditional FEA, With Crack-Tip Singular Element	130
8.5	Variation Of Stress Vs. Strain, R=0.1, Constant Amplitude Loading Al-AA7075-T6, The Graph Shows That The Stress Vs. Strain Rate Increases Until The Residual Compressive Stresses Increase And The Strain Rate Decreases	130
8.6	Variation of Stress Vs. Strain, R=0.1,Constant Amplitude Loading	131

No.	Caption	Page
	Al-AA7075-T6	
8.7	Variation of Stress Vs. Strain, R=0.1, Variable Amplitude Loading Al-AA7075-T6	132
8.8	Variation of Stress Vs. Strain, R=0.1, Variable Amplitude Loading Al-7075 T6 The Strain Rate After Point A Is Negligible With The Application of An Overload At Point A.	133
8.9	Relationship Between Bonding Stress And COD For The Interface Elements.	134
8.10	Dugdale-Barrenblett Model	135
8.11	Coupled Cohesive Crack Zone Model	136
8.12	Crack Propagation Mechanism	136
8.13	Interface Element Model	143
8.14	Mechanical Properties of Elastic-Plastic Continuum	143
8.15	A Schematic of the Truss Elements Connected at the Interface	144
8.16	Finite Element Model	145
8.17	Irwin's Crack-Tip Singular Zone	146
8.18	LEFM Crack-Tip Elements	148
8.19	Plastic Singularity Element	149
8.20	Behavior of Coincident Nodes At the Crack-Tip	150
8.21	'Spider-Web' Configuration	150
8.22	Crack-Tip Co-ordinate System	151
8.23	Path Definition	152
8.24	Half Crack Finite Element Model with Interface Element Technique	154
8.25	Sequential Cyclic Loading, Time Vs. Force, with a Frequency of 2Hz	155
8.26	Von-Mises Stress Plot After Crack Propagation	155
8.27	Variation of Fatigue Crack Growth Rate with Stress Intensity Factor	156

No.	Caption	Page
8.28	Variation of Range of Stress Intensity Factor with Fatigue Life	156
8.29	Variation of SIF Vs. Crack Length	157
8.30	Comparison Between Experimental and Predicted Fatigue Life	159
8.31	Fatigue Crack Growth Simulation Results	160

ABBREVIATIONS

AA	-	Artificial Aging
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing of Metals
AW	-	As Welded
BM	-	Base Metal
CGHAZ	-	Coarse Grained Heat Affected Zone
EBW	-	Electron Beam Welding
FGHAZ	-	Fine Grained Heat Affected Zone
FCI	-	Fatigue Crack Initiation
FCP	-	Fatigue Crack Propagation
FF	-	Final Failure
FSW	-	Friction Stir Welding
GB	-	Grain Boundary
GP	-	Guinner-Preston
GMAW	-	Gas Metal Arc Welding
GTAW	-	Gas Tungsten Arc Welding
HAZ	-	Heat Affected Zone
NTS	-	Notch Tensile Strength
NSR	-	Notch Strength Ratio
PAW	-	Plasma Arc Welding
PFZ	-	Precipitate Free Zone
PMZ	-	Partially Melted Zone
PWHT	-	Post Weld Heat Treatment
SCE	-	Saturated Calomel Electrode
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
SIF	-	Stress Intensity Factor
ST	-	Solution Treatment
STA	-	Solution Treatment and Aging
TEM	-	Transmission Electron Microscopy
UTS	-	Ultimate Tensile Strength
VPPAW	-	Variable Polarity Plasma Arc Welding
YS	-	Yield Strength

NOTATIONS

a	-	Half crack length
$2a$	-	Crack length
A	-	Intercept of S-N curve
C	-	Crack growth intercept
da/dN	-	Crack growth rate
E_{pit}	-	Pitting potential
K	-	Stress Intensity Factor (SIF)
K_f	-	Fatigue notch factor
K_t	-	Theoretical stress concentration factor
m	-	Crack growth exponent
n	-	Slope of S-N curve
N	-	Number of cycles
N_f	-	Final failure life
N_i	-	Fatigue crack initiation life
N_p	-	Fatigue crack propagation life
q	-	Fatigue notch sensitivity factor
R	-	Stress ratio
S, σ	-	Applied stress
$\Delta S, \Delta \sigma$	-	Applied stress range
ΔK	-	SIF range
ΔK_{cr}	-	Critical SIF range
ΔK_o	-	Initial SIF range
ΔK_{th}	-	Threshold SIF range

NOMENCLATURE

a	Half crack length for a central crack, constant
a_f	Final crack length
a_o	Initial crack length
B	Breadth, width of plate
c	A constant
C	Material dependent constant
C_p	Specific heat
da /dN	Crack growth rate (crack length per cycle)
E	Modulus of elasticity
f	Load vector, restraining force
h_t	Tangent modulus
DXZ	Dynamically Stirred action zone.
HAZ	Heat-affected zone.
TMAZ	Thermo-mechanical affected zone.
K	Stress intensity factor (MPa \sqrt{m}) (SIF)
K_c	Fracture toughness
K_{crit}	Critical stress intensity factor
K_{max}	Maximum stress intensity factor
K_{min}	Minimum stress intensity factor
K_{open}	Crack opening stress intensity factor
K_s	Spring stiffness
K_{th}	Theoretical stress concentration factor
ΔK	Range of stress intensity factor
ΔK_{th}	Range of threshold stress intensity factor
L	Length, latent heat of fusion

m	Material dependent constant
$m(x, a)$	Weight function
N	Number of cycles
n	Shape parameter
N_i	Shape function
N_p	Fatigue crack propagation life
r	Distance from crack-tip
r_{ol}	Overload radius
R	Stress ratio
r_o	Scale parameter
r_p	Plastic zone radius
S	Nominal stress
S_{ys}	Yield stress (same as ζ_y)
t	Thickness of plate
ΔS	Range of stress
Π	Total energy
α	Weld toe angle
δ	Crack opening displacement
ε	Strain
φ	Lennard-Jones surface potential
γ	Surface energy per unit area
η	Natural (local) coordinate, efficiency of welding
κ	A coefficient, constant
μ	Shear modulus
ν	Poisson's ratio
θ	Angle in radian

π	Density
ζ	Nominal stress (local)
ζ_{cr}	Critical bonding strength
ζ_y	Yield stress (same as S_{ys})
ζ_{open}	Crack opening stress
ξ	Natural (local) coordinate