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Fig 2.13: Schematic representation of (a) wurtzite and (b) zinc blende CdS. The HR TEM images of the atomic chains of (c) wurtzite and (d) zinc blende CdS are also shown.
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Fig 3.1: (a) The block diagram of a UV/Vis spectrometer and (b) electron transitions in ultraviolet/visible spectroscopy.
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**Fig 5.12:** The dispersion of the real parts of dielectric constant of (a) ZnS nanoparticles and (b) nanorods. The imaginary parts of nanoparticles and rods are shown in (c) and (d), respectively.

**Fig 5.13:** Impedance spectra of (a) ZnS nanoparticles and (b) nanorods at different temperatures

**Fig 5.14:** The grain boundary resistance is plotted against $T^{-1}$ for CdS nanorods and nanoparticles (inset)

**Fig 5.15:** Frequency dependance of the real part of electric modulus ($M'$) of (a) ZnS nanoparticles and (c) ZnS nanorods. (b) and (d) shows the imaginary parts of electric modulus ($M''$) of nanoparticles and nanorods, respectively.

**Fig 5.16:** The temperature dependence of conductivity relaxation time of ZnS nanoparticles and rods

**Fig 6.1:** The temperature dependence of ac conductivity of (a) CdS nanorods and (b) CdS
nanoparticles.
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