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## 1.9 Hypotheses of the Study

### Chapter 2: Review of Related Literature

- 2.1 Studies related to Teacher Effectiveness: 27-40
- 2.2 Studies related to Adjustment: 41-46
- 2.3 Studies related to Personality: 47-58

### Chapter 3: Methodology

- 3.1 Sample: 59-60
- 3.2 Experimental Design: 61
- 3.3 Statistical Techniques Employed: 61-62
- 3.4 Tools Used
  - 3.4.1 Teacher Effectiveness Scale by P. Kumar and D. Mutha (1985)
    - 3.4.1 (a) The Scale: 63-64
    - 3.4.1 (b) Administration: 64
    - 3.4.1 (c) Result: 65
    - 3.4.1 (d) Reliability: 65
    - 3.4.1 (e) Validity: 66
  - 3.4.2 Mangal Teacher Adjustment Inventory by S. K. Mangal (1982)
    - 3.4.2 (a) Reliability: 66-67
    - 3.4.2 (b) Validity: 67
  - 3.4.3 Myers Briggs Personality Type Indicator: 68
- 3.5 Procedure: 69

### Chapter 4: Analysis and Interpretation of the Data

- 4.1 Frequency Distribution of Personality Types: 74-76
- 4.2 Personality types in relation to Locale of participants: 77-80
- 4.3 Personality types in relation to Gender of participants: 81-85
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